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Abstract

Mobile nodes (e.g., aircraft of various configurations) communicate with networked correspondents over multiple access network data links and configure mobile routers to connect their on-board networks. Mobile nodes configure a virtual interface (termed the "aero interface") as a thin layer over their underlying data link interfaces. This document specifies the transmission of IPv6 packets over aeronautical ("aero") interfaces.
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1. Introduction

Mobile Nodes (MNs) such as aircraft of various configurations may have multiple data links for communicating with networked correspondents. These data links often have differing performance, cost and availability characteristics that can change dynamically according to mobility patterns, flight phases, proximity to infrastructure, etc.

Each MN receives an IPv6 Mobile Network Prefix (MNP) that can be used by on-board networks regardless of the access network data links selected for data transport. The MN performs router discovery the same as for customer edge routers [RFC7084], and acts as a mobile router on behalf of its on-board networks. A virtual interface (termed the "aero interface") is configured as a thin layer over the underlying access network interfaces.

The aero interface is therefore the only interface abstraction exposed to the IPv6 layer and behaves according to the Non-Broadcast,
Multiple Access (NBMA) interface principle, while underlying access network interfaces appear as link layer communication channels in the architecture. The aero interface connects to a virtual overlay cloud service known as the "aero link".

Each aero link has one or more associated Mobility Service Prefixes (MSPs) that identify the link. An MSP is an aggregated IPv6 prefix from which aero link MNPs are derived. If the MN connects to multiple aero links, then it configures a separate aero interface for each link.

The aero interface interacts with the ground domain Mobility Service (MS) through control message exchanges based on IPv6 Neighbor Discovery [RFC4861]. The MS tracks MN movements and represents their MNPs in a global routing or mapping system.

The aero interface provides a traffic engineering nexus for guiding inbound and outbound traffic to the correct underlying interface(s). The IPv6 layer sees the aero interface as a point of connection to the aero link; if there are multiple aero links (i.e., multiple MS’s), the IPv6 layer will see multiple aero interfaces.

This document specifies the transmission of IPv6 packets [RFC8200] and MN/MS control messaging over aeronautical ("aero") interfaces.

2. Terminology

The terminology in the normative references applies; especially, the terms "link" and "interface" are the same as defined in the IPv6 [RFC8200] and IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) [RFC4861] specifications.

The following terms are defined within the scope of this document:

Access Network (ANET)
   a data link service network (e.g., an aviation radio access network, satellite service provider network, cellular operator network, etc.) protected by physical and/or link layer security. Each ANET connects to outside Internetworks via border security devices such as proxys, firewalls, packet filtering gateways, etc.

ANET interface
   a node’s attachment to a link in an ANET.

Internetwork (INET)
   a connected network region with a coherent IP addressing plan that provides transit forwarding services for ANET mobile nodes and INET correspondents. Examples include private enterprise networks, aviation networks and the global public Internet itself.
INET interface
   a node’s attachment to a link in an INET.

aero link
   a virtual overlay cloud service configured over one or more INETs
   and their connected ANETs. An aero link may comprise multiple
   segments joined by bridges the same as for any link; the
   addressing plans in each segment may be mutually exclusive and
   managed by different administrative entities.

aero interface
   a node’s attachment to an aero link, and configured over one or
   more underlying ANET/INET interfaces.

aero address
   an IPv6 link-local address constructed as specified in Section 7,
   and assigned to an aero interface.

3. Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]. Lower case
uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying RFC2119
significance.

4. Aeronautical ("aero") Interface Model

   An aero interface is a Mobile Node (MN) virtual interface configured
   over one or more ANET interfaces, which may be physical (e.g., an
   aeronautical radio link) or virtual (e.g., an Internet or higher-
   layer "tunnel"). The MN coordinates with the aero link Mobility
   Service (MS) through Router Solicitation (RS) / Router Advertisement
   (RA) and Neighbor Solicitation (NS) / Neighbor Advertisement (NA)
   message exchanges.

   The aero interface architectural layering model is the same as in
   [RFC7847], and augmented as shown in Figure 1. The IPv6 layer
   therefore sees the aero interface as a single network layer interface
   with multiple underlying ANET interfaces that appear as link layer
   communication channels in the architecture.
The aero virtual interface model gives rise to a number of opportunities:

- since aero interface link-local addresses are uniquely derived from an MNP (see: Section 7), no Duplicate Address Detection (DAD) messaging is necessary over the aero interface.

- ANET interfaces can remain unnumbered in environments where communications are coordinated entirely over the aero interface.

- as ANET interface properties change (e.g., link quality, cost, availability, etc.), any active ANET interface can be used to update the profiles of multiple additional ANET interfaces in a single RS/RA message exchange. This allows for timely adaptation and service continuity under dynamically changing conditions.

- coordinating ANET interfaces in this way allows them to be represented in a unified MS profile with provisions for mobility and multilink operations.

- exposing a single virtual interface abstraction to the IPv6 layer allows for traffic engineering (including QoS based link selection, packet replication, load balancing, etc.) at the link layer while still permitting queueing at the IPv6 layer based on, e.g., traffic class, flow label, etc.

- the IPv6 layer sees the aero interface as a point of connection to the aero link; if there are multiple aero links (i.e., multiple MS’s), the IPv6 layer will see multiple aero interfaces.
Other opportunities are discussed in [RFC7847].

5. Maximum Transmission Unit

The aero interface and all underlying ANET interfaces MUST configure an MTU of at least 1280 bytes [RFC8200]. The aero interface SHOULD configure an MTU based on the largest MTU among all ANET interfaces. If the aero interface receives an RA message with an MTU option, it configures this new value regardless of any ANET interface MTUs.

The aero interface can return internally-generated ICMPv6 "Packet Too Big" messages for packets that are no larger than the aero interface MTU but too large to traverse the selected underlying ANET interface. This ensures that the MTU is adaptive and reflects the ANET interface used for a given data flow. The underlying ANET interface can instead employ link-layer fragmentation at a layer below IPv6 so that packets as large as the aero interface MTU can be accommodated. This ensures that no packets are lost due to a size restriction in either the uplink or downlink direction.

6. Frame Format

The aero interface transmits IPv6 packets according to the native frame format of each underlying ANET interface. For example, for an Ethernet interface the frame format is exactly as specified in [RFC2464], for tunnels over IPv6 the frame format is exactly as specified in [RFC2473], etc.

7. Link-Local Addresses

A MN "aero address" is an IPv6 link-local address with an interface identifier based on its assigned MNP. MN aero addresses begin with the prefix fe80::/64 followed by a 64-bit prefix taken from the MNP (see: Appendix B). For example, for the MNP:

```
2001:db8:1000:2000::/56
```

the corresponding aero addresses are:

```
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2000
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2001
fe80::2001:db8:1000:2002
... etc. ...
fe80::2001:db8:1000:20ff
```
When the MN configures aero addresses from its MNP, it assigns them to the aero interface. The lowest-numbered aero address serves as the "base" address (for example, for the MNP 2001:db8:1000:2000::/56 the base aero address is fe80::2001:db8:1000:2000). The MN uses the base aero address for IPv6 ND messaging, but accepts packets destined to all aero addresses equally (i.e., the same as for any multi-addressed IPv6 interface).

MS aero addresses are allocated from the range fe80::/96, and MUST be managed for uniqueness by the collective aero link administrative authorities. Each address represents a distinct service endpoint in the MS. The lower 32 bits of the address includes a unique integer value, e.g., fe80::1, fe80::2, fe80::3, etc. The address fe80:: is reserved as the IPv6 link-local Subnet Router Anycast address [RFC4291], and the address fe80::ffff:ffff is reserved as the unspecified aero address; hence, these values are not available for general assignment.

Since MN aero addresses are guaranteed unique by the nature of the unique MNP delegation, aero interfaces set the autoconfiguration variable DupAddrDetectTransmits to 0 [RFC4862].

8. Address Mapping - Unicast

Each aero interface maintains a neighbor cache for tracking per-neighbor state the same as for any IPv6 interface. The aero interface uses standard IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) messaging [RFC4861].

IPv6 ND messages on aero interfaces use the native Source/Target Link-Layer Address Option (S/TLLAO) formats of the underlying ANET interfaces (e.g., for Ethernet the S/TLLAO is specified in [RFC2464]).

Aero interfaces also use the link-local address format specified in Section 7, and aero interface IPv6 ND messages include aero options formatted as shown in Figure 2:
In this format:

- Type is set to TBD (to be assigned by IANA).
- Length is set to the constant value ‘3’ (i.e., 3 units of 8 octets).
- Prefix Length is set to the length of the MNP embedded in the MN’s aero address. For RS messages, the MS validates the MNP assertion, then announces the MNP in the routing system and returns an RA with an aero option and a Router Lifetime set to the MNP assertion lifetime.
- S (the ‘Source’ bit) is set to ‘1’ in the aero options of an ND message that correspond to the ANET interface over which the ND message is sent, and set to ‘0’ in all other aero options.
- R (the “Release” bit) is set to ‘1’ in the aero option of an RS message sent for the purpose of withdrawing from the MS; otherwise, set to ‘0’. The MS withdraws the MNP, then returns an RA with Router Lifetime set to ‘0’.
- D (the “Disable” bit) is set to ‘1’ in the aero option of an RS message for each ifIndex that is to be disabled in the recipient’s neighbor cache entry; otherwise, set to ‘0’. If the message contains multiple aero options the D value in each option is consulted.
- Both ‘Reserved’ fields are set to the value ‘0’ on transmission.
ifIndex is set to a 16-bit integer value corresponding to a specific underlying ANET interface as discussed in [RFC2863]. Once the MN has assigned an ifIndex to an ANET interface, the assignment MUST remain unchanged until the MN disables the interface. MNs MUST number each ifIndex with a value between '1' and '0xffff', and RA messages sent by the MS MUST set ifIndex to 0.

P(i) is a set of Preferences that correspond to the 64 Differentiated Service Code Point (DSCP) values [RFC2474]. Each P(i) is set to the value '0' ("disabled"), '1' ("low"), '2' ("medium") or '3' ("high") to indicate a QoS preference level for ANET interface selection purposes.

MNs such as aircraft typically have many wireless data link types (e.g. satellite-based, cellular, terrestrial, air-to-air directional, etc.) with diverse performance, cost and availability properties. From the perspective of ND, the aero interface would therefore appear to have multiple link layer addresses. In that case, ND messages MAY include multiple aero options - each with an ifIndex that corresponds to a specific ANET interface.

When an ND message includes aero options, the options corresponding to the underlying ANET interface used to transmit the message MUST set S to '1'.

9. Address Mapping - Multicast

The multicast address mapping of the native underlying ANET interface applies, and the ANET interacts with the MS for multicast forwarding and group management purposes.

The mobile router on board the aircraft also serves as an IGMP/MLD Proxy for its EUNs and/or hosted applications per [RFC4605] while using the link layer address of the router as the link layer address for all multicast packets.

10. Conceptual Sending Algorithm

The MN’s IPv6 layer selects the outbound aero interface according to standard IPv6 requirements. The aero interface maintains a default route and a neighbor cache entry for MS endpoints, and may also include additional neighbor cache entries created through other means (e.g., Address Resolution, static configuration, etc.).

When the MN sends packets via a MS endpoint, it may receive a Redirect message the same as for any IPv6 interface. When the MN uses Address Resolution, the aero interface forwards NS messages to
an MS endpoint (see: Section 11) which acts as a link-layer forwarding agent according to the NBMA link model. The resulting NA message will provide link-layer address information for the neighbor. When Neighbor Unreachability Detection is used, the NS/NA exchange confirms reachability the same as for any IPv6 interface.

After a packet enters the aero interface, an outbound ANET interface is selected based on traffic engineering information such as DSCP, application port number, cost, performance, etc. Aero interface traffic engineering could also be configured to perform replication across multiple ANET interfaces for increased reliability at the expense of packet duplication.

When a target neighbor has multiple link-layer addresses (each with a different traffic engineering profile), the aero interface selects ANET interfaces and neighbor link-layer addresses according to both its own outbound preferences and the inbound preferences of the target neighbor.

10.1. Multiple Aero Interfaces

MNs may associate with multiple MS instances concurrently. Each MS instance represents a distinct aero link distinguished by its associated MSPs. The MN configures a separate aero interface for each link so that multiple interfaces (e.g., aero0, aero1, aero2, etc.) are exposed to the IPv6 layer.

Depending on local policy and configuration, an MN may choose between alternative active aero interfaces using a packet’s DSCP, routing information or static configuration. In particular, the MN can add the MSPs received in Prefix Information Options (PIOs) [RFC4861] [RFC8028] as guidance for aero interface selection based on per-packet source addresses.

Each aero interface can be configured over the same or different sets of ANET interfaces. Each ANET distinguishes between the different aero links based on the MSPs represented in per-packet IPv6 addresses.

Multiple distinct aero links can therefore be used to support fault tolerance, load balancing, reliability, etc. The architectural model parallels Layer 2 Virtual Local Area Networks (VLANs), where the MSPs serve as (virtual) VLAN tags.
11. Router and Prefix Discovery

MNs interact with the MS through mobility extensions on first-hop ANET Access Routers (ARs). MS extensions on ARs MUST examine the RS messages received on an ANET interface. If the RS message includes aero options, the MS is invoked and an appropriate RA message is generated the same as for an IPv6 router. If the RS message does not include aero options, the AR instead processes the RS message locally the same as for an ordinary IPv6 link.

MNs configure aero interfaces that observe the properties discussed in the previous section. The aero interface and its underlying interfaces are said to be in either the "UP" or "DOWN" state according to administrative actions in conjunction with the interface connectivity status. An aero interface transitions to UP or DOWN through administrative action and/or through state transitions of the underlying interfaces. When a first underlying interface transitions to UP, the aero interface also transitions to UP. When all underlying interfaces transition to DOWN, the aero interface also transitions to DOWN.

MNs coordinate with the MS through RS/RA exchanges via their aero interfaces. When an aero interface transitions to UP, the MN sends initial RS messages with aero options to assert its MNP and register an initial set of underlying ANET interfaces that are also UP. The MN sends additional RS messages to refresh MNP and/or router lifetimes, and to register/deregister underlying ANET interfaces as they transition to UP or DOWN.

The MS sends RA messages with configuration information in response to a MN’s RS message. The RA includes an aero option with ifIndex set to 0, a Router Lifetime value and PIOs with (A; L=0) that include MSPs for the link. The configuration information may also include Route Information Options (RIO) options [RFC4191] with more-specific routes, and an MTU option that specifies the maximum acceptable packet size for the link. The MS sends immediate unicast RA responses without delay; therefore, the ‘MAX_RA_DELAY_TIME’ and ‘MIN_DELAY_BETWEEN_RAS’ constants for multicast RAs do not apply. The MS MAY send periodic and/or event-driven unsolicited RA messages, but is not required to do so for unicast advertisements [RFC4861].

The MN sends RS messages from within the aero interface while using an UP underlying ANET interface as the outbound interface. Each message is formatted as an ordinary RS message as though it originated from the IPv6 layer, but the process is coordinated wholly from within the aero interface and is therefore opaque to the IPv6 layer. The MN sends an initial RS message over an UP underlying interface with its base aero address as the source address, all-
routers multicast as the destination address and with an aero option with a valid Prefix Length and MNP. The aero option also sets S to 1 and contains valid ifindex and P(i) values appropriate for the underlying ANET interface.

When the MS receives the RS, it accepts the message if the prefix assertion was acceptable; otherwise, it drops the message silently. If the prefix assertion was accepted, the MS injects the MNP into the routing/mapping system then caches the new ifIndex, Prefix Length, MNP and P(i) values. The MS then returns an RA with the aero address of an MS endpoint as the source address, the aero address of the MN as the destination address, an aero option and with Router Lifetime set to a non-zero value.

After the MN receives the initial RA confirming the MNP assertion, it notes the aero address in the RA as the destination for all subsequent RS messages it sends via this MS endpoint. If the MN needs to change to a different MS endpoint, it discovers and uses a different MS aero address.

The MN then manages its underlying ANET interfaces according to their states as follows:

- When an underlying ANET interface transitions to UP, the MN sends an RS over the ANET interface with its base aero address as the source address, the MS aero address as the destination address, and with one or more aero options. Aero options corresponding to the ANET interface set S to 1 and contain valid ifIndex and P(i) values appropriate for this ANET interface, while any additional aero options set S to 0 and contain valid ifIndex and P(i) values appropriate for other ANET interfaces.

- When an underlying ANET interface transitions to DOWN, the MN sends an RS over any UP ANET interface with an aero option for the DOWN ANET interface with D set to 1. The RS may include additional aero options for additional ANET interfaces as above.

- When a MN wishes to release from the current MS endpoint, it sends an RS message over any UP ANET interface with an aero option with R set to 1. When the MS receives the RS message, it withdraws the MNP from the routing/mapping system and returns an RA message with Router Lifetime set to 0.

- When all of a MNs underlying interfaces have transitioned to DOWN, the MS withdraws the MNP the same as if it had received an RS with an aero option with R set to 1.
The MN is responsible for retrying each RS/RA exchange up to MAX_RTR_SOLICITATIONS times separated by RTR_SOLICITATION_INTERVAL seconds until an RA is received. If no RA is received over multiple UP ANET interfaces, the MN declares this MS endpoint unreachable and tries a different MS endpoint.

The IPv6 layer sees the aero interface as an ordinary IPv6 interface. Therefore, when the IPv6 layer sends an RS message the aero interface returns an internally-generated RA message as though the message originated from an IPv6 router. The internally-generated RA message contains configuration information (such as Router Lifetime, MTU, etc.) that is consistent with the information received from the RAs generated by the MS.

Whether the aero interface RS/RA process is initiated from the receipt of an RS message from the IPv6 layer is an implementation matter. Some implementations may elect to defer the RS/RA process until an RS is received from the IPv6 layer, while others may elect to initiate the RS/RA process independently of any IPv6 layer messaging.

12. IANA Considerations

The IANA is instructed to allocate an IPv6 Neighbor Discovery option type for the aero option in the IPv6 Neighbor Discovery Option Formats registry.

13. Security Considerations

Security considerations are the same as defined for the specific access network interface types, and readers are referred to the appropriate interface specifications.

IPv6 and IPv6 ND security considerations also apply, and are specified in the normative references.
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Appendix A. Aero Option Extensions for Special-Purpose Links

The aero option format specified in Section 8 includes a Length value of 3 (i.e., 3 units of 8 octets). However, special-purpose aero links may extend the basic format to include additional fields and a Length value larger than 3.

For example, adaptation of the aero interface to the Aeronautical Telecommunications Network with Internet Protocol Services (ATN/IPS) includes link selection preferences based on transport port numbers in addition to the existing DSCP-based preferences. ATN/IPS nodes maintain a map of transport port numbers to 64 possible preference
fields, e.g., TCP port 22 maps to preference field 8, TCP port 443 maps to preference field 20, UDP port 8060 maps to preference field 34, etc. The extended aero option format for ATN/IPS is shown in Figure 3, where the Length value is 7 and the 'Q(i)' fields provide link preferences for the corresponding transport port number.

Figure 3: ATN/IPS Extended Aero Option Format

Appendix B. Prefix Length Considerations

The IPv6 addressing architecture [RFC4291] reserves the prefix ::/8; this assures that MNPs will not begin with ::32 so that MN and MS aero addresses cannot overlap. Additionally, this specification currently observes the 64-bit boundary in IPv6 addresses [RFC7421].

MN aero addresses insert the most-significant 64 MNP bits into the least-significant 64 bits of the prefix fe80::/64, however [RFC4291] defines the link-local prefix as fe80::/10 meaning "fe80" followed by 54 unused bits followed by the least-significant 64 bits of the address. Future versions of this specification may adapt the 54 unused bits for extended coding of MNP prefixes of /65 or longer (up to /118).
Appendix C. Change Log

<< RFC Editor - remove prior to publication >>

Differences from draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-03 to draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-04:

- Removed MNP from aero option format - we already have RIOs and PIOs, and so do not need another option type to include a Prefix.
- Clarified that the RA message response must include an aero option to indicate to the MN that the ANET provides a MS.
- MTU interactions with link adaptation clarified.

Differences from draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-02 to draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-03:

- Sections re-arranged to match RFC4861 structure.
- Multiple aero interfaces
- Conceptual sending algorithm

Differences from draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-01 to draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-02:

- Removed discussion of encapsulation (out of scope)
- Simplified MTU section
- Changed to use a new IPv6 ND option (the "aero option") instead of S/TLLAO
- Explained the nature of the interaction between the mobility management service and the air interface

Differences from draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-00 to draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-01:

- Updates based on list review comments on IETF ‘atn’ list from 4/29/2019 through 5/7/2019 (issue tracker established)
- added list of opportunities afforded by the single virtual link model
- added discussion of encapsulation considerations to Section 6
- noted that DupAddrDetectTransmits is set to 0
- removed discussion of IPv6 ND options for prefix assertions. The aero address already includes the MNP, and there are many good reasons for it to continue to do so. Therefore, also including the MNP in an IPv6 ND option would be redundant.
- Significant re-work of "Router Discovery" section.
- New Appendix B on Prefix Length considerations

First draft version (draft-templin-atn-aero-interface-00):
- Draft based on consensus decision of ICAO Working Group I Mobility Subgroup March 22, 2019.
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