Abstract

Apple’s DNS Long-Lived Queries protocol (LLQ) is a protocol for extending the DNS protocol to support change notification, thus allowing clients to learn about changes to DNS data without polling the server. From 2005 onwards, LLQ was implemented in Apple products including Mac OS X, Bonjour for Windows, and AirPort wireless base stations. In 2019, the LLQ protocol was superseded by the IETF Standards Track RFC xxxx [[RFC Editor note: Please replace xxxx with RFC number]] "DNS Push Notifications", which builds on experience gained with the LLQ protocol to create a superior replacement.

The existing LLQ protocol deployed and used from 2005 to 2019 is documented here to give background regarding the operational experience that informed the development of DNS Push Notifications, and to help facilitate a smooth transition from LLQ to DNS Push Notifications.
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1. Introduction

In dynamic environments, DNS Service Discovery [RFC6763] benefits significantly from clients being able to learn about changes to DNS information via a mechanism that is both more timely and more efficient than simple polling. Such a mechanism enables "live browses" that learn when a new instance of a service appears, or when an existing service disappears from the network, and allows clients to monitor changes to a service. Multicast DNS [RFC6762] supports this natively. When a host on the network publishes or deletes DNS records, these changes are multicast to other hosts on the network. These hosts deliver the change notifications to interested clients (applications running on the host). Hosts also send occasional queries to the network in case gratuitous announcements are not received due to packet loss, and to detect records lost due to their publishers crashing or having become disconnected from the network.

This document defines an Apple extension to DNS that enables a client to issue long-lived queries that allow a DNS server to notify clients about changes to DNS data. This is a more scalable and practical solution than can be achieved by polling of the name server because a low polling rate could leave the client with stale information while a high polling rate would have an adverse impact on the network and server.

The mechanism defined in this document is now being replaced by DNS Push Notifications [Push] as explained below in Section 1.1.
1.1. Transition to DNS Push Notifications

The LLQ protocol enjoyed over a decade of useful operation, enabling timely live updates for the service discovery user interface in Apple’s Back to My Mac [RFC6281] service.

However, some problems were discovered, as described in Appendix A. This operational experience with LLQ informed the design of its IETF Standards Track successor, DNS Push Notifications [Push]. Since no further work is being done on the LLQ protocol, this LLQ specification will not be updated to remedy these problems.

All existing LLQ implementations are RECOMMENDED to migrate to using DNS Push Notifications instead.

For existing LLQ servers, they are RECOMMENDED to implement and support DNS Push Notifications, so that clients can begin migrating to the newer protocol.

For existing LLQ clients, they are RECOMMENDED to query for the "._dns-push-tls._tcp.<zone>" SRV record first, and only if DNS Push fails, then fall back to query for "._dns-llq._udp.<zone>" instead.

This will cause clients to prefer the newer protocol when possible. It is RECOMMENDED that clients always attempt DNS Push Notifications first for every new request, and only if that fails, then back to using LLQ. Clients SHOULD NOT record that a given server only speaks LLQ and subsequently default to LLQ for that server, since server software gets updated, and even a server that speaks only LLQ today, may be updated to support DNS Push Notifications tomorrow.

New client and server implementations are RECOMMENDED to support only DNS Push Notifications.

2. Conventions and Terminology Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.
3. Mechanisms

DNS Long-Lived Queries (DNS-LLQ) is implemented using the standard DNS message format [RFC1035] in conjunction with an EDNS(0) OPT pseudo-RR [RFC6891] with a new OPT and RDATA format specified here. Encoding the LLQ request in an OPT RR allows for implementation of LLQ with minimal modification to a name server’s front-end. If a DNS query containing an LLQ option is sent to a server that does not implement LLQ, a server that complies with the EDNS(0) specification [RFC6891] will silently ignore the unrecognized option and answer the request as a normal DNS query, without establishing any long-lived state, and without returning an LLQ option in its response. If a DNS query containing an LLQ option is sent to a server that does not implement EDNS(0) at all, the server may silently ignore the EDNS(0) OPT pseudo-RR, or it may return a nonzero RCODE. However, in practice this issue is mostly theoretical, since having a zone’s _dns-llq._udp.<zone> SRV record target a host that does not implement LLQ is a configuration error.

Note that this protocol is designed for data set sizes of a few dozen resource records at most, and change rates no more than one every ten seconds on average. Data sets in response to queries that frequently exceed a single packet, or that experience a rapid change rate, may have undesirable performance implications.

3.1. Assigned Numbers

This section describes constants uses in this document.

EDNS(0) Option Code (recorded with IANA):
  LLQ 1

LLQ-PORT 5352 (recorded with IANA)

LLQ Error Codes (specific to this LLQ EDNS(0) Option):
  NO-ERROR    0
  SERV-FULL   1
  STATIC      2
  FORMAT-ERR  3
  NO-SUCH-LLQ 4
  BAD-VERS    5
  UNKNOWN-ERR 6

LLQ Opcodes (specific to this LLQ EDNS(0) Option):
  LLQ-SETUP    1
  LLQ-REFRESH  2
  LLQ-EVENT    3
3.2. Opt-RR Format

As required by the EDNS(0) specification [RFC6891], all OPT-RRs used in LLQs are formatted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>domain name</td>
<td>empty (root domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>OPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDLEN</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>describes RDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDATA</td>
<td>octet stream</td>
<td>(see below)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The CLASS field indicates, as per the EDNS(0) specification [RFC6891], the sender’s UDP payload size. However, clients and servers need not be required to determine their reassembly buffer size, path MTU, etc. to support LLQ. Thus, the sender of an LLQ Request or Response MAY set the CLASS field to 0. The recipient MUST ignore the class field if it is set to 0.

RDATA Format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Length of following fields, as appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Version of LLQ protocol implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-OPCODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Identifies LLQ operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Identifies LLQ errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-ID</td>
<td>u_int64_t</td>
<td>Identifier for an LLQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE-LIFE</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>Requested or granted life of LLQ, in seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This data format, consisting of (OPTION-CODE, OPTION-LENGTH, LLQ-Metadata) tuples, may be repeated an arbitrary number of times in the RDATA section, with the RDLEN field set accordingly.

The size and meaning of the OPTION-CODE and OPTION-LENGTH fields are as described in the EDNS(0) specification [RFC6891]. The remainder of the fields comprise the OPTION-DATA of the EDNS(0) option. Since for LLQ the OPTION-DATA is a fixed size, in LLQ EDNS(0) options the OPTION-LENGTH field always has the value 18.
4. LLQ Address and Port Identification

The client requires a mechanism to determine to which server it should send LLQ operations.

Additionally, some firewalls block direct communication with a name server on port 53 to avoid spoof responses. However, this direct communication is necessary for LLQs. Thus, servers MAY listen for LLQs on a different port (typically 5352). Clients also therefore need a mechanism to determine to which port to send LLQ operations.

The client determines the server responsible for a given LLQ much as a client determines to which server to send a dynamic update. The client begins by sending a standard DNS query for the name of the LLQ, with type SOA. The server MUST answer with that SOA record in the Answer section, if the record exists. The server SHOULD include an SOA record for that name’s zone in the Authority section, if the LLQ name (type SOA) does not exist. For example, a query for ".ftp._tcp.example.com." may return an SOA record named "example.com." in the Authority section if there is no SOA record named ".ftp._tcp.example.com." If, in this case, the server does not include the SOA record in the Authority section, the client strips the leading label from the name and tries again, repeating until an answer is received.

This iterative zone apex discovery algorithm is described in more detail in the DNS Push Notifications specification [Push].

Upon learning the zone (SOA), the client then constructs and sends an SRV query for the name _dns-llq._udp.<zone>, e.g., _dns-llq._udp.example.com.

A server implementing LLQ MUST answer with an SRV record [RFC2782] for this name. The SRV RDATA is as follows:

PRIORITY typically 0
WEIGHT typically 0
PORT typically 53 or 5352
TARGET name of server providing LLQs for the requested zone

The server SHOULD include its address record(s) in the Additional section of the response.

If the server does not include its address record in the Additional section, the client SHOULD query explicitly for the address record with the name of the SRV target.
The client MUST send all LLQ requests, refreshes, and acknowledgments to the name server specified in the SRV target, at the address contained in the address record for that target. Note that the queries described in this section (including those for SOA and SRV records) MAY be sent to an intermediate DNS recursive resolver -- they need not be sent directly to the name server.

If, on issuing the SRV query, the client receives an NXDOMAIN response indicating that the SRV record does not exist, the client SHOULD conclude that the server does not support an LLQ in the requested zone. The client then SHOULD NOT send an LLQ request for the desired name, instead utilizing the behavior for LLQ-unaware servers described in Section 5 "LLQ Setup".

Servers should send all messages to the source address and port of the LLQ setup message received from the client.
5. LLQ Setup

An LLQ is initiated by a client, and is completed via a four-way handshake. This handshake provides resilience to packet loss, demonstrates client reachability, and reduces denial of service attack opportunities (see Section 8 "Security Considerations").

5.1. Setup Message Retransmission

LLQ Setup Requests and Responses sent by the client SHOULD be retransmitted if no acknowledgments are received. The client SHOULD re-try up to two more times (for a total of 3 attempts) before considering the server down or unreachable. The client MUST wait at least 2 seconds before the first retransmission and 4 seconds between the first and second retransmissions. The client SHOULD listen for a response for at least 8 seconds after the 3rd attempt before considering the server down or unreachable. Upon determining a server to be down, a client MAY periodically attempt to re-initiate an LLQ setup, at a rate of not more than once per hour.

Servers MUST NOT re-transmit acknowledgments that do not generate responses from the client. Retransmission in setup is client-driven, freeing servers from maintaining timers for incomplete LLQ setups. If servers receive duplicate messages from clients (perhaps due to the loss of the server’s responses mid-flight), the server MUST re-send its reply (possibly modifying the LEASE-LIFE as described in Section 5.2.4 "ACK + Answers").

Servers MUST NOT garbage collect LLQs that fail to complete the four-way handshake until the initially granted LEASE-LIFE has elapsed.

5.2. LLQ Setup Four-Way Handshake

The four phases of the handshake include:

1) Setup Request
   client to server, identifies LLQ(s) requested

2) Setup Challenge
   server to client, provides error(s) for requested LLQs, and unique identifiers for the successful requests

3) Challenge Response
   client to server, echoes identifier(s), demonstrating client’s reachability and willingness to participate

4) ACK + Answers
   server to client, confirms setup and provides initial answers
5.2.1. Setup Request

A request for an LLQ is formatted like a standard DNS query, but with an OPT RR containing LLQ metadata in its Additional section. LLQ setup requests are identified by the LLQ-SETUP opcode and a zero-valued LLQ-ID.

The request MAY contain multiple questions to set up multiple LLQs. A request consisting of multiple questions MUST contain multiple LLQ metadata sections, one per question, with metadata sections in the same order as the questions they correspond to (i.e., the first metadata section corresponds to the first question, the second metadata section corresponds to the second question, etc.) If requesting multiple LLQs, clients SHOULD request the same LEASE-LIFE for each LLQ. Requests over UDP MUST NOT contain multiple questions if doing so would cause the message to not fit in a single packet.

A client MUST NOT request multiple identical LLQs (i.e., containing the same qname/type/class) from the same source IP address and port. This requirement is to avoid unnecessary load on servers. In the case of multiple independent client implementations that may run on the same device without knowledge of each other, it is allowable if they by chance send LLQ requests for the same qname/type/class. These independent implementations on the same client will be using different source ports. Likewise, to the server, multiple independent clients behind the same NAT gateway will appear as if they were multiple independent clients using different ports on the same host.

The query MUST NOT be for record type ANY (255), class ANY (255), or class NONE (0).

Setup Request OPT-RR LLQ Metadata Format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Length of following fields (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Version of LLQ protocol implemented by requester (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-OPCODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ-SETUP (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>NOERROR (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-ID</td>
<td>u_int64_t</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE-LIFE</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>Desired life of LLQ request</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These fields MUST be repeated once for each additional query in the Question section.
5.2.2. Setup Challenge

Upon receiving an LLQ Setup Request, a server implementing LLQs will send a Setup Challenge to the requester (client). An LLQ Setup Challenge is a DNS Response, with the DNS message ID matching that of the request, and with all questions contained in the request present in the Question section of the response. Additionally, the challenge contains a single OPT-RR with an LLQ metadata section for each LLQ request, indicating the success or failure of each request. Metadata sections MUST be in the same order as the questions they correspond to. Note that in a request containing multiple questions some LLQs may succeed, while others may fail.

Setup Challenge OPT-RR RDATA Format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Length of following fields (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Version of LLQ protocol implemented in server (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-OPCODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ-SETUP (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>[As Appropriate]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-ID</td>
<td>u_int64_t</td>
<td>[As Appropriate]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE-LIFE</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>[As Appropriate]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These fields MUST be repeated once for each query in the Questions section of the Setup Request.
LLQ Metadata field descriptions:

ERROR-CODE: Possible values include:

NO-ERROR: The LLQ Setup Request was successful.

FORMAT-ERR: The LLQ was improperly formatted. Note that if the rest of the DNS message is properly formatted, the DNS header error code MUST NOT include a format error code, as this would cause confusion between a server that does not understand the LLQ format, and a client that sends malformed LLQs.

SERV-FULL: The server cannot grant the LLQ request because it is overloaded, or the request exceeds the server’s rate limit (see Section 8 "Security Considerations"). Upon returning this error, the server MUST include in the LEASE-LIFE field a time interval, in seconds, after which the client may re-try the LLQ Setup.

STATIC: The data for this name and type is not expected to change frequently, and the server therefore does not support the requested LLQ. The client MUST NOT poll for this name and type, nor should it re-try the LLQ Setup, and should instead honor the normal resource record TTLs returned.

BAD-VERS: The protocol version specified in the client’s request is not supported by the server.

UNKNOWN-ERR: The LLQ was not granted for an unknown reason
LLQ-ID: On success, a random number generated by the server that is unique for the requested name/type/class. The LLQ-ID SHOULD be an unpredictable random number. A possible method of allocating LLQ-IDs with minimal bookkeeping would be to store the time, in seconds since the Epoch, in the high 32 bits of the field, and a cryptographically generated 32-bit random integer in the low 32 bits.

On error, the LLQ-ID is set to 0.

LEASE-LIFE: On success, the actual life of the LLQ, in seconds. Value may be greater than, less than, or equal to the value requested by the client, as per the server administrator’s policy. The server MAY discard the LLQ after this LEASE-LIFE expires unless the LLQ has been renewed by the client (see Section 7 "LLQ Lease-Life Expiration"). The server MUST NOT generate events (see Section 6 "Event Responses") for expired LLQs.

On SERV-FULL error, LEASE-LIFE MUST be set to a time interval, in seconds, after which the client may re-try the LLQ Setup.

On other errors, the LEASE-LIFE MUST be set to 0.
5.2.3. Challenge Response

Upon issuing a Setup Request, a client listens for a Setup Challenge (5.2.2), re-transmitting the request as necessary (5.1). After receiving a successful Challenge, the client SHOULD send a Challenge Response to the server. This Challenge Response is a DNS request with questions from the request and challenge, and a single OPT-RR in the Additional section, with the OPT-RR RDATA identical to the OPT-RR RDATA contained in the Setup Challenge (i.e., echoing, for each set of fields, the random LLQ-ID and the granted lease life). If the challenge response contains multiple questions, the first question MUST correspond to the first OPT-RR RDATA tuple, etc.

If the Setup Request fails with a STATIC error, the client MUST NOT poll the server. The client SHOULD honor the resource record TTLs contained in the response.

If the Setup Request fails with a SERV-FULL error, the client MAY re-try the LLQ Setup Request (5.2.1) after the time indicated in the LEASE-LIFE field.

If the Setup Request fails with an error other than STATIC or SERV-FULL, or the server is determined not to support LLQ (i.e., the client receives a DNS response with a nonzero RCODE, or a DNS response containing no LLQ option), the client MAY poll the server periodically with standard DNS queries, inferring Add and Remove events (see Section 6 "Event Responses") by comparing answers to these queries. The client SHOULD NOT poll more than once every 15 minutes for a given query. The client MUST NOT poll if it receives a STATIC error code in the acknowledgment.
5.2.4. ACK + Answers

Upon receiving a correct Challenge Response, a server MUST return an acknowledgment, completing the LLQ setup, and provide all current answers to the question(s).

To acknowledge a successful Challenge Response, i.e., a Challenge Response in which the LLQ-ID and LEASE-LIFE echoed by the client match the values issued by the server, the server MUST send a DNS response containing all available answers to the question(s) contained in the original Setup Request, along with all additional resource records appropriate for those answers in the Additional section. The Additional section also contains an OPT-RR formatted as follows:

Successful ACK + Answers OPT-RR RDATA Format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Length of following fields, as appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Version of LLQ protocol implemented in server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-OPCODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ-SETUP (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>NO-ERROR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-ID</td>
<td>u_int64_t</td>
<td>Originally granted ID, echoed in client’s Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE-LIFE</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>Remaining life of LLQ, in seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If there is a significant delay in receiving a Challenge Response, or multiple Challenge Responses are issued (possibly because they were lost en route to the client, causing the client to re-send the Challenge Response), the server MAY decrement the LEASE-LIFE by the time elapsed since the Setup Challenge was initially issued.

If the setup is completed over UDP and all initially available answers to the question(s), additional records, and the OPT-RR do not fit in a single packet, some or all additional records (excluding the OPT-RR) MUST be omitted. If, after omission of all additional records, the answers still do not fit in a single message, answers MUST be removed until the message fits in a single packet. These answers not delivered in the ACK + Answers MUST be delivered without undue delay to the client via Add Events (Section 6 "Event Responses").
5.3. Resource Record TTLs

The TTLs of resource records contained in answers to successful LLQs SHOULD be ignored by the client. The client MAY cache LLQ answers until the client receives a gratuitous announcement (see Section 6 "Event Responses") indicating that the answer to the LLQ has changed. The client SHOULD NOT cache answers after the LLQs LEASE-LIFE expires without being refreshed (see Section 7 "LLQ Lease-Life Expiration"). If an LLQ request fails, the client SHOULD NOT cache answers for a period longer than the client’s polling interval.

Note that resource records intended specifically to be transmitted via LLQs (e.g., DNS Service Discovery resource records) may have unusually short TTLs. This is because it is assumed that the records may change frequently, and that a client’s cache coherence will be maintained via the LLQ and gratuitous responses. Short TTLs prevent stale information from residing in intermediate DNS recursive resolvers that are not LLQ-aware.

TTLs of resource records included in the Additional section of an LLQ response (which do not directly answer the LLQ) SHOULD be honored by the client.
6. Event Responses

When a change ("event") occurs to a name server’s zone, the server MUST check if the new or deleted resource records answer any LLQs. If so, the changes MUST be communicated to the LLQ requesters in the form of a gratuitous DNS response sent to the client, with the question(s) being answered in the Question section, and answers to these questions in the Answer section. The response also includes an OPT RR in the Additional section. This OPT RR contains, in its RDATA, an entry for each LLQ being answered in the message. Entries must include the LLQ-ID. This reduces the potential for spoof events being sent to a client.

Event Response OPT-RR RDATA Format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION-LENGTH</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Length of following fields (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>Version of LLQ protocol implemented in server (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-OPCODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>LLQ-EVENT (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR-CODE</td>
<td>u_int16_t</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLQ-ID</td>
<td>u_int64_t</td>
<td>[As Appropriate]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASE-LIFE</td>
<td>u_int32_t</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gratuitous responses for a single LLQ MAY be batched, such that multiple changes are communicated in a single message. Responses MUST NOT be batched if this would cause a message that would otherwise fit in a single packet to be truncated. While responses MAY be deferred to provide opportunities for batching, responses SHOULD NOT be delayed, for purposes of batching, for more than 30 seconds, as this would cause an unacceptable latency for the client.

After sending a gratuitous response, the server MUST listen for an acknowledgment from the client. If the client does not respond, the server MUST re-send the response. The server MUST re-send 2 times (for a total of 3 transmissions), after which the server MUST consider the client to be unreachable and delete its LLQ. The server MUST listen for 2 seconds before re-sending the response, 4 more seconds before re-sending again, and must wait an additional 8 seconds after the 3rd transmission before terminating the LLQ.

The DNS message header of the response SHOULD include an unpredictable random number in the DNS message ID field, which is to be echoed in the client’s acknowledgement.
6.1. Add Events

Add events occur when a new resource record appears, usually as the result of a dynamic update [RFC2136], that answers an LLQ. This record must be sent in the Answer section of the event to the client. Records that normally accompany this record in responses MAY be included in the Additional section, as per truncation restrictions described above.

6.2. Remove Events

Remove events occur when a resource record previously sent to a client, either in an initial response, or in an Add Event, becomes invalid (normally as a result of being removed via a dynamic update). The deleted resource record is sent in the Answer section of the event to the client. The resource record TTL is set to -1, indicating that the record has been removed.

6.3. Gratuitous Response Acknowledgments

Upon receiving a gratuitous response ("event"), the client MUST send an acknowledgment to the server. This acknowledgment is a DNS response echoing the OPT-RR contained in the event, with the message ID of the gratuitous response echoed in the message header. The acknowledgment MUST be sent to the source IP address and port from which the event originated.
7. LLQ Lease-Life Expiration

7.1. Refresh Request

If the client desires to maintain the LLQ beyond the duration specified in the LEASE-LIFE field of the Ack + Answers (5.2), the client MUST send a Refresh Request. A Refresh Request is identical to an LLQ Challenge Response (5.3), but with the LLQ-OPCODE set to LLQ-REFRESH. Unlike a Challenge Response, a Refresh Request returns no answers.

The client SHOULD refresh an LLQ when 80% of its lease life has elapsed.

As a means of reducing network traffic, when constructing refresh messages the client SHOULD include all LLQs established with a given server, even those not yet close to expiration. However, at least one LLQ MUST have elapsed at least 80% of its original LEASE-LIFE. The client MUST NOT include additional LLQs if doing so would cause the message to no longer fit in a single packet. In this case, the LLQs furthest from expiration should be omitted such that the message fits in a single packet. (These LLQs SHOULD be refreshed in a separate message when 80% of one or more of their lease lives have elapsed.) When refreshing multiple LLQs simultaneously, the message contains multiple questions, and a single OPT-RR with multiple LLQ metadata sections, one per question, with the metadata sections in the same order as the questions they correspond to.

The client SHOULD specify the original lease life granted in the LLQ response as the desired LEASE-LIFE in the refresh request. If refreshing multiple LLQs simultaneously, the client SHOULD request the same lease life for all LLQs being refreshed (with the exception of termination requests, see below).

To terminate an LLQ prior to its scheduled expiration (for instance, when the client terminates a DNS Service Discovery browse operation, or a client is about to go to sleep or shut down) the client specifies a lease life of 0.

The client MUST listen for an acknowledgment from the server. The client MAY re-try up to two more times (for a total of 3 attempts) before considering the server down or unreachable. The client MUST NOT re-try a first time before 90% of the lease life has expired, and MUST NOT re-try again before 95% of the lease life has expired. If the server is determined to be down, the client MAY periodically attempt to re-establish the LLQ via an LLQ Setup Request message. The client MUST NOT attempt the LLQ Setup Request more than once per hour.
7.2. LLQ Refresh Acknowledgment

Upon receiving an LLQ Refresh message, a server MUST send an acknowledgment of the Refresh. This acknowledgment is formatted like the Setup ACK described in 5.2.3, but with the following variations:

The LLQ-OPCODE is set to LLQ-REFRESH.

NO-SUCH-LLQ MUST be returned as an error code if the client attempts to refresh an expired or non-existent LLQ (as determined by the LLQ-ID in the request).

The LLQ-ID in the acknowledgment is set to the LLQ-ID in the request.
8. Security Considerations

Without care taken in the design of protocols such as this, servers may be susceptible to denial of service (DOS) attacks, and clients may be subjected to packet storms. Mechanisms have been added to the protocol to limit potential for these attacks.

Note: This section contains no new protocol elements -- it serves only to explain the rationale behind protocol elements described above, as they relate to security.

8.1. Server DOS

LLQs require that servers be stateful, maintaining entries for each LLQ over a potentially long period of time. If unbounded in quantity, these entries may overload the server. By returning SERV-FULL in Setup Challenges, the server may limit the maximum number of LLQs it maintains. Additionally, the server may return SERV-FULL to limit the number of LLQs requested for a single name and type, or by a single client. This throttling may be in the form of a hard limit, or, preferably, by token-bucket rate limiting. Such rate limiting should occur rarely in normal use and is intended to prevent DOS attacks -- thus it is not built into the protocol explicitly, but is instead implemented at the discretion of an administrator via the SERV-FULL error and the LEASE-LIFE field to indicate a retry time to the client.

8.2. Client Packet Storms

In addition to protecting the server from DOS attacks, the protocol limits the ability of a malicious host to cause the server to flood a client with packets. This is achieved via the four-way handshake upon setup, demonstrating reachability and willingness of the client to participate, and by requiring that gratuitous responses be ACK’d by the client.

Additionally, rate-limiting by LLQ client address, as described in (8.1) serves to limit the number of packets that can be delivered to an unsuspecting client.

8.3. Spoofing

A large random ID greatly reduces the risk of an off-path attacker sending spoof packets to the client (containing spoof events) or to the server (containing phony requests or refreshes).
9. IANA Considerations

The EDNS(0) OPTION CODE 1 has already been assigned for this DNS extension. IANA is requested to update the record in the DNS EDNS(0) Option Codes registry from "On-hold" to "Optional", and to set the reference to indicate the RFC number under which this document is published.

TCP and UDP ports 5352 have already been assigned for LLQ. IANA is requested to add a reference to indicate the RFC number under which this document is published.

No additional IANA services are required by this document.
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Appendix A. Problems with the LLQ Protocol

In the course of using LLQ since 2005, some problems were discovered. Since no further work is being done on the LLQ protocol, this LLQ specification will not be updated to remedy these problems.

LLQ’s IETF Standards Track successor, DNS Push Notifications [Push], does not suffer from these problems, so all existing LLQ implementations are RECOMMENDED to migrate to using DNS Push Notifications, and all new implementations are RECOMMENDED to implement DNS Push Notifications instead of LLQ.

Known problems with LLQ are documented here for the record.

An LLQ "Setup Challenge" message from server to client is identical to an LLQ "ACK + Answers" message from server to client when there are no current answers for the query. If there is packet loss, retransmission, and duplication in the network, then a duplicated "Setup Challenge" message arriving late at the client would look like an "ACK + Answers" message with no answers, causing the client to clear its cache of any records matching the query.

This LLQ specification states: "Servers MUST NOT garbage collect LLQs that fail to complete the four-way handshake until the initially granted LEASE-LIFE has elapsed." This is probably a mistake, since it exposes LLQ servers to an easy resource-exhaustion denial-of-service attack. DNS Push Notifications is built using DNS Stateful Operations [RFC8490], which uses TLS over TCP, and a benefit of building on TCP is that there are already established industry best practices to guard against SYN flooding and similar attacks [SYN] [RFC4953] [RFC4787] [RFC5382] [RFC7857].

LLQ is built using UDP, and because the UDP protocol has no standardized way of indicating the start and end of a session, firewalls and NAT gateways tend to be fairly aggressive about recycling UDP mappings that they believe to be disused [RFC4787] [RFC5382] [RFC7857]. Using a high keepalive traffic rate to maintain firewall or NAT mapping state could remedy this, but would largely defeat the purpose of using LLQ in the first place, which is to provide efficient change notification without wasteful polling. Because of this, existing LLQ clients use NAT Port Mapping Protocol (NAT-PMP) [RFC6886] and/or Port Control Protocol (PCP) [RFC6887] to establish longer port mapping lifetimes. This solves the problem, but adds extra complexity, and doesn’t work with firewalls and NAT gateways that don’t support NAT-PMP or PCP. By using TCP instead of UDP, the DNS Push Notifications protocol benefits from better longevity of sessions through firewalls and NAT gateways that don’t support NAT-PMP or PCP.
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