Abstract

This document defines a TLS Extension that enables a TLS server to support "pinning" to a self-chosen signing key. A client contacting a pinned host will require the server to present a signature from the signing key over the TLS server's public key.
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1. Introduction

Traditionally, a TLS client verifies a TLS server’s public key using a certificate chain issued by some public CA. "Pinning" is a way for clients to obtain increased certainty in server public keys. Clients that employ pinning check for some constant "pinned" element of the TLS connection when contacting a particular TLS host.

TACK allows clients to pin to a server-chosen signing key, known as a "TACK signing key" or "TSK", which signs the server’s TLS keys. This enables pinning without limiting a site’s flexibility to deploy different certificates and TLS keys on different servers or at different times. Since pins are based on TSKs instead of CA keys, trust in CAs is not required. Additionally, a TSK may be used to revoke compromised TLS private keys, and a pair of "overlapping" TSKs may be used to quickly introduce a new TSK if an older one has become compromised or suspect.

If requested, a compliant server will send a TLS Extension containing its "tack". Inside the tack is a TSK public key and signature. Once a client has seen the same (hostname, TSK) pair multiple times, the client will "activate" a pin between the hostname and TSK for a period equal to the length of time the pair has been observed for. This "pin activation" algorithm limits the impact of bad pins resulting from transient network attacks or operator error.

TACK pins are easily shared between clients. For example, a TACK client may scan the internet to discover TACK pins, then publish these pins through some 3rd-party trust infrastructure for other clients to rely upon.

1.1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2. Overview

2.1. Tack life cycle

A server operator using TACK may perform several processes:

Selection of a TACK signing key (TSK): The server operator first chooses the ECDSA signing key to use for a set of hostnames. It is safest to use a different TSK for each hostname, though a TSK may be reused for closely-related hostnames (such as aliases for the same host, or hosts sharing the same TLS key).

Creating initial tacks under a TSK: The TSK private key is then used to sign the TLS public keys for all servers associated with those hostnames. The TSK public key and signature are combined with some metadata into each server’s "tack".

Deploying initial tacks: For each hostname, tacks are deployed to TLS servers in a two-stage process. First, each TLS server associated with the hostname is given a tack. Once this is completed, the tacks are activated by setting the "activation flag" on each server.

Creating new tacks under a TSK: A tack needs to be replaced whenever a server changes its TLS public key, or when the tack expires. Tacks may also need to be replaced with later-generation tacks if the TSK’s "min_generation" is updated (see next).

Revoking old tacks: If a TLS private key is compromised, the tacks signing this key can be revoked by publishing a new tack containing a higher "min_generation".

Deactivating tacks: If a server operator wishes to stop deploying tacks, all tacks for a hostname can be deactivated via the activation flag, allowing the server to remove the tacks within 30 days (at most).

Overlapping tacks: If a server operator wishes to change the TSK a hostname is pinned to, the server can publish a new tack alongside the old one. This lets clients activate pins for the new TSK prior to the server deactivating the older pins.
2.2. Pin life cycle

A TACK pin associates a hostname and a TSK. Pins are grouped into "pin stores". A client may populate its pin stores by either performing "pin activation" directly, or by querying some other party. For example, a client application may have a store for pin activation as well as a store whose contents are periodically fetched from a server.

Whenever a client performing "pin activation" sees a hostname and TSK combination not represented in the "pin activation" pin store, an inactive pin is created. Every subsequent time the client sees the same pin, the pin is "activated" for a period equal to the timespan between the first time the pin was seen and the most recent time, up to a maximum period of 30 days.

A pin store may contain up to two pins per hostname. This allows for overlapping pins when a server is securely transitioning from one pin to another. If both pins are simultaneously active, then the server must satisfy both of them by presenting a pair of tacks.

In addition to creating and activating pins, a TLS connection can alter client pin stores by publishing new "min_generation" values in a tack. Each pin stores the highest "min_generation" value it has seen from the pinned TSK, and rejects tacks from earlier generations.
3. TACK Extension

3.1. Definition of TackExtension

A new TLS ExtensionType ("tack") is defined and MAY be included by a
TLS client in the ClientHello message defined in [RFC5246].

enum {tack(TBD), (65535)} ExtensionType;

The "extension_data" field of this ClientHello extension SHALL be
empty. A TLS server which is not resuming a TLS session MAY respond
with an extension of type "tack" in the ServerHello. The
"extension_data" field of this ServerHello extension SHALL contain a
"TackExtension", as defined below using the TLS presentation language
from [RFC5246].

struct {
    opaque public_key[64];
    uint8  min_generation;
    uint8  generation;
    uint32 expiration;
    opaque target_hash[32];
    opaque signature[64];
} Tack; /* 166 bytes */

struct {
    Tack   tacks<166...332>   /* 1 or 2 tacks */
    uint8  activation_flags;
} TackExtension; /* 169 or 335 bytes */
3.2. Explanation of TackExtension fields

3.2.1. Tack fields

public_key: Specifies the public key of the TSK that has signed this tack. The field contains a pair of integers \((x, y)\) representing a point on the elliptic curve \(P-256\) defined in [FIPS186-3]. Each integer is encoded as a 32-byte octet string using the Integer-to-Octet-String algorithm from [RFC6090], and these strings are concatenated with the \(x\) value first. (NOTE: This is equivalent to an uncompressed subjectPublicKey from [RFC5480], except that the initial 0x04 byte is omitted).

min_generation: Publishes a min_generation for the tack’s TSK.

generation: Assigns each tack a generation. Generations less than the highest published min_generation for the tack’s TSK are considered revoked.

expiration: Specifies a time after which the tack is considered expired. The time is encoded as the number of minutes, excluding leap seconds, after midnight UTC, January 1 1970.

target_hash: A hash of the TLS server’s SubjectPublicKeyInfo [RFC5280] using the SHA256 algorithm from [FIPS180-2]. The SubjectPublicKeyInfo is typically conveyed as part of the server’s X.509 end-entity certificate.

signature: An ECDSA signature by the tack’s TSK over the 8 byte ASCII string "tack_sig" followed by the contents of the tack prior to the "signature" field (i.e. the preceding 102 bytes). The field contains a pair of integers \((r, s)\) representing an ECDSA signature as defined in [FIPS186-3], using curve P-256 and SHA256. Each integer is encoded as a 32-byte octet string using the Integer-to-Octet-String algorithm from [RFC6090], and these strings are concatenated with the \(r\) value first.

3.2.2. TackExtension fields

tacks: This field provides the server’s tack(s). It SHALL contain 1 or 2 tacks.

activation_flags: This field contains "activation flags" for the extension’s tacks. If the low order bit is set, the first tack is considered active. If the next lowest bit is set, the second tack is considered active. All other bits are reserved for future use and MUST be ignored by clients.
4. Client processing

4.1. TACK pins

A client SHALL have a local store of pins, and MAY have multiple stores. Each pin store consists of a map associating fully qualified DNS hostnames with either one or two sets of the following values:

- **Initial time**: A timestamp noting when this pin was created.
- **End time**: A timestamp determining the pin’s "active period". If set to zero or a time in the past, the pin is "inactive". If set to a future time, the pin is "active" until that time.
- **TSK public key (or hash)**: A public key or a cryptographically-secure, second preimage-resistant hash of a public key.
- **Min_generation**: A single byte used to detect revoked tacks. All pins within a pin store sharing the same TSK SHALL have the same min_generation.

A hostname along with the above values comprises a "TACK pin". Thus, each store can hold up to two pins for a hostname (however, those two pins MUST reference different public keys). A pin "matches" a tack if they reference the same public key. A pin is "relevant" if its hostname equals the TLS server’s hostname.

4.2. High-level client processing

A TACK client SHALL send the "tack" extension defined previously, and SHALL send the "server_name" extension from [RFC6066]. If not resuming a session, the server MAY respond with a TackExtension. Regardless of whether a TackExtension is returned, the client SHALL perform the following steps prior to using the connection:

1. Check whether the TLS handshake is "valid".
2. For each pin store, do:
   A. Check tack generations and update min_generations.
   B. Determine the store’s status.
   C. Perform pin activation (optional).
These steps SHALL be performed in order. If there is any error, the client SHALL send a fatal error alert and close the connection, skipping the remaining steps (see Section 4.3 for details).

Based on step 2B, each store will report one of three statuses for the connection: "confirmed", "contradicted", or "unpinned". A contradicted connection might indicate a network attack. How the client responds to confirmed or contradicted connections is left to other specifications and client policy (see Section 5.3 for an example). If a client closes a connection due to a contradicting pin, the client SHALL send an "access_denied" alert.

4.3. Client processing details

4.3.1. Check whether the TLS handshake is valid

A TLS handshake is "valid" if the following are true. Unless otherwise specified, if any of the following are false a "bad_certificate" fatal error alert SHALL be sent.

1. The handshake protocol negotiates a cryptographically secure ciphersuite and finishes succesfully.

2. If a TackExtension is present then all length fields are correct and the tacks are "valid" (see below).

3. If there are two tacks, they have different "public_key" fields.

A tack is "valid" if:

1. "generation" is >= "min_generation".

2. "expiration" specifies a time in the future, otherwise the client SHALL send a fatal "certificate_expired" error alert.

3. "target_hash" is a correct hash of the SubjectPublicKeyInfo.

4. "signature" is a correct ECDSA signature.

4.3.2. Check tack generations and update min_generations

If a tack has matching pins in the pin store and a generation less than the stored min_generation, then that tack is revoked and the client SHALL send a fatal "certificate_revoked" error alert. If a tack has matching pins and a min_generation greater than the stored min_generation, the stored value SHALL be set to the tack’s value.
4.3.3. Determine the store’s status

If there is a relevant active pin without a matching tack, then the connection is "contradicted". If the connection is not contradicted and there is a relevant active pin with a matching tack, then the connection is "confirmed". Otherwise, the connection is "unpinned".

4.3.4. Pin activation (optional)

The TLS connection MAY be used to create, delete, and activate pins. This "pin activation algorithm" is optional; a client MAY rely on an external source of pins. If the connection was "contradicted" by the previous processing step, then pin activation is skipped.

The first step is to evaluate each of the (0, 1, or 2) relevant pins:

1. If a pin has no matching tack, its handling will depend on whether the pin is active. If active, the connection will have been contradicted, skipping pin activation. If inactive, the pin SHALL be deleted.

2. If a pin has a matching tack, its handling will depend on whether the tack is active. If inactive, the pin is left unchanged. If active, the pin SHALL have its "end time" set based on the current, initial, and end times:

   \[ \text{end} = \text{current} + \min(30 \text{ days}, \text{current} - \text{initial}) \]

In sum: (1) deletes unmatched inactive pins, and (2) activates matched pins with active tacks.

The remaining step is to add new inactive pins for any unmatched active tacks. Each new pin uses the server’s hostname, the tack’s public key and min_generation (unless the store has a higher min_generation for the public key), an "initial time" set to the current time, and an "end time" of zero. (Note that there are always sufficient empty "slots" in the pin store for adding new pins without exceeding two pins per hostname.)

The following tables summarize this behavior from the perspective of a pin. You can follow the lifecycle of a single pin from "New inactive pin" to "Delete pin".
### Relevant pin is active:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pin matches a tack</th>
<th>Tack is active</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Extend activation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Connection contradicted)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Relevant pin is inactive:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pin matches a tack</th>
<th>Tack is active</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Activate pin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Delete pin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tack doesn’t match any relevant pin:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unmatched tack is active</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>New inactive pin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Application protocols and TACK

5.1. Pin scope

TACK pins are specific to a particular application protocol. In other words, a pin for HTTPS at "example.com" implies nothing about POP3 or SMTP at "example.com".

5.2. TLS negotiation

Some application protocols negotiate TLS as an optional feature (e.g. SMTP using STARTTLS [RFC3207]). If such a server does not negotiate TLS and there are relevant active pins, then the connection is contradicted by the pin. If a client is performing pin activation for a pin store and the server does not negotiate TLS, then any relevant, inactive pins SHALL be deleted. Note that these steps are taken despite the absence of a TLS connection.

5.3. Certificate verification

A TACK client MAY choose to perform some form of certificate verification in addition to TACK processing. When combining certificate verification and TACK processing, the TACK processing described in Section 4 SHALL be followed, with the exception that TACK processing MAY be terminated early (or skipped) if some fatal certificate error is discovered.

If TACK processing and certificate verification both complete without a fatal error, then client behavior is left to other specifications and client policy. An example client policy would be to allow the connection to proceed only if it passes certificate verification and is not contradicted by a pin.
6. Fingerprints

A "key fingerprint" may be used to represent a TSK public key to users in a form that is easy to compare and transcribe. A key fingerprint consists of the first 25 characters from the base32 encoding of SHA256(public_key), split into 5 groups of 5 characters separated by periods. Base32 encoding is as specified in [RFC4648], except lowercase is used. Examples:

- g5p5x.ov4vi.dgsjv.wxctt.c5iu1
- quxiz.kpldu.uuedc.j5znm.7mqst
- e25zs.cth7k.tscmp.5hxdp.wf47j
7. Advice

7.1. For server operators

Key reuse: All servers that are pinned to a single TSK are able to impersonate each other’s hostnames, since clients will perceive their tacks as equivalent. Thus, TSKs SHOULD NOT be reused with different hostnames unless these hostnames are closely related. Examples where it would be safe to reuse a TSK are hostnames aliased to the same host, hosts sharing the same TLS key, or hostnames for a group of near-identical servers.

Aliases: A TLS server might be referenced by multiple hostnames. Clients might pin any of these hostnames. Server operators should be careful when using DNS aliases that hostnames are not pinned inadvertently.

Generations: To revoke older generations of tacks, the server operator SHOULD first provide all servers with a new generation of tacks, and only then provide servers with new tacks containing the new min_generation. Otherwise, a client might receive a min_generation update from one server but then try to contact an older-generation server which has not yet been updated.

Tack expiration: When TACK is used in conjunction with certificates it is recommended to set the tack expiration equal to the end-entity certificate’s expiration (or a later date), so that the tack and certificate can both be replaced at the same time. Alternatively, short-lived tacks MAY be used so that a compromised TLS private key has limited value to an attacker.

Nonrevokable tacks A tack with generation 255 cannot be revoked. Such tacks MAY be used to minimize the risk that a compromised TSK private key could be used to affect site availability.

Tack/pin activation: Tacks should only be activated once all TLS servers sharing the same hostname have a tack. Otherwise, a client may activate a pin by contacting one server, then contact a different server at the same hostname that does not yet have a tack.

Tack/pin deactivation: If all servers at a hostname deactivate their tacks (by clearing the activation flags), all existing pins for the hostname will eventually become inactive. The tacks can be removed after a time interval equal to the maximum active period of any affected pins (30 days at most).
Tack/pin overlap: When publishing overlapping tacks, the old and new tacks SHOULD be active simultaneously for at least 60 days. This ensures that any pin activation client who is contacting the server at intervals of 30 days or less will not have its activation periods interrupted. Example process: activate new tacks; wait 60 days; deactivate old tacks; wait 30 days; remove old tacks.

7.2. For client implementers

Sharing pin information: It is possible for a client to maintain a pin store based entirely on its own TLS connections. However, such a client runs the risk of creating incorrect pins, failing to keep its pins active, or failing to receive min_generation updates. Clients are advised to make use of 3rd-party trust infrastructure so that pin data can be aggregated and shared. This will require additional protocols outside the scope of this document.

Clock synchronization: A client SHOULD take measures to prevent tacks from being erroneously rejected as expired due to an inaccurate client clock. Such methods MAY include using time synchronization protocols such as NTP [RFC5905], or accepting seemingly-expired tacks as valid if they expired less than T minutes ago, where T is a "tolerance bound" set to the client’s maximum expected clock error.
8. Security considerations

8.1. For server operators

All servers pinned to the same TSK can impersonate each other (see Section 7.1). Think carefully about this risk if using the same TSK for multiple hostnames.

Make backup copies of the TSK private key and keep all copies in secure locations where they can’t be compromised.

A TSK private key MUST NOT be used to perform any non-TACK cryptographic operations. For example, using a TSK for email encryption, code-signing, or any other purpose MUST NOT be done.

HTTP cookies [RFC6265] set by a pinned host can be stolen by a network attacker who can forge web and DNS responses so as to cause a client to send the cookies to a phony subdomain of the pinned host. To prevent this, TACK HTTPS servers SHOULD set the "secure" attribute and omit the "domain" attribute on all security-sensitive cookies, such as session cookies. These settings tell the browser that the cookie should only be presented back to the originating host (not its subdomains), and should only be sent over HTTPS (not HTTP) [RFC6265].

8.2. For client implementers

A TACK pin store may contain private details of the client’s connection history. An attacker may be able to access this information by hacking or stealing the client. Some information about the client’s connection history could also be gleaned by observing whether the client accepts or rejects connections to phony TLS servers without correct tacks. To mitigate these risks, a TACK client SHOULD allow the user to edit or clear the pin store.

Aside from possibly rejecting TLS connections, clients SHOULD NOT take any actions which would reveal to a network observer the state of the client’s pin store, as this would allow an attacker to know in advance whether a "man-in-the-middle" attack on a particular TLS connection will succeed or be detected.

An attacker may attempt to flood a client with spurious tacks for different hostnames, causing the client to delete old pins to make space for new ones. To defend against this, clients SHOULD NOT delete active pins to make space for new pins. Clients instead SHOULD delete inactive pins. If there are no inactive pins to delete, then the pin store is full and there is no space for new pins. To select an inactive pin for deletion, the client SHOULD delete the pin with the oldest "end time".
8.3. Note on algorithm agility

If the need arises for tacks using different cryptographic algorithms (e.g., if SHA256 or ECDSA are shown to be weak), a "v2" version of tacks could be defined, requiring assignment of a new TLS Extension number. Tacks as defined in this document would then be known as "v1" tacks.
9. IANA considerations

9.1. New entry for the TLS ExtensionType Registry

IANA is requested to add an entry to the existing TLS ExtensionType registry, defined in [RFC5246], for "tack" (TBD) as defined in this document.
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