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Abstract

This document defines an Atom Syndication Format extension for numerically ranking entries within a syndication feed.
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1. Introduction

This document specifies an extension to the Atom Syndication Format that allows feed publishers to convey one or more numeric rankings for entries contained within Atom Feed or Entry Documents, each of which can be used, independently or in conjunction with the others, to establish a sorting order.

1.1. Examples

For example, the feed below includes two entries representing student exam scores. Each entry contains, in the form of "re:rank" elements, both the overall score for each student and their score for the mathematics portion of the test.

```xml
<feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
     xmlns:re="http://purl.org/atompub/rank/1.0">
  <id>http://example.org/sat/scores</id>
  ...
  <entry>
    <id>http://students.example.org/~alice</id>
    <title>Alice</title>
    <re:rank scheme="tag:example.org,2006:sat/score/overall"
             label="98">2100</re:rank>
    <re:rank scheme="tag:example.org,2006:sat/score/math"
             label="99+">750</re:rank>
    ...
  </entry>
  <entry>
    <id>http://students.example.org/~bob</id>
    <title>Bob</title>
    <re:rank scheme="tag:example.org,2006:sat/score/overall"
             label="99+">2300</re:rank>
    <re:rank scheme="tag:example.org,2006:sat/score/math"
             label="96">700</re:rank>
    ...
  </entry>
</feed>
```

Implementations can use the "scheme" attribute associated with each rank to determine how to interpret and validate the numeric value of a "re:rank" element. For instance, a rank value of 700 might have a different meaning and significance when expressed in terms of overall exam scores than it would when expressed in terms of just the mathematics portion of the test.

In others situations, rank values that should be interpreted in the same way differ only in terms of the context in which they have been
applied. For example, the feed below includes two entries representing movies from two different genres. Each entry contains one popularity ranking relative to all movies regardless of genre, and one ranking that is relative only to other movies in the same genre.

```xml
<feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
     xmlns:re="http://purl.org/atompub/rank/1.0">
   ...
   <entry>
      <id>http://example.com/movies/starwars</id>
      <title>Star Wars</title>
      <re:rank domain="http://example.com/genres#all" scheme="http://example.com/ratings#popularity">123</re:rank>
      <re:rank domain="http://example.com/genres#scifi" scheme="http://example.com/ratings#popularity">53</re:rank>
   ...
   </entry>
   <entry>
      <id>http://example.com/movies/citylights</id>
      <title>Charlie Chaplin: City Lights</title>
      <re:rank domain="http://example.com/genres#all" scheme="http://example.com/ratings#popularity">5734</re:rank>
      <re:rank domain="http://example.com/genres#comedy" scheme="http://example.com/ratings#popularity">27</re:rank>
   ...
   </entry>
</feed>
```

1.2. Namespace and Version

The XML Namespaces URI [W3C.REC-xml-names-19990114] for the XML elements and attributes described in this specification is

http://purl.org/atompub/rank/1.0

For convenience, this extension may be referred to as "Feed Rank 1.0".

1.3. Notational Conventions

In this document, the namespace prefix "re:" is used for the above Namespace URI. Note that the choice of namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

This extension is, like the Atom Syndication Format [RFC4287] itself, specified using terms from the XML Infoset [W3C.REC-xml-infoset-20040204]. However, this specification uses a shorthand form for two commons terms: The phrase "Information Item" is omitted when naming
Element and Attribute Information Items. Therefore, when this specification uses the term "element," it is referring to an Element Information Item in Infoset terms. Likewise, when it uses the term "attribute," it is referring to an Attribute Information Item.

Some sections of this specification are illustrated with fragments of a non-normative RELAX NG Compact schema [RFC4287]. In those sections this specification uses the atomCommonAttributes and atomURI patterns defined in [RFC4287]. However, the text of this specification provides the sole definition of conformance.

This specification allows the use of IRIs [RFC3987]. Every URI [RFC3986] is also an IRI, so a URI may be used wherever an IRI is named. Note that the definition of "IRI" excludes relative references.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

2. The "re:rank" Element

The "re:rank" element conveys a numeric rank associated with an entry.

```xml
rankingValue = element re:rank {
  atomCommonAttributes,
  attribute scheme { atomUri },
  attribute domain { atomUri }?,
  attribute label { text }?,
  { xsd:decimal }
}
```

The text content of the element is a decimal value conforming to the lexical representation of the XML Schema decimal data type [W3C.REC-xmlschema-2-20041028]. Whitespace that leads or trails the value is insignificant.

Entries MAY contain zero or more "re:rank" elements but MUST NOT contain more than one with the same combination of "scheme" and "domain" attribute values.

This specification assigns no significance to the order of "re:rank" elements within an entry.
2.1. The "scheme" Attribute

Each "re:rank" element MUST have a "scheme" attribute that conveys a permanent, universally unique identifier for a ranking scheme.

The content of the attribute MUST be an IRI, as defined by [RFC3987]. Though the IRI might use a dereferencable scheme, processors MUST NOT assume it can be dereferenced.

Scheme IRIs MUST be compared on a case-sensitive, character-by-character basis. For further information refer to section 4.2.6 of [RFC4287].

2.2. The "domain" Attribute

Each "re:rank" element MAY have a "domain" attribute that conveys a permanent, universally unique identifier for a ranking domain. If the "domain" attribute is not specified, the domain identifier is either

- The "atom:id" of the containing entry’s "atom:source" element, if present, or
- The "atom:id" of an "atom:feed" containing the entry, if any, or
- The value of the ranked entry’s "atom:id".

The content of the attribute MUST be an IRI, as defined by [RFC3987]. Though the IRI might use a dereferencable scheme, processors MUST NOT assume it can be dereferenced.

Domain IRIs MUST be compared on a case-sensitive, character-by-character basis. For further information refer to section 4.2.6 of [RFC4287].

2.3. The "label" Attribute

Each "re:rank" element MAY have a "label" attribute which conveys a Language-Sensitive, human-readable label for the rank. Entities such as "&" and "<" represent their corresponding characters ("&" and "<", respectively), not markup.

3. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA considerations introduced by this specification.

4. Security Considerations
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The security considerations discussed in section 8 of [RFC4287] apply.

Malicious content producers can use illegitimate "r:rank" values to
inappropriately boost the positions of their own entries or
negatively impact the positions of other entries in ordered sets.
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