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Abstract

This specification defines a method for a client or protected resource to query an OAuth authorization server to determine meta-information about an OAuth token.
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1. Introduction

In OAuth, the contents of tokens are opaque to clients. This means that the client does not need to know anything about the content or structure of the token itself, if there is any. However, there is still a large amount of metadata that may be attached to a token, such as its current validity, approved scopes, and extra information about the authentication context in which the token was issued. These pieces of information are often vital to Protected Resources making authorization decisions based on the tokens being presented. Since OAuth2 defines no direct relationship between the Authorization Server and the Protected Resource, only that they must have an agreement on the tokens themselves, there have been many different approaches to bridging this gap.

This specification defines an Introspection Endpoint that allows the holder of a token to query the Authorization Server to discover the set of metadata for a token. A Protected Resource may use the mechanism described in this draft to query the Introspection Endpoint in a particular authorization decision context and ascertain the relevant metadata about the token in order to make this authorization decision appropriately.

2. Introspection Endpoint

The Introspection Endpoint is an OAuth 2 Endpoint that responds to HTTP GET and HTTP POST requests from token holders. The endpoint takes a single parameter representing the token (and optionally further authentication) and returns a JSON document representing the meta information surrounding the token.

2.1. Introspection Request

token  REQUIRED. The string value of the token.

resource_id  OPTIONAL. A service-specific string identifying the resource that the client doing the introspection is asking about.

The endpoint MAY allow other parameters to provide context to the query. For instance, an authorization service may need to know the IP address of the Client in order to determine the appropriateness of the token being presented.

The endpoint SHOULD also require some form of authentication to access this endpoint, such as the Client Authentication as described in OAuth 2 Core Specification [RFC6749] or a separate OAuth2 Access Token. The methods of managing and validating these authentication
credentials are out of scope of this specification.

2.2. Introspection Response

The server responds with a JSON object [RFC4627] in "application/json" format with the following top-level members. Specific implementations MAY extend this structure with their own service-specific pieces of information.

- **valid** REQUIRED. Boolean indicator of whether or not the presented token is valid.
- **expires_at** OPTIONAL. Integer timestamp, measured in the number of seconds since January 1 1970 UTC, indicating when this token will expire.
- **issued_at** OPTIONAL. Integer timestamp, measured in the number of seconds since January 1 1970 UTC, indicating when this token was originally issued.
- **scope** OPTIONAL. A space-separated list of strings representing the scopes associated with this token, in the format described in Section 3.3 of OAuth 2.0 [RFC6749].
- **client_id** OPTIONAL. Client Identifier for the OAuth Client that requested this token.
- **sub** OPTIONAL. Local identifier of the Resource Owner who authorized this token.
- **aud** OPTIONAL. Service-specific string identifier or list of string identifiers representing the intended audience for this token.

2.3. Non-normative Example

For example, a Protected Resource accepts a request from a Client carrying an OAuth2 Bearer Token. In order to know how and whether to serve the request, the Protected Resource then makes the following request to the Introspection Endpoint of the Authorization Server. The Protected Resource is here authenticating with its own Client ID and Client Secret as per OAuth2 [RFC6749] Section 2.3.1.
Following is a non-normative example request (with line wraps for display purposes only):
POST /register HTTP/1.1
Accept: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
Host: server.example.com
Authorization: Basic czZCaGRSa3F0Mzo3RmpmcDBaQnIxS3REUmJuZ1ZkbU13
token=X3241Affw.4233-99JXJ

The Authorization Server validates the client credentials and looks up the information in the token. If the token is valid, it returns the following JSON document.

Following is a non-normative example valid token response (with line wraps for display purposes only):
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json
Cache-Control: no-store

{
  "valid": true,
  "client_id": "s6BhdRkte3",
  "scope": "read write dolphin",
  "sub": "2309fj32kl",
  "aud": "http://example.org/protected-resource/*"
}

If the token presented is not valid (but the authentication presented is valid), it returns the following JSON document.

Following is a non-normative example response to an invalid token (with line wraps for display purposes only):
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json
Cache-Control: no-store

{
  "valid": false
}

If the client credentials are invalid or there is another error, the Authorization Server responds with an HTTP 400 (Bad Request) as described in OAuth 2.0 section 5.2 [RFC6749].

3. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.
4. Security Considerations

If left unprotected and un-throttled, the Introspection Endpoint could present a means for an attacker to poll a series of possible token values, fishing for a valid token. Therefore, the Authorization Server SHOULD issue special client credentials to any protected resources or clients that need to access the introspection endpoint. These credentials may be used directly at the endpoint, or they may be exchanged for an OAuth2 Access token scoped specifically for the Introspection Endpoint.
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