Abstract

This specification describes the format of a manifest. A manifest is a bundle of metadata about the firmware for an IoT device, where to find the firmware, the devices to which it applies, and cryptographic information protecting the manifest.
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1. Introduction

A firmware update mechanism is an essential security feature for IoT devices to deal with vulnerabilities. While the transport of firmware images to the devices themselves is important there are already various techniques available, such as the Lightweight Machine-to-Machine (LwM2M) protocol offering device management of IoT
devices. Equally important is the inclusion of meta-data about the conveyed firmware image (in the form of a manifest) and the use of end-to-end security protection to detect modifications and (optionally) to make reverse engineering more difficult. End-to-end security allows the author, who builds the firmware image, to be sure that no other party (including potential adversaries) can install firmware updates on IoT devices without adequate privileges. This authorization process is ensured by the use of dedicated symmetric or asymmetric keys installed on the IoT device: for use cases where only integrity protection is required it is sufficient to install a trust anchor on the IoT device. For confidentiality protected firmware images it is additionally required to install either one or multiple symmetric or asymmetric keys on the IoT device. Starting security protection at the author is a risk mitigation technique so firmware images and manifests can be stored on untrusted repositories; it also reduces the scope of a compromise of any repository or intermediate system to be no worse than a denial of service.

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the high-level firmware update architecture [Architecture].

The SUIT manifest is heavily optimised for consumption by constrained devices. This means that it is not constructed as a conventional descriptive document. Instead, of describing what an update IS, it describes what a recipient should DO.

While the SUIT manifest is informed by and optimised for firmware update use cases, there is nothing in the [Information] that restricts its use to only firmware use cases. Software update and delivery of arbitrary data can equally be managed by SUIT-based metadata.

2. Conventions and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

- SUIT: Software Update for the Internet of Things, the IETF working group for this standard.

- Payload: A piece of information to be delivered. Typically Firmware for the purposes of SUIT.

- Resource: A piece of information that is used to construct a payload.
- Manifest: A piece of information that describes one or more payloads, one or more resources, and the processors needed to transform resources into payloads.

- Update: One or more manifests that describe one or more payloads.

- Update Authority: The owner of a cryptographic key used to sign updates, trusted by recipient devices.

- Recipient: The system, typically an IoT device, that receives a manifest.

- Condition: A test for a property of the Recipient or its components.


- Command: A Condition or a Directive.

- Trusted Execution: A process by which a system ensures that only trusted code is executed, for example secure boot.

3. Distributing firmware

Distributing firmware in a multi-party environment is a difficult operation. Each party requires a different subset of data. Some data may not be accessible to all parties. Multiple signatures may be required from parties with different authorities. This topic is covered in more depth in [Architecture].

4. Workflow of a device applying a firmware update

The manifest is designed to work with a pull parser, where each section of the manifest is used in sequence. The expected workflow for a device installing an update can be broken down into 5 steps:

1. Verify the signature of the manifest
2. Verify the applicability of the manifest
3. Resolve dependencies
4. Fetch payload(s)
5. Install payload(s)

When installation is complete, similar information can be used for validating and running images in a further three steps:
1. Verify image(s)
2. Load image(s)
3. Run image(s)

When multiple manifests are used for an update, each manifest’s steps occur in a lockstep fashion; all manifests have dependency resolution performed before any manifest performs a payload fetch, etc.

5. SUIT manifest goals

The manifest described in this document is intended to meet several goals, as described below.

1. Meet the requirements defined in [Information].
2. Simple to parse on a constrained node
3. Simple to process on a constrained node
4. Compact encoding
5. Comprehensible by an intermediate system
6. Expressive enough to enable advanced use cases on advanced nodes
7. Extensible

The SUIT manifest can be used for a variety of purposes throughout its lifecycle. The manifest allows:

1. the Firmware Author to reason about releasing a firmware.
2. the Network Operator to reason about compatibility of a firmware.
3. the Device Operator to reason about the impact of a firmware.
4. the Device Operator to manage distribution of firmware to devices.
5. the Plant Manager to reason about timing and acceptance of firmware updates.
6. the device to reason about the authority & authenticity of a firmware prior to installation.
7. the device to reason about the applicability of a firmware.
8. the device to reason about the installation of a firmware.

9. the device to reason about the authenticity & encoding of a firmware at boot.

Each of these uses happens at a different stage of the manifest lifecycle, so each has different requirements.

6. SUIT manifest design overview

In order to provide flexible behaviour to constrained devices, while still allowing more powerful devices to use their full capabilities, the SUIT manifest encodes the required behaviour of a Recipient device. Behaviour is encoded as a specialised byte code, contained in a CBOR list. This promotes a flat encoding, which simplifies the parser. The information encoded by this byte code closely matches the operations that a device will perform, which promotes ease of processing. The core operations used by most update and trusted execution operations are represented in the byte code. The byte code can be extended by registering new operations.

The specialised byte code approach gives benefits equivalent to those provided by a scripting language or conventional byte code, with two substantial differences. First, the language is extremely high level, consisting of only the operations that a device may perform during update and trusted execution of a firmware image. Second, the language specifies behaviours in a linearised form, without reverse branches. Conditional processing is supported, and parallel and out-of-order processing may be performed by sufficiently capable devices.

By structuring the data in this way, the manifest processor becomes a very simple engine that uses a pull parser to interpret the manifest. This pull parser invokes a series of command handlers that evaluate a Condition or execute a Directive. Most data is structured in a highly regular pattern, which simplifies the parser.

The results of this allow a Recipient to implement a very small parser for constrained applications. If needed, such a parser also allows the Recipient to perform complex updates with reduced overhead. Conditional execution of commands allows a simple device to perform important decisions at validation-time.

Dependency handling is vastly simplified as well. Dependencies function like subroutines of the language. When a manifest has a dependency, it can invoke that dependency’s commands and modify their behaviour by setting parameters. Because some parameters come with security implications, the dependencies also have a mechanism to reject modifications to parameters on a fine-grained level.
Developing a robust permissions system works in this model too. The Recipient can use a simple ACL that is a table of Identities and Component Identifier permissions to ensure that only manifests authenticated by the appropriate identity have access to operate on a component.

Capability reporting is similarly simplified. A Recipient can report the Commands, Parameters, Algorithms, and Component Identifiers that it supports. This is sufficiently precise for a manifest author to create a manifest that the Recipient can accept.

The simplicity of design in the Recipient due to all of these benefits allows even a highly constrained platform to use advanced update capabilities.

6.1. Manifest Design Evaluation

To evaluate this design, it is compared to the goals stated above.

Goal evaluation:

1. Each command and condition is anchored to a manifest information element in [Information]

2. The use of a byte code encourages flat encoding and reduces nesting depth. This promotes a simple encoding.

3. The encoded information closely matches the operations that a device will perform, making the format easy to process.

4. Encoding efficiency exceeds 50% when compared to raw data.

5. Tooling will be required to reason about the manifest.

6. The core operations used by most update and trusted execution operations are represented in the byte code. The use cases listed in [Information] are enabled.

7. Registration of new standard byte code identifiers enables extension in a comprehensible way.

The manifest described by this document meets the stated goals. Meeting goal 5-comprehensible by intermediate systems-will require additional tooling or a division of metadata.
6.2. Severable Elements

Because the manifest can be used by different actors at different times, some parts of the manifest can be removed without affecting later stages of the lifecycle. This is called "Severing." Severing of information is achieved by separating that information from the signed container so that removing it does not affect the signature. This means that ensuring authenticity of severable parts of the manifest is a requirement for the signed portion of the manifest.

Severing some parts makes it possible to discard parts of the manifest that are no longer necessary. This is important because it allows the storage used by the manifest to be greatly reduced. For example, no text size limits are needed if text is removed from the manifest prior to delivery to a constrained device.

Elements are made severable by removing them from the manifest, encoding them in a bstr, and placing a SUIT_Digest of the bstr in the manifest so that they can still be authenticated. The SUIT_Digest typically consumes 4 bytes more than the size of the raw digest, therefore elements smaller than (Digest Bits)/8 + 4 SHOULD never be severable. Elements larger than (Digest Bits)/8 + 4 MAY be severable, while elements that are much larger than (Digest Bits)/8 + 4 SHOULD be severable.

6.3. Conventions

The map indices in this encoding are reset to 1 for each map within the structure. This is to keep the indices as small as possible. The goal is to keep the index objects to single bytes (CBOR positive integers 1-23).

Wherever enumerations are used, they are started at 1. This allows detection of several common software errors that are caused by uninitialised variables. Positive numbers in enumerations are reserved for IANA registration. Negative numbers are used to identify application-specific implementations.

CDDL names are hyphenated and CDDL structures follow the convention adopted in COSE [RFC8152]: SUIT_Structure_Name.

6.4. Payloads

Payloads can take many forms, for example, binary, hex, s-record, elf, binary diff, PEM certificate, CBOR Web Token, serialised configuration. These payloads fall into two broad categories: those that require installation-time unpacking and those that do not. Binary, PEM certificate, and CBOR Web Token do not require installation-time unpacking. Hex, s-record, and serialised
configuration require installation-time unpacking. Elf may or may not require unpacking depending on the target.

Some payloads cannot be directly converted to a writable binary stream. Hex, s-record, and elf may contain gaps and they have no guarantee of monotonic increase of address, which makes preprocessing them into a binary stream difficult on constrained platforms. Serialized configuration may be unpacked into a configuration database, which makes it impossible to preprocess into a binary stream, suitable for direct writing.

Where a specialised unpacking algorithm is needed, a digest is not always calculable over an installed payload. For example, an elf, s-record or hex file may contain gaps that can contain any data, while not changing whether or not an installed payload is valid. Serialized configuration may update only some device data rather than all of it. This means that the digest cannot always be calculated over an installed payload when a specialised installer is used.

This presents two problems for the manifest: first, it must indicate that a specialised installer is needed and, second, it cannot provide a hash of the payload that is checkable after installation. These two problems are resolved in two ways:

1. Payloads that need a specialised installer must indicate this in suit-payload-info-unpack.
2. Payloads that need specialised verification must indicate this in the SUIT_Parameter_Image_Digest by indicating a SUIT_Digest algorithm that correctly validates their information.

7. Manifest Structure

The manifest is divided into several sections in a hierarchy as follows:

1. The outer wrapper
   1. The authentication wrapper
   2. The manifest
      1. Critical Information
      2. Information shared by all command sequences
         1. List of dependencies
2. List of payloads

3. List of payloads in dependencies

4. Common list of conditions, directives

3. Dependency resolution Reference or list of conditions, directives

4. Payload fetch Reference or list of conditions, directives

5. Installation Reference or list of conditions, directives

6. Verification conditions/directives

7. Load conditions/directives

8. Run conditions/directives

9. Text / Reference

10. COSWID / Reference

3. Dependency resolution conditions/directives

4. Payload fetch conditions/directives

5. Installation conditions/directives

6. Text

7. COSWID / Reference

8. Intermediate Certificate(s) / CWTs

9. Inline Payload(s)

7.1. Outer wrapper

This object is a container for the other pieces of the manifest to provide a common mechanism to find each of the parts. All elements of the outer wrapper are contained in bstr objects. Wherever the manifest references an object in the outer wrapper, the bstr is included in the digest calculation.

The CDDL that describes the wrapper is below
SUIT_Outer_Wrapper = {
  suit-authentication-wrapper => bstr .cbor SUIT_Authentication_Wrapper / nil,
  $SUIT_Manifest_Wrapped,
  ? suit-dependency-resolution => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-payload-fetch => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-install => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-text-external => bstr .cbor SUIT_Text_Info,
  ? suit-coswid-external => bstr .cbor COSWID
}

SUIT_Authentication_Wrapper = [ + (COSE_Mac_Tagged / COSE_Sign_Tagged /
  COSE_Mac0_Tagged / COSE_Sign1_Tagged)]
SUIT_Encryption_Wrapper = COSE_Encrypt_Tagged / COSE_Encrypt0_Tagged

SUIT_Manifest_Wrapped // (suit-manifest => bstr .cbor SUIT_Manifest)
SUIT_Manifest_Wrapped // (suit-manifest-encryption-info => bstr .cbor SUIT_Encryption_Wrapper,
  suit-manifest-encrypted => bstr)

All elements of the outer wrapper must be wrapped in a bstr to
minimize the complexity of the code that evaluates the cryptographic
integrity of the element and to ensure correct serialisation for
integrity and authenticity checks.

The suit-authentication-wrapper contains a list of 1 or more
cryptographic authentication wrappers for the core part of the
manifest. These are implemented as COSE_Mac_Tagged or
COSE_Sign_Tagged blocks. The Manifest is authenticated by these
blocks in "detached payload" mode. The COSE_Mac_Tagged and
COSE_Sign_Tagged blocks are described in RFC 8152 [RFC8152] and are
beyond the scope of this document. The suit-authentication-wrapper
MUST come first in the SUIT_Outer_Wrapper, regardless of canonical
encoding of CBOR. All validators MUST reject any SUIT_Outer_Wrapper
that begins with any element other than a suit-authentication-
wrapper.

A manifest that has not had authentication information added MUST
still contain the suit-authentication-wrapper element, but the
content MUST be nil.

The outer wrapper MUST contain only one of
- a plaintext manifest: SUIT_Manifest
- an encrypted manifest: both a SUIT_Encryption_Wrapper and the
ciphertext of a manifest.
When the outer wrapper contains SUIT_Encryption_Wrapper, the suit-authentication-wrapper MUST authenticate the plaintext of suit-manifest-encrypted.

suit-manifest contains a SUIT_Manifest structure, which describes the payload(s) to be installed and any dependencies on other manifests.

suit-manifest-encryption-info contains a SUIT_Encryption_Wrapper, a COSE object that describes the information required to decrypt a ciphertext manifest.

suit-manifest-encrypted contains a ciphertext manifest.

Each of suit-dependency-resolution, suit-payload-fetch, and suit-payload-installation contain the severable contents of the identically named portions of the manifest, described in Section 7.2.

suit-text contains all the human-readable information that describes any and all parts of the manifest, its payload(s) and its resource(s).

suit-coswid contains a Concise Software Identifier. This may be discarded by the recipient if not needed.

7.2. Manifest

The manifest describes the critical metadata for the referenced payload(s). In addition, it contains:

1. a version number for the manifest structure itself
2. a sequence number
3. a list of dependencies
4. a list of components affected
5. a list of components affected by dependencies
6. a reference for each of the severable blocks.
7. a list of actions that the recipient should perform.

The following CDDL fragment defines the manifest.
SUIT_Manifest = {
    suit-manifest-version => 1,
    suit-manifest-sequence-number => uint,
    suit-common => bstr .cbor SUIT_Common,
    ? suit-dependency-resolution => Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-payload-fetch => Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-install => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-validate => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-load => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-run => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    ? suit-text-info => Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Text_Map,
    ? suit-coswid => Digest / bstr .cbor COSWID
}

SUIT_Common = {
    ? suit-dependencies => bstr .cbor [ + SUIT_Dependency ],
    ? suit-components => bstr .cbor [ + SUIT_Component_Identifier ],
    ? suit-dependency-components => bstr .cbor [ + SUIT_Component_Reference ],
    ? suit-common-sequence => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
}

Several fields in the Manifest can be either a CBOR structure or a SUIT_Digest. In each of these cases, the SUIT_Digest provides for a severable field. Severable fields are RECOMMENDED to implement. In particular, text SHOULD be severable, since most useful text elements occupy more space than a SUIT_Digest, but are not needed by recipient devices. Because SUIT_Digest is a CBOR Array and each severable element is a CBOR bstr, it is straight-forward for a recipient to determine whether an element is been severable. The key used for a severable element is the same in the SUIT_Manifest and in the SUIT_Outer_Wrapper so that a recipient can easily identify the correct data in the outer wrapper.

The suit-manifest-version indicates the version of serialisation used to encode the manifest. Version 1 is the version described in this document. suit-manifest-version is REQUIRED.

The suit-manifest-sequence-number is a monotonically increasing anti-rollback counter. It also helps devices to determine which in a set of manifests is the "root" manifest in a given update. Each manifest MUST have a sequence number higher than each of its dependencies. Each recipient MUST reject any manifest that has a sequence number lower than its current sequence number. It MAY be convenient to use a UTC timestamp in seconds as the sequence number. suit-manifest-sequence-number is REQUIRED.

suit-common encodes all the information that is shared between each of the command sequences, including: suit-dependencies, suit-
components, suit-dependency-components, and suit-common-sequence. suit-common is REQUIRED to implement.

suit-dependencies is a list of SUIT_Dependency blocks that specify manifests that must be present before the current manifest can be processed. suit-dependencies is OPTIONAL to implement.

In order to distinguish between components that are affected by the current manifest and components that are affected by a dependency, they are kept in separate lists. Components affected by the current manifest only list the component identifier. Components affected by a dependency include the component identifier and the index of the dependency that defines the component.

suit-components is a list of SUIT_Component blocks that specify the component identifiers that will be affected by the content of the current manifest. suit-components is OPTIONAL, but at least one manifest MUST contain a suit-components block.

suit-dependency-components is a list of SUIT_Component_Reference blocks that specify component identifiers that will be affected by the content of a dependency of the current manifest. suit-dependency-components is OPTIONAL.

suit-common-sequence is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute prior to executing any other command sequence. Typical actions in suit-common-sequence include setting expected device identity and image digests when they are conditional (see Section 11 for more information on conditional sequences). suit-common-sequence is RECOMMENDED.

suit-dependency-resolution is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to perform dependency resolution. Typical actions include configuring URIs of dependency manifests, fetching dependency manifests, and validating dependency manifests’ contents. suit-dependency-resolution is REQUIRED when suit-dependencies is present.

suit-payload-fetch is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to obtain a payload. Some manifests may include these actions in the suit-install section instead if they operate in a streaming installation mode. This is particularly relevant for constrained devices without any temporary storage for staging the update. suit-payload-fetch is OPTIONAL.

suit-install is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to install a payload. Typical actions include verifying a payload stored in temporary storage, copying a staged payload from temporary storage, and unpacking a payload. suit-install is OPTIONAL.
suit-validate is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to validate that the result of applying the update is correct. Typical actions involve image validation and manifest validation. suit-validate is REQUIRED. If the manifest contains dependencies, one process-dependency invocation per dependency or one process-dependency invocation targeting all dependencies SHOULD be present in validate.

suit-load is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to prepare a payload for execution. Typical actions include copying an image from permanent storage into RAM, optionally including actions such as decryption or decompression. suit-load is OPTIONAL.

suit-run is a SUIT_Command_Sequence to execute in order to run an image. suit-run typically contains a single instruction: either the "run" directive for the bootable manifest or the "process dependencies" directive for any dependents of the bootable manifest. suit-run is OPTIONAL. Only one manifest in an update may contain the "run" directive.

suit-text-info is a digest that uniquely identifies the content of the Text that is packaged in the OuterWrapper. text is OPTIONAL.

suit-coswid is a digest that uniquely identifies the content of the concise-software-identifier that is packaged in the OuterWrapper. coswid is OPTIONAL.

7.3. SUIT_Dependency

SUIT_Dependency specifies a manifest that describes a dependency of the current manifest.

The following CDDL describes the SUIT_Dependency structure.

SUIT_Dependency = {
  suit-dependency-digest => SUIT_Digest,
  ? suit-dependency-prefix => SUIT_Component_Identifier,
}

The suit-dependency-digest specifies the dependency manifest uniquely by identifying a particular Manifest structure. The digest is calculated over the Manifest structure instead of the COSE Sig_structure or Mac_structure. This means that a digest may need to be calculated more than once, however this is necessary to ensure that removing a signature from a manifest does not break dependencies due to missing signature elements. This is also necessary to support the trusted intermediary use case, where an intermediary re-signs the
Manifest, removing the original signature, potentially with a different algorithm, or trading COSE_Sign for COSE_Mac.

The suit-dependency-prefix element contains a SUIT_Component_Identifier. This specifies the scope at which the dependency operates. This allows the dependency to be forwarded on to a component that is capable of parsing its own manifests. It also allows one manifest to be deployed to multiple dependent devices without those devices needing consistent component hierarchy. This element is OPTIONAL.

7.4. SUIT_Component_Reference

The SUIT_Component_Reference describes an image that is defined by another manifest. This is useful for overriding the behaviour of another manifest, for example by directing the recipient to look at a different URI for the image or by changing the expected format, such as when a gateway performs decryption on behalf of a constrained device. The following CDDL describes the SUIT_Component_Reference.

SUIT_Component_Reference = {
  suit-component-identifier => SUIT_Component_Identifier,
  suit-component-dependency-index => uint
}

7.5. Manifest Parameters

Many conditions and directives require additional information. That information is contained within parameters that can be set in a consistent way. Parameters MUST only be:

1. Integers
2. Byte strings
3. Booleans

This allows reduction of manifest size and replacement of parameters from one manifest to the next. Byte strings MAY contain CBOR-encoded objects.

The defined manifest parameters are described below.

+--------+-------+------+---------------+----------+----------------+
| Parameter | CBOR Type | Default | Scope         | Name     | Description    |
| Code      |         |        |               |          |                |
+--------+-------+------+---------------+----------+----------------+
| 1      | boole | True  | Global        | Strict   | Requires that  |
|        | an    |       |               | Order    | the manifest   |
| 2 | boolean | False | Command Segment | Coerce Condition Failure | Coerces the success code of a command segment to success even when aborted due to a condition failure. |
| 3 | bstr    | nil   | Component/Global | Vendor ID | A [RFC4122] UUID representing the vendor of the device or component |
| 4 | bstr    | nil   | Component/Global | Class ID | A [RFC4122] UUID representing the class of the device or component |
| 5 | bstr    | nil   | Component/Global | Device ID | A [RFC4122] UUID representing the device or component |
| 6 | bstr    | nil   | Component/Dependency | URI | A URI from which to fetch a resource |
| 7 | bstr    | nil   | Component/Dependency | Encryption Info | A COSE object defining the encryption mode of a resource |
| 8 | bstr    | nil   | Component | Compress | A SUIT_Compress |
CBOR-encoded object parameters are still wrapped in a bstr. This is because it allows a parser that is aggregating parameters to reference the object with a single pointer and traverse it without understanding the contents. This is important for modularisation and division of responsibility within a pull parser. The same consideration does not apply to Conditions and Directives because those elements are invoked with their arguments immediately.

7.5.1. SUIT_Parameter_Strict_Order

The Strict Order Parameter allows a manifest to govern when directives can be executed out-of-order. This allows for systems that have a sensitivity to order of updates to choose the order in which they are executed. It also allows for more advanced systems to parallelise their handling of updates. Strict Order defaults to True. It MAY be set to False when the order of operations does not matter. When arriving at the end of a command sequence, ALL commands MUST have completed, regardless of the state of SUIT_Parameter_Strict_Order. If SUIT_Parameter_Strict_Order is
returned to True, ALL preceding commands MUST complete before the next command is executed.

7.5.2. SUIT_Parameter_Coerce_Condition_Failure

When executing a command sequence inside SUIT_Run_Sequence and a condition failure occurs, the manifest processor aborts the sequence. If Coerce Condition Failure is True, it returns Success. Otherwise, it returns the original condition failure. SUIT_Parameter_Coerce_Condition_Failure is scoped to the enclosing SUIT_Directive_Run_Sequence. Its value is discarded when SUIT_Directive_Run_Sequence terminates.

7.6. SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info

Encryption Info defines the mechanism that Fetch or Copy should use to decrypt the data they transfer. SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info is encoded as a COSE_Encrypt_Tagged or a COSE_Encrypt0_Tagged, wrapped in a bstr

7.7. SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info

Compression Info defines any information that is required for a device to perform decompression operations. Typically, this includes the algorithm identifier.

SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info is defined by the following CDDL:

```
SUIT_Compression_Info = {
    suit-compression-algorithm => SUIT_Compression_Algorithms
    ? suit-compression-parameters => bstr
}
```

SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_gzip  
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_bzip2  
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_deflate  
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm LZ4  
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lzma

7.8. SUIT_Parameter_Unpack_Info

SUIT_Unpack_Info defines the information required for a device to interpret a packed format, such as elf, hex, or binary diff. SUIT_Unpack_Info is defined by the following CDDL:

```
SUIT_Unpack_Info = {
    suit-unpack-algorithm => SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms
    ? suit-unpack-parameters => bstr
}

SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms //= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Delta
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms //= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Hex
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms //= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Elf

7.9. SUIT_Parameters CDDL

The following CDDL describes all SUIT_Parameters.
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-strict-order => bool)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-coerce-condition-failure => bool)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-vendor-id => bstr)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-class-id => bstr)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-device-id => bstr)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-uri => bstr)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-encryption-info => bstr .cbor SUIT_Encryption_Info)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-compression-info => bstr .cbor SUIT_Compression_Info)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-unpack-info => bstr .cbor SUIT_Unpack_Info)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-source-component => bstr .cbor SUIT_Component_Identifier)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-image-digest => bstr .cbor SUIT_Digest)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-image-size => uint)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter-uri-list => bstr .cbor SUIT_URI_List)
SUIT_Parameters //= (suit-parameter_custom => int/bool/bstr)

SUIT_URI_List = [ + [priority: int, uri: tstr] ]

SUIT_Encryption_Info= COSE_Encrypt_Tagged/COSE_Encrypt0_Tagged
SUIT_Compression_Info = {
    suit-compression-algorithm => SUIT_Compression_Algorithms
    ? suit-compression-parameters => bstr
}

SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_gzip
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_bzip2
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_deflate
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_LZ4
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lzma

SUIT_Unpack_Info = {
    suit-unpack-algorithm => SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms
    ? suit-unpack-parameters => bstr
}

SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Delta
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Hex
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Elf

7.10. SUIT_Command_Sequence

A SUIT_Command_Sequence defines a series of actions that the recipient MUST take to accomplish a particular goal. These goals are defined in the manifest and include:

1. Dependency Resolution
2. Payload Fetch
3. Payload Installation

4. Image Validation

5. Image Loading

6. Run or Boot

Each of these follows exactly the same structure to ensure that the parser is as simple as possible.

Lists of commands are constructed from two kinds of element:

1. Conditions that MUST be true—any failure is treated as a failure of the update/load/boot

2. Directives that MUST be executed.

The lists of commands are logically structured into sequences of zero or more conditions followed by zero or more directives. The *logical* structure is described by the following CDDL:

\[
\text{Command\_Sequence} = \{ \\
\text{conditions} \Rightarrow [ \ast \text{Condition}] \\
\text{directives} \Rightarrow [ \ast \text{Directive}] \\
\}
\]

This introduces significant complexity in the parser, however, so the structure is flattened to make parsing simpler:

\[
\text{SUIT\_Command\_Sequence} = [ + (\text{SUIT\_Condition}/\text{SUIT\_Directive}) ]
\]

Each condition and directive is composed of:

1. A command code identifier

2. An argument block

Argument blocks are defined for each type of command.

Many conditions and directives apply to a given component, and these generally grouped together. Therefore, a special command to set the current component index is provided with a matching command to set the current dependency index. This index is a numeric index into the component ID tables defined at the beginning of the document. For the purpose of setting the index, the two component ID tables are considered to be concatenated together.
To facilitate optional conditions, a special directive is provided. It runs several new lists of conditions/directives, one after another, that are contained as an argument to the directive. By default, it assumes that a failure of a condition should not indicate a failure of the update/boot, but a parameter is provided to override this behaviour.

7.11. SUIT_Condition

Conditions are used to define mandatory properties of a system in order for an update to be applied. They can be pre-conditions or post-conditions of any directive or series of directives, depending on where they are placed in the list. Conditions include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition Code</th>
<th>Condition Name</th>
<th>Argument Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vendor Identifier</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Class Identifier</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Image Match</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Use Before</td>
<td>Unsigned Integer timestamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Component Offset</td>
<td>Unsigned Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Device Identifier</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Image Not Match</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Minimum Battery</td>
<td>Unsigned Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Update Authorised</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Version</td>
<td>List of Integers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nint</td>
<td>Custom Condition</td>
<td>bstr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each condition MUST report a success code on completion. If a condition reports failure, then the current sequence of commands MUST terminate. If a recipient encounters an unknown Condition Code, it MUST report a failure.

Positive Condition numbers are reserved for IANA registration. Negative numbers are reserved for proprietary, application-specific directives.
7.11.1. Identifier Conditions

There are three identifier-based conditions: suit-condition-vendor-identifier, suit-condition-class-identifier, and suit-condition-device-identifier. Each of these conditions match a [RFC 4122][RFC4122] UUID that MUST have already been set as a parameter. The installing device MUST match the specified UUID in order to consider the manifest valid. These identifiers MAY be scoped by component.

The recipient uses the ID parameter that has already been set using the Set Parameters directive. If no ID has been set, this condition fails. suit-condition-class-identifier and suit-condition-vendor-identifier are REQUIRED to implement. suit-condition-device-identifier is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.11.2. suit-condition-image-match

Verify that the current component matches the digest parameter for the current component. The digest is verified against the digest specified in the Component’s parameters list. If no digest is specified, the condition fails. suit-condition-image-match is REQUIRED to implement.

7.11.3. suit-condition-image-not-match

Verify that the current component does not match the supplied digest. If no digest is specified, then the digest is compared against the digest specified in the Components list. If no digest is specified and the component is not present in the Components list, the condition fails. suit-condition-image-not-match is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.11.4. suit-condition-use-before

Verify that the current time is BEFORE the specified time. suit-condition-use-before is used to specify the last time at which an update should be installed. One argument is required, encoded as a POSIX timestamp, that is seconds after 1970-01-01 00:00:00. Timestamp conditions MUST be evaluated in 64 bits, regardless of encoded CBOR size. suit-condition-use-before is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.11.5. suit-condition-minimum-battery

suit-condition-minimum-battery provides a mechanism to test a device’s battery level before installing an update. This condition is for use in primary-cell applications, where the battery is only ever discharged. For batteries that are charged, suit-directive-wait
is more appropriate, since it defines a "wait" until the battery level is sufficient to install the update. suit-condition-minimum-battery is specified in mWh. suit-condition-minimum-battery is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.11.6. suit-condition-update-authorised

Request Authorisation from the application and fail if not authorised. This can allow a user to decline an update. Argument is an integer priority level. Priorities are application defined. suit-condition-update-authorised is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.11.7. suit-condition-version

suit-condition-version allows comparing versions of firmware. Verifying image digests is preferred to version checks because digests are more precise. The image can be compared as:

- Greater
- Greater or Equal
- Equal
- Lesser or Equal
- Lesser

Versions are encoded as a CBOR list of integers. Comparisons are done on each integer in sequence. Comparison stops after all integers in the list defined by the manifest have been consumed OR after a non-equal match has occurred. For example, if the manifest defines a comparison, "Equal [1]", then this will match all version sequences starting with 1. If a manifest defines both "Greater or Equal [1,0]" and "Lesser [1,10]", then it will match versions 1.0.x up to, but not including 1.10.

The following CDDL describes SUIT_Condition_Version_Argument
SUIT_Condition_Version_Argument = [  
    suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types,  
    suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Value  
]  
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater_Equal 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Equal 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser_Equal 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater = 1 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater_Equal = 2 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Equal = 3 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser_Equal = 4 
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser = 5  
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Value = [+int]  
While the exact encoding of versions is application-defined, semantic versions map conveniently. For example,  
- 1.2.3 = [1,2,3]  
- 1.2-rc3 = [1,2,-1,3]  
- 1.2-beta = [1,2,-2]  
- 1.2-alpha = [1,2,-3]  
- 1.2-alpha4 = [1,2,-3,4]  
suit-condition-version is OPTIONAL to implement. 

7.11.8. SUIT_Condition_Custom  
SUIT_Condition_Custom describes any proprietary, application specific condition. This is encoded as a negative integer, chosen by the firmware developer, and a bstr that encodes the parameters passed to the system that evaluates the condition matching that integer. SUIT_Condition_Custom is OPTIONAL to implement. 

7.11.9. Identifiers  
Many conditions use identifiers to determine whether a manifest matches a given recipient or not. These identifiers are defined to be RFC 4122 [RFC4122] UUIDs. These UUIDs are explicitly NOT human-readable. They are for machine-based matching only.
A device may match any number of UUIDs for vendor or class identifier. This may be relevant to physical or software modules. For example, a device that has an OS and one or more applications might list one Vendor ID for the OS and one or more additional Vendor IDs for the applications. This device might also have a Class ID that must be matched for the OS and one or more Class IDs for the applications.

A more complete example: A device has the following physical components: 1. A host MCU 2. A WiFi module

This same device has three software modules: 1. An operating system 2. A WiFi module interface driver 3. An application

Suppose that the WiFi module’s firmware has a proprietary update mechanism and doesn’t support manifest processing. This device can report four class IDs:

1. hardware model/revision
2. OS
3. WiFi module model/revision
4. Application

This allows the OS, WiFi module, and application to be updated independently. To combat possible incompatibilities, the OS class ID can be changed each time the OS has a change to its API.

This approach allows a vendor to target, for example, all devices with a particular WiFi module with an update, which is a very powerful mechanism, particularly when used for security updates.

7.11.9.1. Creating UUIDs:

UUIDs MUST be created according to RFC 4122 [RFC4122]. UUIDs SHOULD use versions 3, 4, or 5, as described in RFC4122. Versions 1 and 2 do not provide a tangible benefit over version 4 for this application.

The RECOMMENDED method to create a vendor ID is: Vendor ID = UUID5(DNS_PREFIX, vendor domain name)

The RECOMMENDED method to create a class ID is: Class ID = UUID5(Vendor ID, Class-Specific-Information)
Class-specific information is composed of a variety of data, for example:

- Model number
- Hardware revision
- Bootloader version (for immutable bootloaders)

### 7.11.10. SUIT_Condition CDDL

The following CDDL describes SUIT_Condition:

```cddl
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-vendor-identifier, nil)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-class-identifier, nil)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-device-identifier, nil)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-image-match, nil)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-image-not-match, nil)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-use-before, uint)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-minimum-battery, uint)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-update-authorised, int)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-version, SUIT_Condition_Version_Argument)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-component-offset, uint)
SUIT_Condition //= (suit-condition-custom, bstr)
```

```cddl
SUIT_Condition_Version_Argument = [
    suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types,
    suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Value
]
```

### 7.12. SUIT_Directive

Directives are used to define the behaviour of the recipient. Directives include:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directive Code</th>
<th>Directive Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Set Component Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Set Dependency Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Abort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Try Each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Process Dependency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Set Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Override Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fetch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Wait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Run Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Run with Arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Swap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a Recipient executes a Directive, it MUST report a success code. If the Directive reports failure, then the current Command Sequence MUST terminate.

7.12.1. suit-directive-set-component-index

Set Component Index defines the component to which successive directives and conditions will apply. The supplied argument MUST be either a boolean or an unsigned integer index into the concatenation of suit-components and suit-dependency-components. If the following directives apply to ALL components, then the boolean value "True" is used instead of an index. True does not apply to dependency
components. If the following directives apply to NO components, then the boolean value "False" is used. When suit-directive-set-dependency-index is used, suit-directive-set-component-index = False is implied. When suit-directive-set-component-index is used, suit-directive-set-dependency-index = False is implied.

The following CDDL describes the argument to suit-directive-set-component-index.

SUIT_Directive_Set_Component_Index_Argument = uint/bool

7.12.2. suit-directive-set-dependency-index

Set Dependency Index defines the manifest to which successive directives and conditions will apply. The supplied argument MUST be either a boolean or an unsigned integer index into the dependencies. If the following directives apply to ALL dependencies, then the boolean value "True" is used instead of an index. If the following directives apply to NO dependencies, then the boolean value "False" is used. When suit-directive-set-component-index is used, suit-directive-set-dependency-index = False is implied. When suit-directive-set-dependency-index is used, suit-directive-set-component-index = False is implied.

Typical operations that require suit-directive-set-dependency-index include setting a source URI, invoking "Fetch," or invoking "Process Dependency" for an individual dependency.

The following CDDL describes the argument to suit-directive-set-dependency-index.

SUIT_Directive_Set_Manifest_Index_Argument = uint/bool

7.12.3. suit-directive-abort

Unconditionally fail. This operation is typically used in conjunction with suit-directive-try-each.

7.12.4. suit-directive-run-sequence

To enable conditional commands, and to allow several strictly ordered sequences to be executed out-of-order, suit-directive-run-sequence allows the manifest processor to execute its argument as a SUIT_Command_Sequence. The argument must be wrapped in a bstr.

When a sequence is executed, any failure of a condition causes immediate termination of the sequence.
The following CDDL describes the SUIT_Run_Sequence argument.

SUIT_Directive_Run_Sequence_Argument = bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence

When suit-directive-run-sequence completes, it forwards the last status code that occurred in the sequence. If the Coerce on Condition Failure parameter is true, then suit-directive-run-sequence only fails when a directive in the argument sequence fails.

SUIT_Parameter_Coerce_Condition_Failure defaults to False when suit-directive-run-sequence begins. Its value is discarded when suit-directive-run-sequence terminates.

7.12.5. suit-directive-try-each

This command runs several suit-directive-run-sequence one after another, in a strict order. Use this command to implement a "try/catch-try/catch" sequence. Manifest processors MAY implement this command.

SUIT_Parameter_Coerce_Condition_Failure is initialised to True at the beginning of each sequence. If one sequence aborts due to a condition failure, the next is started. If no sequence completes without condition failure, then suit-directive-try-each returns an error. If a particular application calls for all sequences to fail and still continue, then an empty sequence (nil) can be added to the Try Each Argument.

The following CDDL describes the SUIT_Try_Each argument.

SUIT_Directive_Try_Each_Argument = [ + bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence, nil / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence ]

7.12.6. suit-directive-process-dependency

Execute the commands in the common section of the current dependency, followed by the commands in the equivalent section of the current dependency. For example, if the current section is "fetch payload," this will execute "common" in the current dependency, then "fetch payload" in the current dependency. Once this is complete, the command following suit-directive-process-dependency will be processed.

If the current dependency is False, this directive has no effect. If the current dependency is True, then this directive applies to all
dependencies. If the current section is "common," this directive MUST have no effect.

When SUIT_Process_Dependency completes, it forwards the last status code that occurred in the dependency.

The argument to suit-directive-process-dependency is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Process_Dependency_Argument = nil

7.12.7. suit-directive-set-parameters

suit-directive-set-parameters allows the manifest to configure behaviour of future directives by changing parameters that are read by those directives. When dependencies are used, suit-directive-set-parameters also allows a manifest to modify the behaviour of its dependencies.

Available parameters are defined in Section 7.5.

If a parameter is already set, suit-directive-set-parameters will skip setting the parameter to its argument. This provides the core of the override mechanism, allowing dependent manifests to change the behaviour of a manifest.

The argument to suit-directive-set-parameters is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Set_Parameters_Argument = {+ SUIT_Parameters}

N.B.: A directive code is reserved for an optimisation: a way to set a parameter to the contents of another parameter, optionally with another component ID.

7.12.8. suit-directive-override-parameters

suit-directive-override-parameters replaces any listed parameters that are already set with the values that are provided in its argument. This allows a manifest to prevent replacement of critical parameters.

Available parameters are defined in Section 7.5.

The argument to suit-directive-override-parameters is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Override_Parameters_Argument = {+ SUIT_Parameters}
7.12.9. suit-directive-fetch

suit-directive-fetch instructs the manifest processor to obtain one or more manifests or payloads, as specified by the manifest index and component index, respectively.

suit-directive-fetch can target one or more manifests and one or more payloads. suit-directive-fetch retrieves each component and each manifest listed in component-index and manifest-index, respectively. If component-index or manifest-index is True, instead of an integer, then all current manifest components/manifests are fetched. The current manifest’s dependent-components are not automatically fetched. In order to pre-fetch these, they MUST be specified in a component-index integer.

suit-directive-fetch typically takes no arguments unless one is needed to modify fetch behaviour. If an argument is needed, it must be wrapped in a bstr.

suit-directive-fetch reads the URI or URI List parameter to find the source of the fetch it performs.

The behaviour of suit-directive-fetch can be modified by setting one or more of SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info, SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info, SUIT_Parameter_Unpack_Info. These three parameters each activate and configure a processing step that can be applied to the data that is transferred during suit-directive-fetch.

The argument to suit-directive-fetch is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Fetch_Argument = nil/bstr

7.12.10. suit-directive-copy

suit-directive-copy instructs the manifest processor to obtain one or more payloads, as specified by the component index. suit-directive-copy retrieves each component listed in component-index, respectively. If component-index is True, instead of an integer, then all current manifest components are copied. The current manifest’s dependent-components are not automatically copied. In order to copy these, they MUST be specified in a component-index integer.

The behaviour of suit-directive-copy can be modified by setting one or more of SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info, SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info, SUIT_Parameter_Unpack_Info. These
three parameters each activate and configure a processing step that can be applied to the data that is transferred during suit-directive-copy.

*N.B.* Fetch and Copy are very similar. Merging them into one command may be appropriate.

suit-directive-copy reads its source from SUIT_Parameter_Source_Component.

The argument to suit-directive-copy is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Copy_Argument = nil

7.12.11. suit-directive-swap

suit-directive-swap instructs the manifest processor to move the source to the destination and the destination to the source simultaneously. Swap has nearly identical semantics to suit-directive-copy except that suit-directive-swap replaces the source with the current contents of the destination in an application-defined way. If SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info or SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info are present, they must be handled in a symmetric way, so that the source is decompressed into the destination and the destination is compressed into the source. The source is decrypted into the destination and the destination is encrypted into the source. suit-directive-swap is OPTIONAL to implement.

7.12.12. suit-directive-run

suit-directive-run directs the manifest processor to transfer execution to the current Component Index. When this is invoked, the manifest processor MAY be unloaded and execution continues in the Component Index. Arguments provided to Run are forwarded to the executable code located in Component Index, in an application-specific way. For example, this could form the Linux Kernel Command Line if booting a linux device.

If the executable code at Component Index is constructed in such a way that it does not unload the manifest processor, then the manifest processor may resume execution after the executable completes. This allows the manifest processor to invoke suitable helpers and to verify them with image conditions.

The argument to suit-directive-run is defined in the following CDDL.

SUIT_Directive_Run_Argument = nil/bstr
suit-directive-wait directs the manifest processor to pause until a specified event occurs. Some possible events include:

1. Authorisation
2. External Power
3. Network availability
4. Other Device Firmware Version
5. Time
6. Time of Day
7. Day of Week

The following CDDL defines the encoding of these events.

```
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-authorisation => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-power => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-network => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-other-device-version
    => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-time => uint); Timestamp
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-time-of-day
    => uint); Time of Day (seconds since 00:00:00)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-day-of-week
    => uint); Days since Sunday

SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Authorisation = int; priority
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Power = int; Power Level
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Network = int; Network State
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version = [
    other-device: bstr,
    other-device-version: [+int]
]
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time = uint; Timestamp
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time_Of_Day = uint; Time of Day (seconds since 00:00:00)
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Day_Of_Week = uint; Days since Sunday
```

The following CDDL describes SUIT_Directive:
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-set-component-index, uint/bool)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-set-dependency-index, uint/bool)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-run-sequence, bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-try-each, SUIT_Directive_Try_Each_Argument)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-process-dependency, nil)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-set-parameters, (+ SUIT_Parameters))
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-override-parameters, (+ SUIT_Parameters))
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-fetch, nil)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-copy, nil)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-run, nil)
SUIT_Directive //= (suit-directive-wait, [ + SUIT_Wait_Events ])

SUIT_Directive_Try_Each_Argument = [
    + bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
    nil / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence
]

SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-authorisation => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-power => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-network => int)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-other-device-version => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-time => uint); Timestamp
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-time-of-day => uint); Time of Day (seconds since 00:00:00)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (suit-wait-event-day-of-week => uint); Days since Sunday

SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Authorisation = int ; priority
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Power = int ; Power Level
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Network = int ; Network State
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version = [
    other-device: bstr,
    other-device-version: [+int]
]
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time = uint ; Timestamp
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time_Of_Day = uint ; Time of Day (seconds since 00:00:00)
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Day_Of_Week = uint ; Days since Sunday
8. Dependency processing

Dependencies need careful handling on constrained systems. A dependency tree that is too deep can cause recursive handling to overflow stack space. Systems that parse all dependencies into an object tree can easily fill up available memory. Too many dependencies can overrun available storage space.

The dependency handling system in this document is designed to address as many of these problems as possible.

Dependencies MAY be addressed in one of three ways:

1. Iterate by component
2. Iterate by manifest
3. Out-of-order

Because each manifest has a list of components and a list of components defined by its dependencies, it is possible for the manifest processor to handle one component at a time, traversing the manifest tree once for each listed component. This, however, consumes significant processing power.

Alternatively, it is possible for a device with sufficient memory to accumulate all parameters for all listed component IDs. This will naturally consume more memory, but it allows the device to process the manifests in a single pass.

It is expected that the simplest and most power sensitive devices will use option 2, with a fixed maximum number of components.

Advanced devices may make use of the Strict Order parameter and enable parallel processing of some segments, or it may reorder some segments. To perform parallel processing, once the Strict Order parameter is set to False, the device may fork a process for each command until the Strict Order parameter is returned to True or the command sequence ends. Then, it joins all forked processes before continuing processing of commands. To perform out-of-order processing, a similar approach is used, except the device consumes all commands after the Strict Order parameter is set to False, then it sorts these commands into its preferred order, invokes them all, then continues processing.
9. Access Control Lists

To manage permissions in the manifest, there are three models that can be used.

First, the simplest model requires that all manifests are authenticated by a single trusted key. This mode has the advantage that only a root manifest needs to be authenticated, since all of its dependencies have digests included in the root manifest.

This simplest model can be extended by adding key delegation without much increase in complexity.

A second model requires an ACL to be presented to the device, authenticated by a trusted party or stored on the device. This ACL grants access rights for specific component IDs or component ID prefixes to the listed identities or identity groups. Any identity may verify an image digest, but fetching into or fetching from a component ID requires approval from the ACL.

A third model allows a device to provide even more fine-grained controls: The ACL lists the component ID or component ID prefix that an identity may use, and also lists the commands that the identity may use in combination with that component ID.

10. SUIT digest container

RFC 8152 [RFC8152] provides containers for signature, MAC, and encryption, but no basic digest container. The container needed for a digest requires a type identifier and a container for the raw digest data. Some forms of digest may require additional parameters. These can be added following the digest. This structure is described by the following CDDL.

The algorithms listed are sufficient for verifying integrity of Firmware Updates as of this writing, however this may change over time.
SUIT_Digest = [  
suit-digest-algorithm-id : $suit-digest-algorithm-ids,  
suit-digest-bytes : bytes,  
? suit-digest-parameters : any  
]

digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha224
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha384
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha512
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-224
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-256
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-384
digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-512

algorithm-id-sha224 = 1
algorithm-id-sha256 = 2
algorithm-id-sha384 = 3
algorithm-id-sha512 = 4
algorithm-id-sha3-224 = 5
algorithm-id-sha3-256 = 6
algorithm-id-sha3-384 = 7
algorithm-id-sha3-512 = 8

11. Creating conditional sequences

For some use cases, it is important to provide a sequence that can fail without terminating an update. For example, a dual-image XIP MCU may require an update that can be placed at one of two offsets. This has two implications, first, the digest of each offset will be different. Second, the image fetched for each offset will have a different URI. Conditional sequences allow this to be resolved in a simple way.

The following JSON representation of a manifest demonstrates how this would be represented. It assumes that the bootloader and manifest processor take care of A/B switching and that the manifest is not aware of this distinction.

```json
{
    "structure-version": 1,
    "sequence-number": 7,
    "common": {
        "components": [
            [b'0'
        ],
        "common-sequence": [
        
```
"directive-set-var" : {  
  "size": 32567 
},
{
  "try-each" : [
    {
      "condition-component-offset" : "<offset A>"},
      {  
        "directive-set-var": {  
          "digest" : "<SHA256 A>"
        }
      }
    },
    [
      "condition-component-offset" : "<offset B>"],
      {  
        "directive-set-var": {  
          "digest" : "<SHA256 B>"
        }
      }
    ],
    [{ "abort" : null }]
  ]
},
"fetch" : [  
  "try-each" : [
    {  
      "condition-component-offset" : "<offset A>"},
      {  
        "directive-set-var": {  
          "uri" : "<URI A>"
        }
      }
    },
    [
      "condition-component-offset" : "<offset B>"],
      {  
        "directive-set-var": {  
          "uri" : "<URI B>"
        }
      }
    ],
    [{ "directive-abort" : null }]
  ]
In order to create a valid SUIT Manifest document the structure of the corresponding CBOR message MUST adhere to the following CDDL data definition.

\[
\text{SUIT Outer Wrapper} = \{
\begin{align*}
\text{suit-authentication-wrapper} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Authentication\_Wrapper / nil}, \\
\text{suit-manifest} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Manifest}, \\
\text{suit-dependency-resolution} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Command\_Sequence}, \\
\text{suit-payload-fetch} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Command\_Sequence}, \\
\text{suit-install} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Command\_Sequence}, \\
\text{suit-text} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor SUIT\_Text\_Map}, \\
\text{suit-coswid} &\Rightarrow \text{bstr .cbor concise-software-identity}
\end{align*}
\}
\]

\[
\text{suit-authentication-wrapper} = 1 \\
\text{suit-manifest} = 2 \\
\text{suit-dependency-resolution} = 7 \\
\text{suit-payload-fetch} = 8 \\
\text{suit-install} = 9 \\
\text{suit-text} = 13 \\
\text{suit-coswid} = 14
\]

\[
\text{SUIT\_Authentication\_Wrapper} = [ * (\text{COSE\_Mac\_Tagged} / \text{COSE\_Sign\_Tagged} / \text{COSE\_Mac0\_Tagged} / \text{COSE\_Sign1\_Tagged})]
\]

\[
\text{COSE\_Mac\_Tagged} = \text{any} \\
\text{COSE\_Sign\_Tagged} = \text{any} \\
\text{COSE\_Mac0\_Tagged} = \text{any} \\
\text{COSE\_Sign1\_Tagged} = \text{any} \\
\]

\[
\text{SUIT\_Digest} = [ \\
\text{suit-digest-algorithm-id} : \$suit-digest-algorithm-ids, \\
\text{suit-digest-bytes} : \text{bytes}, \\
? \text{suit-digest-parameters} : \text{any}
]\]
; Named Information Hash Algorithm Identifiers
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256-128
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256-120
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256-96
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256-64
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha256-32
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha384
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha512
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-224
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-256
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-384
suit-digest-algorithm-ids /= algorithm-id-sha3-512

SUIT_Manifest = {
  suit-manifest-version => 1,
  suit-manifest-sequence-number => uint,
  ? suit-dependencies => [ + SUIT_Dependency ],
  ? suit-components => [ + SUIT_Component ],
  ? suit-dependency-components => [ + SUIT_Component_Reference ],
  ? suit-common => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-dependency-resolution => SUIT_Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-payload-fetch => SUIT_Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-install => SUIT_Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-validate => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-load => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-run => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence,
  ? suit-text-info => SUIT_Digest / bstr .cbor SUIT_Text_Map,
  ? suit-coswid => SUIT_Digest / bstr .cbor concise-software-identity
}

suit-manifest-version = 1
suit-manifest-sequence-number = 2
suit-dependencies = 3
suit-components = 4
suit-dependency-components = 5
suit-common = 6
suit-dependency-resolution = 7
suit-payload-fetch = 8
suit-install = 9
suit-validate = 10
suit-load = 11
suit-run = 12
suit-text-info = 13
suit-coswid = 14

concise-software-identity = any
SUITE_Dependency = {
  suit-dependency-digest => SUIT_Digest,
  suit-dependency-prefix => SUIT_Component_Identifier,
}

suit-dependency-digest = 1
suit-dependency-prefix = 2

SUITE_Component_Identifier = [* bstr]

SUITE_Component = {
  suit-component-identifier => SUIT_Component_Identifier,
  ? suit-component-size => uint,
  ? suit-component-digest => SUIT_Digest,
}

suit-component-identifier = 1
suit-component-size = 2
suit-component-digest = 3

SUITE_Component_Reference = {
  suit-component-identifier => SUIT_Component_Identifier,
  suit-component-dependency-index => uint
}

suit-component-dependency-index = 2

SUITE_Command_Sequence = [ + { SUIT_Condition // SUIT_Directive // SUIT_Command_Custom} ]

SUITE_Command_Custom = {nint => bstr}

SUITE_Condition = (SUITE_Condition_Vendor_Identifier => RFC4122_UUID) ; SUITE_Condition_Vendor_Identifier
SUITE_Condition = (2 => RFC4122_UUID) ; SUITE_Condition_Class_Identifier
SUITE_Condition = (3 => RFC4122_UUID) ; SUITE_Condition_Device_Identifier
SUITE_Condition = (4 => SUIT_Digest) ; SUITE_Condition_Image_Match
SUITE_Condition = (5 => SUIT_Digest) ; SUITE_Condition_Image_Not_Match
SUITE_Condition = (6 => uint) ; SUITE_Condition_Use_Before
SUITE_Condition = (7 => uint) ; SUITE_Condition_Minimum_Battery
SUITE_Condition = (8 => int) ; SUITE_Condition_Update_Authorised
SUITE_Condition = (9 => SUITE_Condition_Version_Argument) ; SUITE_Condition_Version
SUITE_Condition = (10 => uint) ; SUITE_Condition_Component_Offset
SUITE_Condition = (nint => bstr) ; SUITE_Condition_Custom

SUITE_Condition_Vendor_Identifier = 1
RFC4122_UUID = bstr .size 16

SUITE_Condition_Version_Argument = {
  suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types,
suit-condition-version-comparison: SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Value
]
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater_Equal
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Equal
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser_Equal
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Types /= SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater = 1
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Greater_Equal = 2
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Equal = 3
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser_Equal = 4
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Lesser = 5
SUIT_Condition_Version_Comparison_Value = [+int]
SUIT_Directive //= (11 => uint/bool) ; SUIT_Directive_Set_Component_Index
SUIT_Directive //= (12 => uint/bool) ; SUIT_Directive_Set_Manifest_Index
SUIT_Directive //= (13 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence) ; SUIT_Directive_Run_Sequence
SUIT_Directive //= (14 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence) ; SUIT_Directive_Run_Sequence_Conditional
SUIT_Directive //= (16 => {+ SUIT_Parameters}) ; SUIT_Directive_Set_Parameters
SUIT_Directive //= (19 => {+ SUIT_Parameters}) ; SUIT_Directive_Override_Parameters
SUIT_Directive //= (20 => nil/bstr) ; SUIT_Directive_Patch
SUIT_Directive //= (21 => nil/bstr) ; SUIT_Directive_Copy
SUIT_Directive //= (22 => nil/bstr) ; SUIT_Directive_Run
SUIT_Directive //= (23 => { + SUIT_Wait_Events }) ; SUIT_Directive_Wait
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (1 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Authorisation)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (2 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Power)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (3 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Network)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (4 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (5 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (6 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time_Of_Day)
SUIT_Wait_Events //= (7 => SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Day_Of_Week)
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Authorisation = int ; priority
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Power = int ; Power Level
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Network = int ; Network State
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Other_Device_Version = [
  other-device: bstr,
  other-device-version: [+int]
]
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time = uint ; Timestamp
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Time_Of_Day = uint ; Time of Day (seconds since 00:00:00)
SUIT_Wait_Event_Argument_Day_Of_Week = uint ; Days since Sunday
SUIT_Parameters //= (1 => bool) ; SUIT_Parameter_Strict_Order
SUIT_Parameters //= (2 => bool) ; SUIT_Parameter_Coerce_Cancel_Failure
SUIT_Parameters //= (3 => bstr) ; SUIT_Parameter_Vendor_ID
SUIT_Parameters //= (4 => bstr) ; SUIT_Parameter_Device_ID
SUIT_Parameters //= (5 => bstr) ; SUIT_Parameter_Device_ID
SUIT_Parameters //= (6 => bstr .cbor SUIT_URI_List) ; SUIT_Parameter_URI_List
SUIT_Parameters //= (7 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Encryption_Info) ; SUIT_Parameter_Encryption_Info
SUIT_Parameters //= (8 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Compression_Info) ; SUIT_Parameter_Compression_Info
SUIT_Parameters //= (9 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Unpack_Info) ; SUIT_Parameter_Unpack_Info
SUIT_Parameters //= (10 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Component_Identifier) ; SUIT_Parameter_Source_Component
SUIT_Parameters //= (11 => bstr .cbor SUIT_Digest) ; SUIT_Parameter_Image_Digest
SUIT_Parameters //= (12 => uint) ; SUIT_Parameter_Encrypt_Info
SUIT_Parameters //= (nint => int/bool/bstr) ; SUIT_Parameter_Custom

SUIT_URI_List = [+ [priority: int, uri: tstr] ]

SUIT_Encryption_Info = COSE_Encrypt_Tagged/COSE_Encrypt0_Tagged
SUIT_Compression_Info = {
    suit-compression-algorithm => SUIT_Compression_Algorithms
    ? suit-compression-parameters => bstr
}
suit-compression-algorithm = 1
suit-compression-parameters = 2

SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_gzip
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_bzip2
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lz4
SUIT_Compression_Algorithms /= SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lzma

SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_gzip = 1
SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_bzip2 = 2
SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lz4 = 4
SUIT_Compression_Algorithm_lzma = 7

SUIT_Unpack_Info = {
    suit-unpack-algorithm => SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms
    ? suit-unpack-parameters => bstr
}
suit-unpack-algorithm = 1
suit-unpack-parameters = 2

SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Delta
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Hex
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithms /= SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Elf

SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Delta = 1
SUIT_Unpack_Algorithm_Hex = 2
13. Examples

The following examples demonstrate a small subset of the functionality of the manifest. However, despite this, even a simple manifest processor can execute most of these manifests.

None of these examples include authentication. This is provided via RFC 8152 [RFC8152], and is omitted for clarity.

13.1. Example 0:

Secure boot only.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```json
{
   "structure-version": 1,
   "sequence-number": 1,
   "run-image": [
      { "directive-set-component": 0 },
      { "condition-image": null },
      { "directive-run": null }
   ],
   "common": {
      "common-sequence": [
         { "directive-set-var": {
            "digest": "00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff"
            0123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210",
            "size": 34768
         }
      ],
      "components": [
         { "Flash": 78848
      }
   }
}
```

Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:
{  
  / auth object / 1 : None  
  / manifest / 3 : h'a401010120103583ca2024c818245466c6173684300340104'  
  h'582a8213a20b58200011223345566778899aabbccddeeff'  
  h'0123456789abcdefedcba98765432100c1987d00c47860c'  
  h'0003f617f6'  
}  

{  
  / structure-version / 1 : 1  
  / sequence-number / 2 : 1  
  / common / 3 : h'a2024c818245466c6173684300340104582a8213a20b58'  
  h'20001122334455566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789ab'  
  h'cdeffedcba98765432100c1987d0'  
  / components / 2 : h'81245466c61736843003401'  
  [  
    [h'466c617368', h'003401'],  
  ],  
  / common / 4 : h'8213a20b5820001122334455566778899aabbccddeee'  
  h'ff0123456789abcdefedcba98765432100c1987d0'  
  / set-vars / 19, {  
    / digest / 11 : h'001122334455566778899aabbccddeeff01'  
    h'23456789abcdefedcba98765432100c1987d0',  
    / size / 12 : 34768  
  },  
  },  
  / run-image / 12 : h'860c0003f617f6'  
  / set-component-index / 12, 0,  
  / condition-image / 3, None,  
  / run / 23, None,  
}  

Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 83  

Outter:  
a201f603584da40101020103583ca2024c818245466c6173684300340104582a8213a20b 582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c19 87d00c47860c0003f617f6  

### 13.2. Example 1:  
Simultaneous download and installation of payload.  

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.
Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:
Internet-Draft          Firmware Manifest Format               July 2019

{
    / auth object / 1 : None
    / manifest / 3 : h'a40101020203583ca2024c818245466c6173684300340104'
        h'582a8213a20b582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff'
        h'0123456789abcdefedcbb98765432100c1987d009582586'
        h'0c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f'
        h'6d2f66696c652e62696e15f6' \ 
    / structure-version / 1 : 1
    / sequence-number / 2 : 2
    / common / 3 : h'a2024c818245466c6173684300340104582a8213a20b58'
        h'2000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789ab'
        h'cdeffedcbb98765432100c1987d0' \ {
        / components / 2 : h'818245466c61736843003401' \ 
            [ [h'466c617368', h'003401'],
            ],
        / common / 4 : h'8213a20b582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
            h'23456789abcdefedcbb98765432100c1987d0'
        \ [ 
            / set-vars / 19, {
                / digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
                    h'23456789abcdefedcbb98765432100c1987d0'
            },
            / size / 12 : 34768
        },
    },
    / apply-image / 9 : h'860c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d70'
        h'6c652e636f6d2f66696c652e62696e15f6' \ [
        / set-component-index / 12, 0,
        / set-vars / 19, {
            / uri / 6 : http://example.com/file.bin
        },
        / fetch / 21, None,
    ]
}

Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 114

Outer:
a201f603586ca40101020203583ca2024c818245466c6173684300340104582a8213a20b
582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789abcdefedcbb98765432100c19
87d0095825860c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f66696c65
2e62696e15f6

13.3. Example 2:

Compatibility test, simultaneous download and installation, and secure boot.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```json
{
  "structure-version": 1,
  "sequence-number": 3,
  "common": {
    "common-sequence": [
      {
        "directive-set-var": {
          "vendor-id": "fa6b4a53-d5ad-5fdf-be9d-e663e4d41ffe",
          "class-id": "1492af14-2569-5e48-bf42-9b2d51f2a45",
          "digest": "00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff",
          "0123456789abedefc9876543210",
          "size": 34768
        }
      },
      { "condition-vendor-id": null },
      { "condition-class-id": null }
    ],
    "components": [{
      "Flash",
      "size": 78848
    }]
  }]
  "apply-image": [
    { "directive-set-component": 0 },
    { "directive-set-var": {
      "uri": "http://example.com/file.bin"
    }
  },
    { "directive-fetch": null }
  ],
  "run-image": [
    { "directive-set-component": 0 },
    { "condition-image": null },
    { "directive-run": null }
  ]
}
```

Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:
{
  / auth object / 1 : None
  / manifest / 3 : h'a501010203035864a2024c818245466c6173684300340104'
h'58528613a40350fa6b4a53d5ad5bfdfbe9de663e4d41ffe04'
h'501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450b58200112233'
h'445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789abcdefedc98'
h'765432100c1987d001f602f6095825860c0013a106781b68'
h'7474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f66696c652e62'
h'696e15f60c47860c0003f617f6' \\
  / structure-version / 1 : 1
  / sequence-number / 2 : 3
  / common / 3 : h'a2024c818245466c617368430034010458528613a40350'
h'fa6b4a53d5ad5fdffbe9de663e4d41ffe04501492af1425'
h'6954e8bf429b2d51f2ab450b58200112233455667788'
h'99aabbccddeeff0123456789abcdefedc9876543210'
h'c01987d001fd02f6' \
  / components / 2 : h'818245466c61736843003401'
  [h'46c617368', h'003401'],
  ],
  / common / 4 : h'8613a40350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdffbe9de663e4d41ffe'
h'04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450b5820'
h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789'
h'abcdeffedc9876543210c1987d001fd02f6' 
  [ / set-vars / 19, {
    / vendor-id / 3 : h'fa6b4a53d5ad5fdffbe9de663e4d41ffe'
h'fe'
    / class-id / 4 : h'1492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab45'
    / digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789'
h'abcdeffedc9876543210c1987d001fd02f6'
  },
  / size / 12 : 34768
  ],
  / condition-vendor-id / 1, None,
  / condition-class-id / 2, None,
  ],
  ],
  / apply-image / 9 : h'860c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d70'
h'6c652e636f6d2f66696c652e62696e15f6' 
  [ / set-component-index / 12, 0,
    / set-vars / 19, {
      / uri / 6 : http://example.com/file.bin
    },
    / fetch / 21, None,
  ],
  / run-image / 12 : h'860c0003f617f6'
  [ / set-component-index / 12, 0,
    / condition-image / 3, None,
}
Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 163

Outer:

a201f603589da501010203035864a2024c818245466c617368430034010458528613a403
50fa6b4a53d5ad5fddfbe9de663e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450b
582000112233445566778899aabcccddeeff0123456789abcdefedcba98765432100c19
87d001f602f6095825860c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f
66696c652e62696e15f60c47860c0003f617f6

13.4. Example 3:

Compatibility test, simultaneous download and installation, load from external storage, and secure boot.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```json
{
   "structure-version": 1,
   "sequence-number": 4,
   "common": {
      "common-sequence": [
         {
            "directive-set-var": {
               "vendor-id": "fa6b4a53-d5ad-5fddf-be9d-e663e4d41ffe",
               "class-id": "1492af14-2569-5e48-bf42-9b2d51f2ab45"
            },
         },
         {
            "directive-set-component": 0,
         },
         {
            "directive-set-var": {
               "digest": "00112233445566778899aabcccddeeff"
               "0123456789abcdefedcba9876543210",
               "size": 34768
            }
         },
         {
            "directive-set-component": 1,
         },
         {
            "directive-set-var": {
               "digest": "00112233445566778899aabcccddeeff"
               "0123456789abcdefedcba9876543210",
               "size": 34768
            }
         }
   }
}
```
Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:

```json
{
  /auth object / 1 : None
  /manifest / 3 : h'a50101020403589ba20254828245466c6173684300340182'
h'435241444200040458818e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfe'
h'9de663e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b245f2ab'
h'450c0013a20b58200011223345556778899aabbccddeeff'
h'0123456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c0113a2'
}```
```
{
/ structure-version / 1 : 1
/ sequence-number / 2 : 4
/ common / 3 : h'a20254828245466c6173684303401824352414d420004'
h'0458818e1a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41f'
h'fe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013a2'
h'0b582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01234567'
h'89abcdefdedc9a98765432100c1987d000c0113a20b5820'
h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0123456789abce'
h'effedcba98765432100c1987d001f602f6'
}
/ components / 2 : h'8282454666c6173684303401824352414d42000'
h'04'
[
[ h'466c617368', h'003401'
, h'52414d', h'00004'
],
/ common / 4 : h'8e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41ffe'
h'04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013a2'
h'a020582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
h'23456789abcdefdedcba98765432100c1987d000c01'
h'13a20582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
h'0123456789abcdefdedcba98765432100c1987d0001'
h'f602f6'
]
/ set-vars / 19, {
/ vendor-id / 3 : h'fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41f'
h'fe'
/ class-id / 4 : h'1492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab45'
},
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,
/ set-vars / 19, {
/ digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
h'23456789abcdefdedcba98765432100c1987d000c01'
/ size / 12 : 34768
},
/ set-component-index / 12, 1,
/ set-vars / 19, {
/ digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'
h'23456789abcdefdedcba98765432100c1987d000c01'
/ size / 12 : 34768
},
/ condition-vendor-id / 1, None,
/ condition-class-id / 2, None,
}
```
Example 4:

Compatibility test, simultaneous download and installation, load and decompress from external storage, and secure boot.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```
{
  "structure-version": 1,
  "sequence-number": 5,
  "common": {
    "common-sequence": [
      
    ]
  }
}
```

Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 228
"directive-set-var": {
  "vendor-id": "fa6b4a53-d5ad-5fdf-be9d-e663e4d41ffe",
  "class-id": "1492af14-2569-5e48-bf42-9b2d51f2ab45"
},
"directive-set-component": 0,
"directive-set-var": {
  "digest": "00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff",
  "size": 34768
},
"directive-set-component": 1,
"directive-set-var": {
  "digest": "0123456789abcdefc9876543210",
  "size": 34768
},
"condition-vendor-id": null,
"condition-class-id": null
],
"components": [
  [
    "Flash",
    78848
  ],
  [
    "RAM",
    1024
  ]
],
"apply-image": [
  { "directive-set-component": 0 },
  { "directive-set-var": {
    "uri": "http://example.com/file.bin"
  },
  { "directive-fetch": null }
],
"load-image": [
  { "directive-set-component": 0 },
  { "condition-image": null },
  { "directive-set-component": 1 },
Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:

```json
{
    /auth object /1 : None
    /manifest /3 : h'60101020503589ba20254828245466c617368430340182'  
        h'4352414d4200040458818e13a20350fa6b4a535d5a5dfdfbe'  
        h'9de6634d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d5f2ab'  
        h'50c0013a0b5820011223344556678899aabccddeeff'  
        h'023456789aef0c452414d235214d420004'  
        h'04a558818e13a20350fa6b4a535d5a5dfdfbe9de6634d41f'  
        h'fe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d5f2ab50c0013a2'  
        h'0b582001122344556678899aabccddeeff01234567'  
        h'89abcdef0c98765432100c1987d00c0113a20b5820'  
        h'0123456789aef0c452414d235214d420004'  
        h'778899aabccddeeff0c1987d00f602f609582b60c'  
        h'0013a106781b6874747032a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d'  
        h'2f66696c652e62696e15f60b508a0c003f60c0113a20841'  
        h'f60a0016f60c458403f617f6' \ 
    /structure-version /1 : 1
    /sequence-number /2 : 5
    /common /3 : h'20254828245466c6173684303401824235214d420004'  
        h'04a558818e13a20350fa6b4a535d5a5dfdfbe9de6634d41f'  
        h'fe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d5f2ab50c0013a2'  
        h'0b582001122344556678899aabccddeeff01234567'  
        h'89abcdef0c98765432100c1987d00c0113a20b5820'  
        h'0123456789aef0c452414d235214d420004'  
        h'778899aabccddeeff0c1987d00f602f609582b60c'  
        h'0013a106781b6874747032a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d'  
        h'2f66696c652e62696e15f60b508a0c003f60c0113a20841'  
        h'f60a0016f60c458403f617f6' \ 
    /components /2 : h'828245466c6173684303401824235214d4200'  
        h'04' \ 
    [h'466c617368', h'003401'],  
    [h'52414d', h'0004'],  
},
    /common /4 : h'8e13a20350fa6b4a535d5a5dfdfbe9de6634d41f'  
        h'04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d5f2ab450c0013'
}
```
h'a20b582000112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'  
h'23456789abcedeefedcba98765432100c1987d00c01'  
h'13a20b58200123456789abcedeefedcba9876543210'  
h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff0c1987d001'  
h'f602f6'  
/ set-vars / 19, {  
  / vendor-id / 3 : h'fa6b4a53d5ad5fdbe9de663e4d41f'  
  / class-id / 4 : h'1492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab45'  
},  
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,  
/ set-vars / 19, {  
  / digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01'  
  / size / 12 : 34768  
},  
/ set-component-index / 12, 1,  
/ set-vars / 19, {  
  / digest / 11 : h'0123456789abcdeffedcba98765432100'  
  / size / 12 : 34768  
},  
/ condition-vendor-id / 1, None,  
/ condition-class-id / 2, None,  
},  
/ apply-image / 9 : h'860c0013a106781b687474703a2f2f6578616d70'  
  h'6c652e636f6d2f66696c652e62696e15f6'  
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,  
/ set-vars / 19, {  
  / uri / 6 : http://example.com/file.bin  
},  
/ fetch / 21, None,  
},  
/ load-image / 11 : h'8a0c0003f60c0113a20841f60a0016f6'  
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,  
/ condition-image / 3, None,  
/ set-component-index / 12, 1,  
/ set-vars / 19, {  
  / unknown / 8 : h'f6'  
  / source-component / 10 : 0  
},  
/ copy / 22, None,  
},  
/ run-image / 12 : h'8403f617f6'  
/ condition-image / 3, None,  
/ run / 23, None,  
}
13.6. Example 5:

Compatibility test, download, installation, and secure boot.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```json
{
  "structure-version": 1,
  "sequence-number": 6,
  "common": {
    "common-sequence": [
      { "directive-set-var": {
        "vendor-id": "fa6b4a53-d5ad-5fdf-be9d-e663e4d41ffe",
        "class-id": "1492af14-2569-5e48-bf42-9b2d51f2ab45"
      }
    ],
    "directive-set-component": 0,
    { "directive-set-var": {
      "digest": "00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff",
      "size": 34768
    }
    },
    { "directive-set-component": 1,
      { "directive-set-var": {
        "digest": "0123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210",
        "size": 34768
      }
      }
    }
  }
}```
Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:

```
{
  / auth object / 1 : None
  / manifest / 3 : h'a60101020603589ea202578282467b1b4595ab2143003401'
}
```
h'8245466c617368420004058818e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad'
h'5fddfde9e663e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d'
h'51f2ab450c0013a20b58200011223345566778899aabcc'
h'ddeeff0123456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c'
h'0113a20b582000123456789abcdeffedcba987654321001'
h'2233445566778899aabccddeefff0c1987d00f602f60958'
h'25860c0013a106581b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e'
h'63sf662f66696c652e62696e15f60b528e0c011819f60c00'
h'03f60c0113a10a0015f60c47860c0103f617f6' \
{
  / structure-version / 1 : 1
  / sequence-number / 2 : 6
  / common / 3 : h'a202578282467b1b4595ab21430034018245466c617368'
h'4200040458818e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41ffe'
h'e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c'
h'0013a20b58200011223345566778899aabccddeefff01'
h'23456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c0113a2'
h'085b82000123456789abcdeffedcba98765432100112233'
h'445566778899aabccddeefff0c1987d00f602f6f6' 
} / components / 2 : h'8282467b1b4595ab21430034018245466c61737'
h'68420004'
[
  [h'7b1b4595ab21', h'003401'],
  [h'466c617368', h'0004'],
],
/ common / 4 : h'8e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41ffe'
h'04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013'
h'a20b58200011223345566778899aabccddeefff01'
h'23456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c01'
h'13a20b582000123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210'
h'0011223345566778899aabccddeefff0c1987d001'
h'f602f6f6' 
] / set-vars / 19, {
  / vendor-id / 3 : h'fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfbe9de663e4d41ffe'
  / class-id / 4 : h'1492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab45'
},
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,
/ set-vars / 19, {
  / digest / 11 :h'0011223345566778899aabccddeefff01'
    h'23456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c01'
  / size / 12 : 34768
},
/ set-component-index / 12, 1,
/ set-vars / 19, {
  / digest / 11 :h'0123456789abcdeffedcba987654321000'
    h'11233445566778899aabccddeefff',
  / size / 12 : 34768
}


```
}
/ apply-image / 9 : h'860c0013a106581b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f6696e15f6'
    / set-component-index / 12, 0,
    / set-vars / 19,
        / uri / 6 : h'687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f6696e696c62696e15f6'
    },
/ fetch / 21, None,
],
/ load-image / 11 : h'8e0c001181960c0003f60c00113a10a0015f6'
    / set-component-index / 12, 1,
/ condition-not-image / 25, None,
    / set-component-index / 12, 0,
/ condition-image / 3, None,
    / set-component-index / 12, 1,
    / set-vars / 19,
        / source-component / 10 : 0
},
/ fetch / 21, None,
],
/ run-image / 12 : h'860c0103f617f6'
    / set-component-index / 12, 1,
/ condition-image / 3, None,
    / run / 23, None,
]
}
)

Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 241

Outer:
a201f60358e9ba6010120603589ea2025782b2a467b1b4595ab21340034018245466c6173
68420004045818e13a20350afa6b4a53d5ad5f6f6e9de663e4d41fde04501492af142569
5e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013a20b5820001123345566778899aabbcddefeff00012345
6789abcdeffedcb987654321000c1987d000c0113a20b58200123456789abceddefdcb98
76543210001123345556778899aabbcddefeff0c1987d001f602f6095825860c00113a1
06581b687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f6696ec652e62696e15f60b528e0c
011819f60c0003f60c0113a10a0015f60c47860c0103f617f6
```
13.7. Example 6:

Compatibility test, 2 images, simultaneous download and installation, and secure boot.

The following JSON shows the intended behaviour of the manifest.

```json
{
    "structure-version": 1,
    "sequence-number": 7,
    "common": {
        "common-sequence": [0]
    },
    "directive-set-component": 0,
    "directive-set-var": {
        "vendor-id": "fa6b4a53-d5ad-5fde-be9d-e6d3e4d41ffe",
        "class-id": "1492af14-2569-5e48-bf42-9b2d51f2ab45"
    },
    "directive-set-component": 1,
    "directive-set-var": {
        "digest": "00112233445566778899aabbccddeeff",
        "0123456789abcdefedcba9876543210",
        "size": 34768
    },
    "condition-vendor-id": null,
    "condition-class-id": null
},
"components": [
    ["Flash", 78848],
    ["Flash", 132096]
]
}``
"apply-image": [  
  { "directive-set-component": 0 },  
  {   
    "directive-set-var": {  
      "uri": "http://example.com/file1.bin"  
    }  
  },  
  { "directive-set-component": 1 },  
  {   
    "directive-set-var": {  
      "uri": "http://example.com/file2.bin"  
    }  
  },  
  { "directive-set-component": true },  
  { "directive-fetch": null }  
],  
"run-image": [  
  { "directive-set-component": true },  
  { "condition-image": null },  
  { "directive-set-component": 0 },  
  { "directive-run": null }  
]

Converted into the SUIT manifest, this produces:

{
  / auth object / 1 : None
  / manifest / 3 : h'a5010102070358a0a20257828245466c6173684300340182'
    h'45466c617368430004020458838e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad'
    h'f5dfebe9de63e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d'
    h'5f2ab450c0013a20b58200012233445566778899abbc'
    h'dd6eff0123456789abcdeffedc987654321001987d00c'
    h'0113a20b58200123456789abcdeffedc98765432100011'
    h'2233445566778899aabccdceff0c1a000122201f602f6f'
    h'0958ab8c0c0013a106781c687474703a2f2f6578616d706c'
    h'652e636f6d2f66696c65312e62696e0c0113a106781c6874'
    h'74703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f6d2f66696c6532e62'
    h'69e0cf515f60c49880cf503f60c0017f6'  
  /
  / structure-version / 1 : 1
  / sequence-number / 2 : 7
  / common / 3 : h'a20257828245466c617368430034018245466c61736843'
    h'0004020458838e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5fdfe9de663'
    h'5e4d41ffe04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d5f2ab450c'
    h'0013a20b58200012233445566778899aabccdceff01'
    h'23456789abcdeffedc98765432100c1987d00c0113a2'
    h'0b58200123456789abcdeffedc987654321000112233'  

h'445566778899aabccddeeff0c1a00012c2201f602f6'
  
  / components / 2 : h'828245466c617368430034018245466c617368'
    '43000402' \ 
    ['h'466c617368', 'h'003401'],
    ['h'466c617368', 'h'000402'],
  ],
  / common / 4 : h'8e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5dfdb9de663e4d1ffe'
    '04501492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013'
    'a20b5820011234556778899aabccddeeff01'
    '3456789abcdefedcba98765432100c1987d00c01'
    '3a1002b582001123456789abcdefedcba9876543210'
    '00112233445566778899aabccddeeff0c1a00012c'
    'h'2201f602f6' \ 
  / set-vars / 19, {
    / vendor-id / 3 : h'fa6b4a53d5ad5dfdb9de663e4d1ffe'
      'h'fe'
    / class-id / 4 : h'1492af1425695e48bf429b2d51f2ab45'
  },
  / set-component-index / 12, 0,
  / set-vars / 19, {
    / digest / 11 : h'00112233445566778899aabccddeeff01'
      'h'23456789abcdefedcba9876543210',
    / size / 12 : 34768
  },
  / set-component-index / 12, 1,
  / set-vars / 19, {
    / digest / 11 : h'0123456789abcdefedcba987654321000'
      'h'112233445566778899aabccddeeff',
    / size / 12 : 76834
  },
  / condition-vendor-id / 1, None,
  / condition-class-id / 2, None,
},
},
/ apply-image / 9 : h'8c0c0013a106781c687474703a2f2f6578616d70'
    '6c52e636f6d2f66696c65312e62696e0c0113a1'
    '06781c687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f'
    '6d2f66696c65322e62696e0cf515f6'
    \ 
    / set-component-index / 12, 0,
    / set-vars / 19, {
      / uri / 6 : http://example.com/file1.bin
    },
    / set-component-index / 12, 1,
    / set-vars / 19, {
      / uri / 6 : http://example.com/file2.bin
    },
/ set-component-index / 12, True,
/ fetch / 21, None,
],
/ run-image / 12 : h'880cf503f60c0017f6' \ [ 
/ set-component-index / 12, True,
/ condition-image / 3, None,
/ set-component-index / 12, 0,
/ run / 23, None,
],
)

Total size of outer wrapper without COSE authentication object: 264

Outer:

a201f603590101a5010102070358a0a20257828245466c61736843034018245466c6173
68430004020458838e13a20350fa6b4a53d5ad5f0f9e9de663e4d41f0e04501492af1425
695e48bf429b2d51f2ab450c0013a20b582001122344556678899aabbccddeeff0123
456789abcdeffedcba98765432100c1987d00c0113a20b58200123456789abcdeffedcba
98765432100112233445566778899aabbccddeeff01a00012c2201f602f609584b8c0c
0013a106781c687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f66696c65312e62696e0c01
13a106781c687474703a2f2f6578616d706c652e636f66696c65322e62696e0ef515
f60c49880cf503f60c0017f6

14. IANA Considerations

Several registries will be required for:

- standard Commands
- standard Parameters
- standard Algorithm identifiers
- standard text values

15. Security Considerations

This document is about a manifest format describing and protecting firmware images and as such it is part of a larger solution for offering a standardized way of delivering firmware updates to IoT devices. A more detailed discussion about security can be found in the architecture document [Architecture] and in [Information].
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