Abstract

This document extends the Multi-threaded Routing Toolkit (MRT) export format to support the storage of BMP messages.
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1. Introduction

The MRT record format [RFC6396] was developed to provide researchers and engineers a means to encapsulate, export, and archive routing protocol transactions and routing information base snapshots.

BMP [RFC7854] was developed to monitor BGP sessions, and also includes messages containing routing protocol transactions and routing Information Base contents and changes. BMP [RFC7854] does not define a mechanism for exporting and archiving BMP messages. MRT can be a suitable format for this.

This document contains an optional extension to the MRT format [RFC6396] and defines additional MRT types to permit storage of BMP messages.

2. BMP Type

This document defines the following new MRT Types:

- BMP
- BMP_ET

These MRT types support the BMP protocol. They are used to encode the exchange of BMP messages. The _ET variant is for use with the Extended Timestamp MRT Header.
The format of the MRT Message field for the BMP types is as follows:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|        Address Family           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                   Remote IP Address (variable)                |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                   Local IP Address (variable)                 |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                  BMP Message Contents (variable)              |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 1

The Address Family indicates what types of addresses are in the subsequent address fields. At present, the following AFI Types are supported:

1 - AFI_IPv4

2 - AFI_IPv6

The Address Family value only applies to the IP addresses contained in the MRT header. The BMP type is otherwise transparent to the contents of the actual message that may contain any valid AFI/SAFI values.

Remote and Local IP addresses refer to the endpoints of the TCP connection carrying the BMP session, from the perspective of the BMP monitoring station that is recording these messages.

3. IANA Considerations

This document requests that IANA assign Type codes to the MRT name space [1]:

```
BMP = TBD1 (Section 2)

BMP_ET = TBD2 (Section 2)
```

In this draft document this is requested from the Specification Required range, this may be changed to the IETF Review range by a later version of this document.
4. Security Considerations

It is not believed that this document adds any additional security considerations.

However, the security considerations of [RFC6396] and [RFC7854] are equally applicable to this document.
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