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Abstract

In VXLAN overlay networks, Operation and Management (OAM) functions are important for fault management and performance monitoring. Path Detection (PD) is one critical OAM function which is applied to monitor and/or diagnose the potential paths between two VTEPs or between two Tenant System. In addition, it can assist to identify the locations of failures on data transmission paths.

This document specifies a method of PD method for VXLAN Overlay Networks by using a centralized controller. However, the method can be easily extended to support the overlay networks without a centralized controller. It can also be generalized to other overlay technique such as NVGRE.
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1. Introduction

In VXLAN overlay networks, OAM functions such as fault management should be implemented to prevent the path failure problem [NVO3-OAM-REQ]. Path Detection is one of OAM function which can be used to detect all available paths between two Tenant Systems or two VTEPs, and so it is widely used to assist the identify of the failure locations along a transmission path.

In this memo, a PD mechanism is specified for VXLAN overlay networks. A centralized Controller is provided as a centralized unit to: 1) construct Path Detection (PD) packets, 2) inject them into the network devices to record information such as device’s Ingress/Egress interface number, and 3) collect the PD packets from network devices for further analysis. Therefore, Path Detection such as monitoring and diagnose can be realized more efficient.

Figure 1 shows the architecture of this mechanism:

***************                                      *
  *             +------------+   Fabric +------------+    *
  *             |            |       Controller |      |
  *             |             |             |
  *             |             |             |
  *             |             |             |
  *             |             |             |
  *             |     +-----+   +------+  +-----+   +-----+ |
  *             |     |       |   |       |   |       |   |     |
  *             |     |       |   |       |   |       |   |     |
  | VM|       |   |       |   |       |   |       |   | VM|
  |-----|   |   |-----|   |   |-----|   |   |-----|  |
  | +--+|   |   | +----+|   | L3   |-----| VTEP|   |-----| +-----+ |
  | |VM|+---*--+|VTEP|+-----+ Devices |     |+----+|  *   |+--+ | |
  | +--+|   *  |      |     |         |     |      |  *   |     | |
  +-----+   *  +------+     +---------+     +------+  *   +-----+ |
          Server *    ToR                          ToR     *    Server
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          *             *
          ***************

Figure 1 VXLAN Overlay Network with Fabric Controller
This method can be extended to support the overlay network without fabric controller. In this case, it could be regarded as a traditional OAM fault management solution described in [NVO3-OAM-fm]. It can also be generalized to support other overlay technique such as NVGRE [NVGRE].

The following of this document is organized as follows: Section 3 describes the format of PD packets. Section 4 introduces the procedure of Path Detection between VTEPs. Section 5 describes the procedure of Path Detection between Tenant Systems.

1.1. Acronyms and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Because this document reuses most of the terms specified in [RFC7348] [RFC7364] and [RFC7365], this section only defines the key terms used by this document.

NVGRE: Network Virtualization using Generic Routing Encapsulation

OAM: Operations, Administration, and Management

Controller: an entity that generates PD packets and injects them into the overlay network through VTEPs, also collects PD packets from network devices in overlay network.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. Path Detection Under the Assistance of Fabric Controller

This section describes the format of PD packet.

To provide accurate monitoring and/or diagnostic services, a PD packet and the corresponding user packets should be transported over the same data path. In addition, PD packets SHOULD NOT be transferred to the outside of the overlay network.
3.1 General Format of PD packet

Figure 2 shows the format of a PD packet:

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

VXLAN Header (8 Octets): A fixed size field, used to carry NVO3 specific information. This work complies with the VXLAN Header specified in Section 5 of [RFC 7348] but uses a reserve field as the flag to distinguish the packets for PD from the normal user packets.

Pseudo-Header (128 Octets): A fixed size field, consists of the information of Ethernet MAC header, IPv4 header, and TCP/UDP header, which is used to identify the packets within the same flow.

OAM PDU (Variable): A variable size field, used to carry the path detection information. An OAM PUB consists of OAM flag, OAM type and Extendable TLV as shown in Section 3.4. For a OAM PDU, 4 Octets alignment MUST be guaranteed.

3.2 Format of VXLAN Header

In this work, the "PD" flag (as with the illustration in Figure 3)
MUST be set for all the PD packets.

0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|R|R|R|R|I|R|R|R|               Reserved                        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|        VXLAN Network Identifier (VNI)         |R|R|R|R|R|PD|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-++--+-+-+-+-+

Figure 3  VXLAN header with the "PD" Flag

PD (1 bit) - Indicates it is a PD packet and needs to be handled as specified in this document.

All other fields comply with what are specified in Section 5 of [RFC 7348].

3.3 Pseudo-Header

The Pseudo-Header is used to ensure that the PD packets are transported along the paths that the service flows actually transported. In order to achieve this, the five-tuples identifying the service flow should be copied directly into associating fields in the Pseudo-header.

3.4 Format of OAM PDU

OAM PDU consists of an OAM flag field, an OAM type field and an Extendable TLV field. This structure is used to identify the type of Path Detection, and records the OAM information along the traverse path at each hop. The information will be report to fabric controller at each hop, in order to depict the complete path information. Following is the format of OAM PDU.
OAM Type (1 Octet): used to identify the function of PD packets. Currently two functions are specified: path traversal and path tracking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAM type</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>Path Traversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>Path Tracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserved (3 Octets): padding bits, used to keep the 4 Octets alignment.

Extendable TLV (Variable): used to carry path detection information such as the Ingress/Egress Interface Identifiers of network devices along the path in VXLAN overlay network.

3.5 Format of Extendable OAM TLV

The following figure depicts the general format of an Extendable OAM TLV:
Type (2 Octets): Specifies the Type of the TLV. (see Section 3.5.1 for TLV types)

Length (2 Octets): Specifies the length of the 'Value' field in octets. Length of the 'field' can be either zero or more octets.

Value (Variable): The length and the content of this field depend on the type of the TLV. (see Section 3.5.2 for content of TLV)

3.5.1 TLV Type

This document specifies two type of Extendable OAM TLV: Ingress Interface Identifier (IIID) TLV and Egress Interface Identifier (EIID) TLV. The Type field of each TLV is specified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>TLV Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>Ingress Interface Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>Egress Interface Identifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.2 Content of Extendable OAM TLV

For an IIID TLV, the type field is set as 0x0001, the length field is set as 4. The value field is 4 Octets long which contains the device’s Ingress Interface Identifier.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|                      Type = 0x0001                     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|                      Length = 4                      |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|                      Ingress Interface Identifier    |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
```

Figure 6 IIID TLV

For an EIID TLV, the type field is set as 0x0002, the length field is set as 4. The value field is 4 Octets long which contains the device’s Egress Interface Identifier.
4. Path Detection between VTEPs

In VXLAN overlay networks, Equal Cost Multi-path (ECMP) may exist between two VTEPs, which may be leveraged to achieve load balance. Link failure and other reasons may lead to the broken of equal cost paths. In order to avoid delivering packets to the broken paths, it’s necessary to detect all the potential paths between the VTEPs. The basic idea is to traversal these paths using the PD packets.

The process of path detection between VTEPs is:

1. The Fabric Controller generates a series of Path Traversal packets targeting to the same Egress VTEP. The outer Source UDP port numbers of the Path Traversal packets keep increased by 1. For example, assume the outer Source UDP port number of a Path Traversal packet is set to 4000. Then the outer Source UDP port numbers of subsequent Path Traversal packets are set as 4001, 4002, 4003, etc. The ‘PD bit’ in VXLAN header is set to 1. The content of Pseudo header is left to empty (default value is full-zero), and the OAM type field is set to 0x01 to indicate that it is a Path Traversal packet.

2. After the Ingress VTEP receives the Path Traversal packets from Controller, it then computes the corresponding egress port based on the outer header information and then delivers the packet to that port. By continuous increasing the Source UDP port number, these packets can be distributed to different equal cost paths. Therefore, these Path Traversal packets could go through all the equal cost paths between the two VTEPs.

3. The Extendable TLV field contains two TLVs. Both of them are set by the network devices along the transport path. The TLVs are used to record the identifier of device’s Ingress/Egress interface the PD packet goes through. Each network device receives the Path Traversal packet from its upstream device, makes a copy of it and passes the copy to its CPU. After filling the extendable TLVs in this copy, the network device will deliver this copy to the Fabric Controller for
further handling.

4. By gathering all the Path traversal packets from the network devices along the paths, the Controller is able to compute the number of available paths, which could be presented by graphical chart.

5. Path Detection between Tenant Systems

In VXLAN overlay network, link failures are common and it may affect normal operations of up-layer applications. For example, it may lead to service flow interruptions which are unacceptable for most applications.

Path Detection between two End Systems is essential for accurate monitoring and/or diagnostics. The basic idea is to transport the Path Tracking packets right along the path, that the service flow are transport through.

The process of Path Detection between Tenant Systems is:

1. The Fabric Controller generates one Path Tracking packet to Ingress VTEP. The ‘PD bit’ in the VXLAN header of the packet is set to 1. The content of Pseudo-header is set as the tuple information which are transported over the path being detected. The OAM type field is set to 0x02 to indicate it is a Path Tracking packet.

2. After the Ingress VTEP receives the Path Tracking packets from Fabric Controller, it will firstly compute the outer source UDP port number based on the information form in Pseudo-header. Then it deliveries these packets to the corresponding egress port based on the outer headers information.

3. Each network device receives the Path Tracking packet from its upstream device, makes a copy of it and passes the copy to its CPU. After filling the extendable TLVs in this copy, the network device will deliver this copy to the Controller for further handling. By doing this, each network device along the path will deliver a copy of Path Tracking packets back to Fabric Controller in a hop-by-hop manner.

4. By gathering all the Path traversal packets from network devices along the paths, Fabric Controller is able to accurately monitor the status of each link on the data flow path and locate the point of failure. Fabric Controller may also present the path status using graphical chart.
6. Security Considerations

VXLAN security consideration is discussed in Section 7 of RFC 7348. This document specifies a path failure detection mechanism by extending the VXLAN header. Thus it has the similar vulnerability as VXLAN. For example, attackers can inject spoofed path failure detection packets to the VXLAN overlay network. Administrative measures, ACL (Access Control List), authentication and encryption etc could be used to mitigate the attack.

In addition, because the controller needs to collect and process the PD packets sent from the network devices. An attacker may perform DDoS attacks to the controller by generating a large amount of PD packets and sent them to a VXLAN overlay network. This issue will be well analyzed in our future work.

7. IANA Considerations

TBD.
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