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Abstract

IGP Flex Algorithm proposes a solution that allows IGPs themselves to compute constraint based paths over the network, and it also specifies a way of using Segment Routing (SR) Prefix-SIDs and SRv6 locators to steer packets along the constraint-based paths. This document describes how to create Flex-algo plane with L2bundles scenario.
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1. Introduction

IGP Flex Algorithm [I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo] proposes a solution that allows IGPs themselves to compute constraint based paths over the network, and it also specifies a way of using Segment Routing (SR) Prefix-SIDs and SRv6 locators to steer packets along the constraint-based paths. It specifies a set of extensions to ISIS, OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 that enable a router to send TLVs that identify (a) calculation-type, (b) specify a metric-type, and (c) describe a set of constraints on the topology, that are to be used to compute the best paths along the constrained topology. A given combination of calculation-type, metric-type, and constraints is known as an FAD (Flexible Algorithm Definition).

[RFC8668] and [I-D.ketant-lsr-ospf-l2bundles] introduces the ability for IS-IS and OSPF respectively to advertise the link attributes of Layer 2 (L2) Bundle Members. Especially, the link attribute "Administrative Group" and "Extended Administrative Group" could be individual to each L2 Bundle Member for purpose of Flex-algo plane construction, where multiple Flex-algo planes share the same Layer 3 parent interface and each Flex-algo plane has dedicated L2 Bundle Member.

This document describes how to create Flex-algo plane with L2bundles scenario.
2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Color set on L2 Bundle Member

Traffic Engineering affinity (also termed as Color) is often to be set on the Layer 3 interface and be flooded by IGP-TE. However, when the Layer 3 interface is a Layer 2 interface bundle, operators can config individual color for each L2 Bundle Member. So that IGP link-state database will contain the TE affinity attribute of L2 Bundle Member, as well as Layer 3 parent interface.

Note that Layer 3 interface can join to IGP instance explicitly, but L2 Bundle Member not.

The TE affinity of the Layer 3 parent interface can be a combined value of all L2 Bundle Members. For example, if the Layer 3 parent interface contains three L2 Bundle Members, each with color "RED", "GREEN", "BLUE" respectively, the Layer 3 parent interface will have color "RED|GREEN|BLUE".

4. Flex-algo plane with L2 link resource

4.1. Best-effort

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo] defines the color-based link resource selection rules in FAD to construct the expected Flex-algo plane. Each node in the Flex-algo plane will establish the SPT with self as root node, to maintain the best path to other nodes and get the FIB entry based on that. The root node need check the outgoing Layer 2 interface bundle interface, to see which L2 Bundle Member does exactly belong to the Flex-algo plane. The forwarding information of the FIB entry with outgoing Layer 2 interface bundle interface will exactly select the L2 Bundle Member that belongs to the Flex-algo plane to forward packets.

For example, three Flex-algo plane share the same Layer 3 parent interface including three L2 Bundle Members each with color "RED", "GREEN", "BLUE" respectively, and each Flex-algo plane with link selection rule "Include-Any RED", "Include-Any GREEN", "Include-Any BLUE" respectively, Flex-algo SHOULD not simply select the Layer 3 parent interface to all Flex-algo plane, but need continue to select individual L2 Bundle Member to the specific Flex-algo plane. As a
result, the FIB entry within Flex-algo RED plane will exactly choose the L2 Bundle Members with color "RED" to forward packets, the FIB entry within Flex-algo GREEN plane will exactly choose the L2 Bundle Members with color "GREEN" to forward packets, and the FIB entry within Flex-algo BLUE plane will exactly choose the L2 Bundle Members with color "BLUE" to forward packets.

4.2. Traffic Engineering

A segment list contains SIDs advertised specifically for the given algorithm is possible, such as an inter-domain path contains multiple Flex-algo planes, a TI-LFA backup path within the Flex-algo plane, or an optimized TE path avoiding congested link within the Flex-algo plane. In these cases, an Adjacency segment could be used to steer the packets along the expected L2 Bundle Member that belongs to the specific Flex-algo plane.

[RFC8668] and [I-D.ketant-lsr-ospf-l2bundles] have defined Adjacency-SID for each L2 Bundle Member, that can be used to isolate flows among multiple Flex-algo planes, when these Flex-algo planes share the same Layer 3 parent interface. A specific Adjacency-SID for a specific L2 Bundle Member will steer the packets to that member.

5. IGP L2 Bundle Member EAG Extensions

5.1. ISIS L2 Bundle Member EAG Extension

[RFC8668] defined TLV-25 for ISIS to advertise the link attributes of L2 Bundle Members, and mentioned that the traditional "Administrative group (color) Sub-TLV" and "Extended Administrative Group Sub-TLV" may appear in TLV-25 and MAY be shared by multiple L2 Bundle Members. For individual EAG attributes of L2 Bundle Member purpose, this document defines a new "ISIS L2 Bundle Member EAG sub-TLV". It has the following format:
Figure 1: ISIS L2 Bundle Member EAG sub-TLV format

where:

Type: TBD1.

Length: 4 octets, it give the total length of EAG information of all members.

Extended Admin Group of L2 Bundle Member: for each L2 Bundle Member there is an EAG value. Note that "L2 Bundle Member Attributes sub-TLV" explicitly give how many L2 Bundle Members there are and list each L2 Bundle Member Identifier in order, thus in "ISIS L2 Bundle Member EAG sub-TLV" there MUST be an EAG value for each L2 Bundle Member in the same order.

"ISIS L2 Bundle Member EAG sub-TLV" MAY NOT appear more then once in TLV-25. If it appears more then once, the first one is valid.

5.2. OSPF L2 Bundle Member EAG Extension

[I-D.ketant-lsr-ospf-l2bundles] defined "L2 Bundle Member Attributes sub-TLV" for OSPF/OSPFv3 to advertise the link attributes of L2 Bundle Members, and mentioned that the traditional "Administrative group (color) Sub-TLV" and "Extended Administrative Group Sub-TLV" are applicable in "L2 Bundle Member Attributes sub-TLV". Because there is "L2 Bundle Member Attributes sub-TLV" per L2 Bundle Member,
it is sufficient to construct Flex-algo plane to select L2 link resource.

6. IANA Considerations

6.1. ISIS IANA Considerations

This document adds the following new sub-TLV to the ISIS TLV-25 registry.

Type: TBD1

Description: ISIS L2 Bundle Member Extended Administrative Group

7. Security Considerations

There are no new security issues introduced by the extensions in this document.
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