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Abstract

This document specifies how a circular area representing the location of device can be returned using DHCP. The document also shows how the data returned from DHCP can be encoded into GML for using in a PIDF-LO in an unambiguous or contentious manner.

This document is a contribution to the ongoing discussion on RFC 3825; it represents one possible solution to address the discussed issues.
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1. Introduction

Location provided by GPS device and like generally provide location information as a point with a degree of uncertainty. This uncertainty is more often than not expressed as an offset in metres from the central point, with the resulting location being a circle when expressed in 2 dimensions, and a sphere when expressed in 3 dimensions. This memo presupposes that locations have been measured, for example using a GPS, ahead of time and have subsequently been stored in a wiremap database. Associations between end-devices and location can be done using DHCP option 82 or other methods where appropriate.

This document omits an altitude representation based on the envisioned usage scenario.
2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
3. Details and Rationale

The intent of this specification is to provide a location to an end-device so that it can easily represent it as circle in GML in accordance with PIDF-LO Profile [I-D.ietf-geopriv-pdif-lo-profile]. PIDF-LO Profile relies on geoshape [geoshape] requires all coordinates to be specified using WGS-84, consequently the coordinates used in this memo are specified using WGS-84.

GML [gml] uses the ISO 19107 [ISO-19107] definition of a point, and quotes this as being "0-dimensional geometric primitive, representing a position. NOTE The boundary of a point is the empty set." At some point however, it becomes necessary to express the coordinates that make up the location in bits and bytes. Since the intent is to use GML as the final representation, the encoding standards and limitations expressed by GML are used.

GML is an XML language [xml] for expressing location information, and XML defines mappings between its primitive types and standard binary encodings. The GML point is made up of XML (xsd) doubles, and an XML double is expressed as an IEEE 754-1985 [IEEE-754-1985] double-precision floating point number. This means that a latitude or longitude in GML is expressed as a 64 bit binary number, but in accordance with the previous definition is interpreted as being zero dimensional, without area.

The binary encodings provided in this memo express latitude and longitude values as 64 bit binary floating-point numbers, as defined in [IEEE-754-1985]. A radius is defined as a positive offset to this in metres, and is expressed as an unsigned 16 bit integer. This allows a circle with a radius in the order of 65.5km to be expressed without difficulty, and for a point with no specified uncertainty to be provided where the radius is set to zero.

3.1. DHCPv4 Option for a Circular Location

This section defines a DHCP for IPv4 (DHCPv4) option for the point with radius of uncertainty.
DHCPv4 Option

LOC-CIRCLE: The IANA assigned option number (TBD).

Length: The length of this option octets (18).

Latitude: 8 octets representing the the latitude of the central point of a circle, expressed as an [IEEE-754-1985] double.

Longitude: 8 octets representing the the longitude of the central point of a circle, expressed as an [IEEE-754-1985] double.

Radius: a 16 bit unsigned integer expressing the radius of the circle in metres.

3.2. DHCPv6 Option for a LIS Address

This section defines a DHCP for IPv6 (DHCPv6) option for the point with radius of uncertainty. The DHCPv6 option for this parameter is similarly formatted to the DHCPv4 option.
DHCPv6 Option

LOC-CIRCLE: The IANA assigned option number (TBD).

Length: The length of this option in octets (18).

Latitude: 8 octets representing the the latitude of the central point of a circle, expressed as an [IEEE-754-1985] double.

Longitude: 8 octets representing the the longitude of the central point of a circle, expressed as an [IEEE-754-1985] double.

Radius: a 16 bit unsigned integer expressing the radius of the circle in metres.
4. Expressing the Circle in GML

PIDF-LO Profile [I-D.ietf-geopriv-pdif-lo-profile] describes how a circle is expressed in GML and included in a PIDF-LO [RFC4119]. The latitude and longitude components of this encoding form the central point of the circle.

```
  _d^^^^^^^^^b_
   .d''        'b.
   .p'        /   'q.
   .d'  Radius-> /   'b.
   .d'       /    'b.
::         /    ::
::       C   ::
::         ^   ::
'p.       |   .q'
'p.   Centre   .q'
'q..   .p'
^q.........p^  
```

Figure 3: Circle Representation

The XML for the resulting circle is shown in Figure 4 (assuming the centre is represented as 42.5463 -73.2512) and the radius is 5 meters.
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<pres xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf"
     xmlns:gp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:pidf:geopriv10"
     xmlns:gs="http://www.opengis.net/pidflo/1.0"
     xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml"
     entity="pres:circle@example.com">
   <tuple id="sg89ab1">
     <status>
       <gp:geopriv>
         <gp:location-info>
           <gs:Circle srsName="urn:ogc:def:crs:EPSG::4326">
             <gml:pos>
               42.5463 -73.2512
             </gml:pos>
             <gml:radius uom="urn:ogc:def:uom:EPSG::9001">5</gml:radius>
           </gs:Circle>
           <usage-rules/>
         </gp:location-info>
       </gp:geopriv>
     </status>
   </tuple>
 </presence>

Figure 4: Resulting XML and PIDF-LO
5. Security Considerations

The security issues for this document are the same as for RFC3825 [RFC3825].
6. IANA Considerations

There are no specific IANA considerations for this document.
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