Abstract

The Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) provides mechanisms for Path Computation Elements (PCEs) to perform path computations in response to Path Computation Clients (PCCs) requests. It is helpful for PCEs to calculate more reasonable path if PCCs can provide information of traffic prediction. This memo specifies extensions to PCEP that allow PCE to request prediction-related information.
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1. Introduction

[RFC5440] provides the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP). PCEP defines the communication between a Path Computation Client (PCC) and a Path Control Element (PCE), or between PCE and PCE, enabling computation of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) for Traffic Engineering Label Switched Path (TE LSP) characteristics.

For calculating proper path according to the tendency of traffic distribution in specific network area, this memo introduce a mechanism to make PCE able to get predicted information from PCC for specific path computation request.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Terminology

This memo uses the following terms defined in [RFC5440]: PCC, PCE, PCEP Speaker.

4. Motivation

Along the network scale expansion and incremental diversity of network equipments, the network complexity increases exponentially, then the path computation in network becomes harder. Considering both traffic distribution at present and its trend in future in time dimension, PCE could make better decision to reduce network congestion, and optimize network performance. There are many effective methods which can complete traffic prediction accurately, however, the key point is where the past data set for prediction to be saved. In some scenarios, as a requester, PCC holds much more information than the response PCE which can be used to do prediction, and not limited within single informations such as occupied bandwidth on specific network links.

Another reason for this memo is the tradeoff between PCCs and PCEs on storage resource and computing resource. If vendor choose computational resource intensive methods to predict, such as machine learning, there should be much more computing resource request.
5. Overview of Protocol Extensions

5.1. Capability Advertisement

During PCEP Initialization Phase, PCEP Speakers (PCC or PCE) advertise their support of extensions for traffic prediction. A PCEP Speaker includes the "Traffic Prediction Capability" TLV, described in Section 7.1.1, in the OPEN Object to advertise its support for traffic prediction extensions. The Traffic Prediction Capability TLV includes the 'Prediction Capability' Flag that indicates whether the PCEP Speaker supports prediction operations, and other Flags indicates further capabilities.

The PCEP extensions for traffic prediction MUST NOT be used if one or both PCEP Speakers have not included the Traffic Prediction Capability TLV in their respective OPEN message. If the PCEP Speaker on the PCC supports the extensions of this memo but does not set this flag as 1, then if the PCC receive TPReq message from the PCE, it MUST generate a PCErr with error-type ..., error-value... and it SHOULD terminate the PCEP session.

5.2. New Messages

This memo define the following new PCEP messages:

Traffic Prediction Request Message for Path Computation (TPReq): A PCEP message sent by a PCE to a PCC to request prediction for subset of whole network which contains specific network elements and network links. Each TPReq message MUST contain the predicted time sequence and expired time, MAY contain the sub-network description to be predicted and requirement for prediction accuracy, A PCE MAY send traffic prediction request message to a PCC at any time as long as it considers this operation necessary. The details of TPReq message is described in Section 6.1.

Traffic Prediction Reply Message for Path Computation (TPRep): A PCEP message sent by a PCC to a PCE to reply specific TPReq message, which contains prediction results for specific sub-network. Each TPRep message MUST contain predicted sub-network description, and related prediction information sequence. A PCC sends traffic prediction reply message if and only if it received related TPReq message. The details of TPRep message is described in Section 6.2.

Prediction Attribute Update Message for Path Computation (PAUpd): A PCEP message sent by a PCC to a PCE to indicate the scope changes of prediction attributes. Each PAUpd MAY contain sub-network
description, prediction time scope, and prediction accuracy scope. A
PCC MUST send prediction attribute update message to a PCE immediatly
after OPEN message defined in [RFC5440], or some attributes has been
changed. The details of PAUpd message is described in Section 6.3.

5.3. Normal Communication Procedure

5.3.1. Initialization Phase

In initialization phase of a PCEP session, the Traffic Prediction
Capability TLV in OPEN message sent by PCC indicates the capability
about traffic prediction that PCC can do, while the TLV sent by PCE
indicates the requests about traffic prediction that PCE MAY make.
If any flag in Traffic Prediction Capability TLV was set to 1 by both
sides, then they reached an agreement about the function advertised
by this flag. However, if P flag was not set to 1 by both sides,
then all other flags SHOULD NOT be parsed; and if not, PCC MUST send
a PAUpd message to PCE to initialize the scope of variable prediction
attributes with TPS-ID (see Section 7.3.2) set to 1. After received
the first PAUpd message from PCC, PCE MUST send a TPReq message to
confirm it with TPS-ID set to 1, too. The Initialization Phase is
shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Initialization Phase
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5.3.2. Traffic Prediction Request Sent by a PCE to a PCC

Once a PCE has successfully established a PCEP session with one or more PCEs, if a traffic prediction event is triggered that requires the traffic prediction results of a subset of network, the PCE first selects one or more PCCs which have advertised they can predict traffic for the subset.

Once the PCE has selected a PCC, it sends a TPReq message to the PCE. For example, "Predict the traffic in 5 minutes with link=link_id...". Each request is uniquely identified by a tp-id (See Section 7.3.1) number and PCC-PCE address pair. The process is shown in Figure 2.

Details about the TPReq message can be found in Section 6.1.

```
+---+   +---+
|PCC|   |PCE|
+---+   +---+
|     |   1) Traffic Prediction Event
|     |   2) PCC Selection
|<--- TPReq message----  Sent to the Selected PCC
|     |   3) Traffic Prediction Request
|     |
```

Figure 2: Traffic Prediction Request

5.3.3. Traffic Prediction Reply Sent by a PCC to a PCE

After receiving a traffic prediction request form a PCE, the PCC triggers a prediction computation. If the PCC manages to predict traffic in time that satisfies the set of required constraints, the PCC returns the result to the requesting PCE. The process is shown in Figure 3.
However, if the PCC can not predict traffic in time, it SHOULD provide the reason let to failure by sending a TPRep message with No-Prediction object (See Section 7.2). Upon receiving a reply like this, a PCE MAY decide to resend a modified request or take any other appropriate action.

Details about the TPRep message can be found in Section 6.2.

5.4. Error Reporting

6. PCEP Messages
6.1. The TPReq Message

<TPReq Message> ::= <Common Header>
<PCE-prediction-request>

Where:

<PCE-prediction-request> ::= <prediction-tracker>
[<prediction-area>]
<prediction-requirement>

6.2. The TPRep Message

<TPRep Message> ::= <Common Header>
<PCC-prediction-reply>

Where:

<PCC-prediction-reply> ::= <prediction-tracker>
[{<prediction-info-list> | no-prediction}]

<prediction-info-list> ::= <prediction-info>
[<prediction-info-list>]

6.3. The PAUpd Message

<PAUpd Message> ::= <Common Header>
<prediction-tracker>

6.4. The PCErr Message

7. Object Formats

7.1. OPEN Object

7.1.1. Traffic Prediction Capability TLV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+---------------+-------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+---------------+-------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+---------------+-------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: The Traffic Prediction Capability TLV format
The type (16 bits) of the TLV is to be assigned by IANA. The length field is 16 bit-long and has a fixed value of 4.

The value comprises several field - Flags (32 bits):

A (PREDICTION-ACCURACY-CAPABILITY - 1 bit): if set to 1 by a PCC, the A Flag indicates that the PCC can measure the accuracy of prediction results; if set to 1 by a PCE, the A Flag indicates that the PCE is capable of requesting prediction results satisfying the lowest accuracy.

S (PREDICTION-TIME-SEQUENCE-CAPABILITY - 1 bit): if set to 1 by a PCC, the S Flag indicates that the PCC can provide a sequence of prediction in time dimension; if set to 1 by a PCE, the S Flag indicates that the PCE is capable of requesting a sequence prediction results.

P (PREDICTION-CAPABILITY - 1 bit): if set to 1 by a PCC, the P Flag indicates that the PCC can provide traffic prediction ability to PCE; if set to 1 by a PCE, the P Flag indicates that the PCE is capable of requesting traffic prediction. The PREDICTION-CAPABILITY Flag must be advertised by both a PCC and a PCE to allow TPReq, TPRep, and PAUpd messages on a PCEP session. If P Flag is set to 0, other Flags in this TLV don’t make sense.

7.2. No Prediction Object

7.3. Prediction Tracker Object

7.3.1. Traffic Prediction Identifier TLV

7.3.2. Traffic Prediction State TLV
7.3.3. Prediction Attribute TLV

7.4. Prediction Requirement Object

7.4.1. Prediction Sequence TLV

7.4.2. Prediction Expired Time TLV

7.5. Prediction Information Object

7.5.1. Link Prediction TLV
7.6. Prediction Area Object

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
| Object-Class | OT |Res|P|I| Object Length (bytes) |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
| Flag |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-

// Link TLVs
```

Figure 6: Prediction Area Object Format

7.6.1. Link TLV

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
| Type=[TBD] | Length=4 |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
| LS-ID |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
```

Figure 7: Link TLV Format
8. IANA Considerations

9. Security Considerations

10. Acknowledgments

11. Contributors

12. Normative References


Authors’ Addresses

Boyuan Yan (editor)
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications
Xitucheng Road
Beijing, Haidian Dist  100876
China
Phone: +86-18810528290
Email: yanboyuan@bupt.edu.cn

Yongli Zhao (editor)
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications
Xitucheng Road
Beijing, Haidian Dist  100876
China
Phone: +86-18810528290
Email: yanboyuan@bupt.edu.cn