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Abstract

This specification defines an extensible metadata member that may be inserted into the OAuth 2.0 responses to assist the clients to process those responses. It is expressed as a member called "_links" that is inserted as the top level member in the responses. It will allow the client to learn where the members in the response could be used and how, etc. Since it is just a member, any client that does not understand this extension should not break and work normally while supporting clients can utilize the metadata to its advantage.
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1. Introduction

Although OAuth 2.0 [RFC6749] has been known for its REST friendliness, OAuth itself is not RESTful, as it heavily relies on out-of-band information to drive the interactions. This situation can be eased by hypertext-enabling the JSON responses through the introduction of a member that represents such hypertext and other metadata. To achieve this, this specification introduces a top level member "_links" that represents various link relationships and other metadata.

2. Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. JSON Meta Object

A JSON Meta Object uses the format described in [RFC4627] and is intended to be inserted into a JSON document to express some of the metadata associated with it as "_links" member.

The value of the "_links" member is a JSON object that expresses link relations ("rel"), which in turn holds an object with "href" and other members or an array of such objects.

Following non-normative schematic example should help envisage what it would look like. (Note: line-wraps are for display purpose only.)
Here, we have "_links" member that expresses various "relations" such as "self" and "http://openid.net/specs/connect/1.0/#userinfo_ep". Each relationships has either a link relations object or an array of link relations objects as its value. The link relations objects holds various members such as "href". They are explained in the next section.

3.1. _links Member

"_links" member holds exactly one object that contains the following members with relation as the "string" defined in [RFC4627]. The "string" SHOULD be a link relation type that is either defined in the IANA registry defined in Web Linking [RFC5988] or a URI that describes the relation.

Each relation member holds exactly one object or one array, whose elements are objects. Each object has following members, which are all optional.

3.1.1. href

The value of the "href" member is a URI Template [RFC6570] that the relation points to. The values for template parameters SHOULD be taken from the value of the top-level members in the including JSON object whose "string" matches the template variable name.
3.1.2. Authorize

The HTTP Authorize header defined in Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616] to be used when accessing the resource identified by href. It is templated in exactly the same syntax as in URI Template [RFC6570] except that it is applied to the Authorization request header than the URI.

3.1.3. operations

An array of possible operations on the URI value of the Section 3.1.1, whose elements are objects that describes the method and the parameters.

3.1.3.1. method

The value of the "method" member is the HTTP method defined in Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616] that can be used to the URL described in the "href". e.g., GET, POST, PUT, DELETE.

3.1.3.2. params

The "params" member describes the parameters to be sent to the URL expressed in "href". The "value" is an array whose elements represents the name of the parameters to be sent to the URI.

3.1.4. content-type

The content-type to be used when the parameters are sent to the URL.

[todo] Locate the proper reference and name for content transfer encodings.

e.g., "application/x-www-form-urlencoded", "multipart/form-data", "application/json".

4. Application to the OAuth 2.0 Token Endpoint Responses

To create the Section 3 should be used in the token endpoint responses of the OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework [RFC6749], following relations SHOULD be included.

4.1. Successful Responses

In the case of the Successful Response described in section 5.1. of [RFC6749], the following member SHOULD be present in the value of the "_links" member described in _links Member (Section 3.1) of this
specification.

4.1.1. self

An object with the following members.

href REQUIRED. The URI that resulted in this response.

4.1.2. describedby

An object with the following members.

href REQUIRED. The value is one of the following URIs:
- "http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6749#section-4.3.3" (Access Token Response of Resource Owner Password Credentials Grant),

[[editor’s note. Add Assertion Flows as well.]]

4.1.3. Protected Resources

Each protected resources MUST provide a unique Relation Name by either registering to the Link Relation Type Registry defined in section 6.2 of [RFC5988] or providing an absolute URI that provides a collision resistant name. The value is an array of objects that has the following members.

href REQUIRED. The URI template that describes the request to the resource as described in href (Section 3.1.1).

method OPTIONAL. HTTP request method to be used as described in method (Section 3.1.3.1). Defaults to "GET". Semantics of the HTTP methods in this case SHOULD map as follows: "GET" means reading the resource. "POST" means creating or updating the resource with supplied parameters in "params" member below. "DELETE" means deleting the corresponding resource. "PUT" means the complete replacement of the resource by the body of the request. The resource MUST support "GET" method. The support of other methods are OPTIONAL.

params OPTIONAL. Parameters to be sent as described in params (Section 3.1.3.2).
content-type OPTIONAL. As described in content-type (Section 3.1.4).

Authorize OPTIONAL. HTTP Authorization header to be sent when accessing the resource. This is described in Authorize (Section 3.1.2). If this member is not available, then the client SHOULD access the expanded "href" value to obtain the Authorization header response to learn what authorization scheme it should use.

4.2. Error Responses

In the case of the Error Response described in section 5.2. of [RFC6749], the following member SHOULD be present.

4.2.1. self

An object with the following members.

href REQUIRED. The URI that resulted in this response.

4.2.2. describedby

An object with the following members.

href REQUIRED. The value is "http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6749#section-5.2".

5. IANA Considerations

5.1. Link Type Registration

Pursuant to [RFC5988], the following link type registrations [[will be]] registered by mail to link-relations@ietf.org.

5.1.1. OAuth 2 Registrations

The section 3 of the OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework [RFC6749] defines two endpoints that may be discovered through this specification. These are the user Authorization Endpoint and the Token Endpoint.

5.1.1.1. Authorization Endpoint

- Relation Name: oauth2-authorize
5.1.1.2. Token Endpoint

- Relation Name: oauth2-token
- Description: An OAuth 2.0 Token Endpoint specified in section 3.2 of [RFC6749].
- Reference: [RFC6749]

6. Security Considerations

6.1. href tampering

Unless integrity protected channel is used, an attacker may be able to tamper the value of the href thereby causing the receiver of the JSON response to send a request to the URL under the attacker’s control with potentially confidential information contained in the parameters. To mitigate this risk, an integrity protected channel such as TLS protected channel should be used.

7. Acknowledgements

This specification borrows heavily from [HAL]. The Link type registration is taken from [oauth-lrdd].

[todo]
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