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Abstract

This memo describes an RTP payload format for the multiview extension of the ITU-T Recommendation H.264 video codec that is technically identical to ISO/IEC International Standard 14496-10. The RTP payload format allows for packetization of one or more Network Abstraction Layer (NAL) units, produced by the video encoder, in each RTP payload. The payload format has wide applicability, such as 3D video streaming, free-viewpoint video, and 3DTV.
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1. Introduction

This memo specifies an RTP [RFC3550] payload format for a forthcoming new mode of the H.264/AVC video coding standard, known as Multiview Video Coding (MVC). Formally, MVC will take the form of Amendment 4 to ISO/IEC 14496 Part 10 [MPEG4-10], and Annex H of ITU-T Rec. H.264 [H.264]. The latest draft specification of MVC is available in [MVC].

MVC covers a wide range of 3D video applications, including 3D video streaming, free-viewpoint video as well as 3DTV.

This memo follows a backward compatible enhancement philosophy, by keeping as close an alignment to the H.264/AVC payload format [RFC3984] as possible. It documents the enhancements relevant from an RTP transport viewpoint, and defines signaling support for MVC, including a new media subtype name.

Due to the similarity between MVC and SVC in system and transport aspects, this memo reuses the design principles as well as many features of the SVC RTP payload draft [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-svc].

[Ed.Note(TS):Need text on session multiplexing and on the relation of this draft to [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-svc] here.]
2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

This specification uses the notion of setting and clearing a bit when bit fields are handled. Setting a bit is the same as assigning that bit the value of 1 (On). Clearing a bit is the same as assigning that bit the value of 0 (Off).

3. The MVC Codec

3.1. Overview

MVC provides multi-view video bitstreams. An MVC bitstream contains a base view conforming to at least one of the profiles of H.264/AVC as defined in Annex A of [H.264], and one or more non-base views. To enable high compression efficiency, coding of a non-base view can utilize other views for inter-view prediction, thus its decoding relies on the presence of the views it depends on. Each coded view itself may be temporally scalable. Besides temporal scalability, MVC also supports view scalability, wherein a subset of the encoded views can be extracted, decoded and displayed, whenever it is desired by the application.

The concept of video coding layer (VCL) and network abstraction layer (NAL) is inherited from H.264/AVC. The VCL contains the signal processing functionality of the codec; mechanisms such as transform, quantization, motion-compensated prediction, loop filtering and inter-layer prediction. The Network Abstraction Layer (NAL) encapsulates each slice generated by the VCL into one or more Network Abstraction Layer Units (NAL units). Please consult RFC 3984 for a more in-depth discussion of the NAL unit concept. MVC specifies the decoding order of NAL units.

In MVC, one access unit contains all NAL units pertaining to one output time instance for all the views. Within one access unit, the coded representation of each view, also named as view component, consists of one or more slices.

The concept of temporal scalability is not newly introduced by SVC or MVC, as profiles defined in Annex A of [H.264] already support it. In [H.264], sub-sequences have been introduced in order to allow optional use of temporal layers. SVC extended this approach by
advertising the temporal scalability information within the NAL unit header or prefix NAL units, both were inherited to MVC.

3.2. Parameter Set Concept

The parameter set concept was first specified in [H.264]. Please refer to section 1.2 of [RFC3984] for more details. SVC introduced some new parameter set mechanisms. MVC has inherited the parameter set concept from [H.264].

In particular, a different type of sequence parameter set (SPS), which is referred to as subset SPS, using a different NAL unit type than "the old SPS" specified in [H.264] is used for non-base views, while the base view still uses "the old SPS". Slices from different views would be able to use either 1) the same sequence or picture parameter set, or 2) different sequence or picture parameter sets.

The inter-view dependency and the decoding order of all the encoded views are indicated in a new syntax structure, the SPS MVC extension, included in each subset SPS.

3.3. Network Abstraction Layer Unit Header

An MVC NAL unit of type 20 or 14 consists of a header of four octets and the payload byte string. MVC NAL units of type 20 are coded slices of non-base views. A special type of an MVC NAL unit is the prefix NAL unit (type 14) that includes descriptive information of the associated H.264/AVC VCL NAL unit (type 1 or 5) that immediately follows the prefix NAL unit.

MVC extends the one-byte H.264/AVC NAL unit header by three additional octets. The header indicates the type of the NAL unit, the (potential) presence of bit errors or syntax violations in the NAL unit payload, information regarding the relative importance of the NAL unit for the decoding process, the view identification information, the temporal layer identification information, and other fields as discussed below.

The syntax and semantics of the NAL unit header are formally specified in [MVC], but the essential properties of the NAL unit header are summarized below.

The first byte of the NAL unit header has the following format (the bit fields are the same as defined for the one-byte H.264/AVC NAL unit header, while the semantics of some fields have changed slightly, in a backward compatible way):
F: 1 bit

forbidden_zero_bit. H.264/AVC declares a value of 1 as a syntax violation.

NRI: 2 bits

nal_ref_idc. A value of 00 indicates that the content of the NAL unit is not used to reconstruct reference pictures for future prediction. Such NAL units can be discarded without risking the integrity of the reference pictures in the same view. A value higher than 00 indicates that the decoding of the NAL unit is required to maintain the integrity of reference pictures in the same view, or that the NAL unit contains parameter sets.

Type: 5 bits

nal_unit_type. This component specifies the NAL unit type.

In H.264/AVC, NAL unit types 14 and 20 are reserved for future extensions. MVC uses these two NAL unit types. NAL unit type 14 is used for prefix NAL unit, and NAL unit type 20 is used for coded slice of non-base view. NAL unit types 14 and 20 indicate the presence of three additional octets in the NAL unit header, as shown below.

PRID: 6 bits

priority_id. This flag specifies a priority identifier for the NAL unit. A lower value of PRID indicates a higher priority.

TID: 3 bits

temporal_id. This component specifies the temporal layer (or frame rate) hierarchy. Informally put, a temporal layer consisting of view
A component with a less temporal_id corresponds to a lower frame rate. A given temporal layer typically depends on the lower temporal layers (i.e., the temporal layers with less temporal_id values) but never depends on any higher temporal layer (i.e., a temporal layer with higher temporal_id value).

A: 1 bit

anchor_pic_flag. This component specifies whether the view component is an anchor picture (when equal to 1) or not (when equal to 0), as specified in [MVC].

VID: 10 bits

view_id. This component specifies the view identifier of the view the NAL unit belongs to.

I: 1 bit

idr_flag. This component specifies whether the view component is a view instantaneous decoding refresh (V-IDR) picture for the view (when equal to 1) or not (when equal to 0), as specified in [MVC].

V: 1 bit

inter_view_flag. This component specifies whether the view component is used for inter-view prediction (when equal to 1) or not (when equal to 0).

R: 1 bit

reserved_zero_one_bit. Reserved bit for future extension. R MUST be equal to 0. Receivers SHOULD ignore the value of reserved_zero_one_bit.

0: 1 bit

reserved_one_bit. Reserved bit for future extension. R shall be equal to 1. Receivers SHOULD ignore the value of reserved_zero_one_bit.

This memo reuses the same additional NAL unit types introduced in RFC 3984, which are presented in section 6.3. In addition, this memo introduces one more NAL unit type, 30, as specified in section 6.8. These NAL unit types are marked as unspecified in [MVC] and intentionally reserved for use in systems specifications like this.
4. Scope

This payload specification can only be used to carry the "naked" NAL unit stream over RTP, and not the byte stream format according to Annex B of [MVC]. Likely, the applications of this specification will be in the IP based multimedia communications fields including 3D video streaming over IP, free-viewpoint video over IP, and 3DTV over IP.

This specification allows, in a given RTP packet stream, to encapsulate NAL units belonging to

- the base view only, detailed specification in [RFC3984], or
- one or more non-base views, or
- the base view and one or non-base views

[Ed>Note(YkW): To be extended to allow separate carriage of different temporal layers in different RTP packet streams as in [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-svc].]

5. Definitions and Abbreviations

5.1. Definitions

5.1.1. Definitions per MVC specification

This document uses the definitions of [MVC]. The following terms, defined in [MVC], are summed up for convenience:

access unit: A set of NAL units always containing exactly one primary coded picture with one or more view components. In addition to the primary coded picture, an access unit may also contain one or more redundant coded pictures, one auxiliary coded picture, or other NAL units not containing slices or slice data partitions of a coded picture. The decoding of an access unit always results in one decoded picture. All slices or slice data partitions in an access unit have the same value of picture order count.

prefix NAL unit: A NAL unit with nal_unit_type equal to 14 that immediately precedes a NAL unit with nal_unit_type equal to 1, 5, or 12. The NAL unit that succeeds the prefix NAL unit is also referred to as the associated NAL unit. The prefix NAL unit contains
data associated with the associated NAL unit, which are considered to be part of the associated NAL unit.

5.1.2. Definitions local to this memo

MVC NAL unit: A NAL unit of NAL unit type 14 or 20 as specified in Annex H of [MVC]. An MVC NAL unit has a four-byte NAL unit header.

operation point: An operation point of an MVC bitstream represents a certain level of temporal and view scalability. An operation point contains only those NAL units required for a valid bitstream to represent a certain subset of views at a certain temporal level. An operation point is described by the view_id values of the subset of views, and the highest temporal_id.

multi-session transmission: The transmission mode in which the MVC bitstream is transmitted over multiple RTP sessions, with each stream having the same SSRC. These multiple RTP streams can be associated using the RTCP CNAME, or explicit signalling of the SSRC used. Dependency between RTP sessions MUST be signaled according to [I-D.ietf-mmusic-decoding-dependency] and this memo.

single-session transmission: The transmission mode in which the MVC bitstream is transmitted over a single RTP session, with a single SSRC and separate timestamp and sequence number spaces.

[Ed.Note(TS): Need more definitions here.]

5.1. Abbreviations

In addition to the abbreviations defined in [RFC3984], the following ones are defined.

MVC: Multiview Video Coding
CS-DON: Cross-Session Decoding Order Number
MST: multi-session transmission
PACSI: Payload Content Scalability Information
SST: single-session transmission

6. MVC RTP Payload Format

6.1. Design Principles

The following design principles have been observed:

- Backward compatibility with [RFC3984] wherever possible.
o As the MVC base view is H.264/AVC compatible, the base view or any H.264/AVC compatible subset of it, when transmitted in its own RTP packet stream, MUST be encapsulated using [RFC3984]. Requiring this has the desirable side effect that the transmitted data can be received by [RFC3984] receivers and decoded by H.264/AVC decoders.

o Media-Aware Network Elements (MANEs) as defined in [RFC3984] are signaling aware and rely on signaling information. MANEs have state.

o MANEs can aggregate multiple RTP streams, possibly from multiple RTP sessions.

o MANEs can perform media-aware stream thinning. By using the payload header information identifying Layers within an RTP session, MANEs are able to remove packets from the incoming RTP packet stream. This implies rewriting the RTP headers of the outgoing packet stream and rewriting of RTCP Receiver Reports.

6.2. RTP Header Usage

Please see section 5.1 of [RFC3984].

6.3. Common Structure of the RTP Payload Format

Please see section 5.2 of [RFC3984].

6.4. NAL Unit Header Usage

The structure and semantics of the NAL unit header were introduced in section 3.3. This section specifies the semantics of F, NRI, PRID, TID, A and I according to this specification.

Note that, in the context of this section, "protecting a NAL unit" means any RTP or network transport mechanism that could improve the probability of success delivery of the packet conveying the NAL unit, including applying a QoS-enabled network, forward error correction (FEC), retransmissions, and advanced scheduling behavior, whenever possible.

The semantics of F specified in section 5.3 of [RFC3984] also applies herein.

For NRI, for a bitstream conforming to one of the profiles defined in Annex A of [H.264] and transported using [RFC3984], the semantics specified in section 5.3 of [RFC3984] are applicable, i.e., NRI also indicates the relative importance of NAL units. In MVC context, in addition to the semantics specified in Annex H of [MVC] are
applicable, NRI also indicate the relative importance of NAL units within a view. MANEs MAY use this information to protect more important NAL units better than less important NAL units. [Ed.Note(YkW): "MVC context" to be clearly specified.]

For PRID, the semantics specified in Annex H of [MVC] applies. Note that MANEs implementing unequal error protection MAY use this information to protect NAL units with smaller PRID values better than those with larger PRID values, for example by including only the more important NAL units in a forward error correction (FEC) protection mechanism. The importance for the decoding process decreases as the PRID value increases.

For TID, in addition to the semantics specified in Annex H of [MVC], according to this memo, values of TID indicate the relative importance. A lower value of TID indicates a higher importance for NAL units within a view. MANEs MAY use this information to protect more important NAL units better than less important NAL units.

For A, in addition to the semantics specified in Annex H of [MVC], according to this memo, MANEs MAY use this information to protect NAL units with A equal to 1 better than NAL units with A equal to 0. MANEs MAY also utilize information of NAL units with A equal to 1 to decide when to forward more packets for an RTP packet stream. For example, when it is sensed that view switching has happened such that the operation point has changed, MANEs MAY start to forward NAL units for a new target view only after forwarding a NAL unit with A equal to 1 for the new target view.

For I, in addition to the semantics specified in Annex H of [MVC], according to this memo, MANEs MAY use this information to protect NAL units with I equal to 1 better than NAL units with I equal to 0. MANEs MAY also utilize information of NAL units with I equal to 1 to decide when to forward more packets for an RTP packet stream. For example, when it is sensed that view switching has happened such that the operation point has changed, MANEs MAY start to forward NAL units for a new target view only after forwarding a NAL unit with I equal to 1 for the new target view.

6.5. Packetization Modes

[Ed.Note(TS): Need to add text from [I-D. draft-ietf-avt-rtp-svc] to this section with respect to MVC.]
6.5.1. Packetization Modes for single-session transmission

This section will address the issues of section 4.5.1 and 5.1 of [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

6.5.2. Packetization Modes for multi-session transmission

This section will address the issues of section 4.5.2 and 5.2 of [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

6.6. Aggregation Packets

This section will address the issues of section 4.7 of [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

6.7. Fragmentation Units (FUs)

This section will address the issues of section 4.8 of [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

6.8. Payload Content Scalability Information (PACSI) NAL Unit for MVC

A new NAL unit type is specified in this memo, and referred to as payload content scalability information (PACSI) NAL unit. The PACSI NAL unit, if present, MUST be the first NAL unit in an aggregation packet, and it MUST NOT be present in other types of packets. The PACSI NAL unit indicates view and temporal scalability information and other characteristics that are common for all the remaining NAL units in the payload of the aggregation packet. Furthermore, a PACSI NAL unit MAY include a DONC field and contain zero or more SEI NAL units. PACSI NAL unit makes it easier for MANEs to decide whether to forward/process/discard the aggregation packet containing the PACSI NAL unit. Senders MAY create PACSI NAL units and receivers MAY ignore them, or use them as hints to enable efficient aggregation packet processing. Note that the NAL unit type for the PACSI NAL unit is selected among those values that are unspecified in [MVC] and [RFC3984].

When the first aggregation unit of an aggregation packet contains a PACSI NAL unit, there MUST be at least one additional aggregation unit present in the same packet. The RTP header and payload header fields of the aggregation packet are set according to the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet.
When a PACSI NAL unit is included in a multi-time aggregation packet (MTAP), the decoding order number (DON) for the PACSI NAL unit MUST be set to indicate that the PACSI NAL unit has an identical DON to the first NAL unit in decoding order among the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet.

The structure of a PACSI NAL unit is as follows. The first four octets are exactly the same as the four-byte MVC NAL unit header as discussed in section 3.3. They are followed by two always present octet, two optional octets, and zero or more SEI NAL units, each SEI NAL unit preceded by a 16-bit unsigned size field (in network byte order) that indicates the size of the following NAL unit in bytes (excluding these two octets, but including the NAL unit type octet of the SEI NAL unit). Figure 1 illustrates the PACSI NAL unit structure and an example of a PACSI NAL unit containing two SEI NAL units.

The bits P, C, S, and E are specified only if the bit X is equal to 1. The T bit MUST NOT be equal to 1 if the aggregation packet containing the PACSI NAL unit is not an STAP-A packet. The T bit MAY be equal to 1 if the aggregation packet containing the PACSI NAL unit is an STAP-A packet. The field DONC MUST NOT be present if the T bit is equal to 0, and MUST be present if the T bit is equal to 1.

```
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| 0      | 1      | 2      | 3      |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| F      | NRI    | Type   | S      |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| PRID   | TID    | A      | VID    |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| I       | V      | R      |        |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| X      | T      | RR     | P      |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| C      | S      | E      |        |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| RRR    |        | DONC   |        |
+--------+--------+--------+--------+
| NAL unit size 1 |        |        |
|        |        |        |        |
| NAL unit size 2 |        |        |
```

Figure 1. PACSI NAL unit structure

The values of the fields in PACSI NAL unit MUST be set as follows. The term "target NAL units" are used in the semantics of some fields. The target NAL units are such NAL units contained in the aggregation...
packet, but not included in the PACSI NAL unit, that are within the access unit to which the first NAL unit following the PACSI NAL unit in the aggregation packet belongs.

- The F bit MUST be set to 1 if the F bit in at least one of the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet is equal to 1. Otherwise, the F bit MUST be set to 0.
- The NRI field MUST be set to the highest value of NRI field among all the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet.
- The Type field MUST be set to 30.
- The S bit MUST be set to 1.
- The PRID field MUST be set to the lowest value of the PRID values of all the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet.
- The TID field MUST be set to the lowest value of the TID values of all the remaining NAL units with the lowest value of VID in the aggregation packet.
- The A bit MUST be set to 1 if the A bit of at least one of the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet is equal to 1. Otherwise, the A bit MUST be set to 0.
- The VID field MUST be set to the lowest value of the VID values of all the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet.
- The I bit MUST be set to 1 if the I bit of at least one of the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet is equal to 1. Otherwise, the I bit MUST be set to 0.
- The V bit MUST be set to 1 if the V bit of at least one of the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet is equal to 1. Otherwise, the A bit MUST be set to 0.
- The R bit MUST be set to 0. Receivers SHOULD ignore the value of R.
- If the X bit is equal to 1, the bits P, C, S, and E are specified as below. Otherwise, the bits P, C, S, and E are unspecified, and receivers MUST ignore these bits. The X bit SHOULD be identical for all the PACSI NAL units involved in all the RTP sessions conveying an MVC bitstream.
- The RR field MUST be set to ’00’ (in binary form). Receivers SHOULD ignore the value of RR.
If the T bit is equal to 1, the OPTIONAL field DONC MUST be present and specified as below. Otherwise, the field DONC MUST NOT be present.

The P bit MUST be set to 1 if all the remaining NAL units in the aggregation packet are with redundant_pic_cnt higher than 0, i.e. the slices are redundant slices. Otherwise, the P bit MUST be set to 0.

Informative note: The P bit indicates whether the packet can be discarded because it contains only redundant slice NAL units. Without this bit, the corresponding information can be concluded from the syntax element redundant_pic_cnt, which is buried in the variable-length coded slice header.

The C bit MUST be set to 1 if the target NAL units belong to an access unit for which the view components are intra coded. Otherwise, the C bit MUST be set to 0. The C bit SHOULD be identical for all the PACSI NAL units for which the target NAL units belong to the same access unit.

Informative note: The C bit indicates whether the packet contains intra slices which may be the only packets to be forwarded for a fast forward playback, e.g. when the network condition is extremely bad.

The S bit MUST be set to 1, if the first VCL NAL unit, in transmission order, of the view component containing the first NAL unit following the PACSI NAL unit in the aggregation packet is present in the aggregation packet. Otherwise, the S bit MUST be set to 0.

The E bit MUST be set to 1, if the last VCL NAL unit, in transmission order, of the view component containing the first NAL unit following the PACSI NAL unit in the aggregation packet is present in the aggregation packet. Otherwise, the E field MUST be set to 0.

Informative note: The S or E bit indicates whether the first or last slice, in transmission order, of a view component is in the packet, to enable a MANE to detect slice loss and take proper action such as requesting a retransmission as soon as possible, as well as to allow an efficient playout buffer handling similarly as the M bit in the RTP header. The M bit in the RTP header still indicates the end of an access unit, not the end of a view component.

The RRR field MUST be set to ’00000000’ (in binary form). Receivers SHOULD ignore the value of RRR.
When present, the field DONC indicates the CL-DON value for the first NAL unit in the STAP-A in transmission order.

SEI NAL units included in the PACSI NAL unit, if any, MUST contain a subset of the SEI messages associated with the access unit of the first NAL unit following the PACSI NAL unit within the aggregation packet.

Informative note: Senders may repeat such SEI NAL units in the PACSI NAL unit the presence of which in more than one packet is essential for packet loss robustness. Receivers may use the repeated SEI messages in place of missing SEI messages.

An SEI message SHOULD NOT be included in a PACSI NAL unit and included in one of the remaining NAL units contained in the same aggregation packet.

### 6.9. Non-Interleaved Multi-Time Aggregation Packets (NI-MTAPs)

This section will address the issues of section 4.7.1 of [I-D.ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

### 6.10. Cross-Session DON (CS-DON) for multi-session transmission

This section will address the issues of section 4.11 of [I-D.ietf-avt-rtp-svc].

### 7. Packetization Rules

Section 6 of [RFC3984] applies. The following rules apply in addition.

All receivers MUST support the single NAL unit packetization mode to provide backward compatibility to endpoints supporting only the single NAL unit mode of RFC 3984. However, the single NAL unit packetization mode SHOULD NOT be used whenever possible, because encapsulating NAL units of small sizes, e.g. small NAL units containing parameter sets, SEI messages or prefix NAL units, in their own packets is typically less efficient because of the relatively big overhead.

All receivers MUST support the non-interleaved packetization mode.
Informative note: The non-interleaved mode allows an application to encapsulate a single NAL unit in a single RTP packet. Historically, the single NAL unit mode has been included into [RFC3984] only for compatibility with ITU-T Rec. H.241 Annex A [H.241]. There is no point in carrying this historic ballast towards a new application space such as the one provided with MVC. More technically speaking, the implementation complexity increase for providing the additional mechanisms of the non-interleaved mode (namely STAP-A and FU-A) is minor, and the benefits are great, that STAP-A implementation is required.

A NAL unit of small size SHOULD be encapsulated in an aggregation packet together with one or more other NAL units. For example, non-VCL NAL units such as access unit delimiter, parameter set, or SEI NAL unit are typically small.

A prefix NAL unit SHOULD be aggregated to the same packet as the associated NAL unit following the prefix NAL unit in decoding order.

When the first aggregation unit of an aggregation packet contains a PACSI NAL unit, there MUST be at least one additional aggregation unit present in the same packet.

When an MVC bitstream is transported in more than one RTP session, the following applies.

- Interleaved mode SHOULD be used for all the RTP sessions.
- An RTP session that does not use interleaved mode SHOULD be constrained as follows.
  - Non-interleaved mode MUST be used.
  - STAP-A MUST be used, and any other type of packets MUST NOT be used.
  - Each STAP-A MUST contain a PACSI NAL unit and the DONC field MUST be present in the PACSI NAL unit.

Informative note: The motivation for these constraints is to allow the use of non-interleaved mode for the session conveying the H.264/AVC compatible view, such that RFC 3984 receivers without interleaved mode implementation can subscribe to the base view session.

Non-VCL NAL units SHOULD be conveyed in the same session as the associated VCL NAL units. To meet this, SEI messages that are
contained in scalable nesting SEI message and are applicable to more than one session SHOULD be separated and contained into multiple scalable nesting SEI messages. The DON values MUST indicate the cross-layer decoding order number values as if all these SEI messages were in separate scalable nesting SEI messages and contained in the beginning of the corresponding access units as specified in [MVC].

8. De-Packetization Process (Informative)

For a single RTP session, the de-packetization process specified in section 7 of [RFC3984] applies.

For receiving more than one of multiple RTP sessions conveying a scalable bitstream, an example of a suitable implementation of the de-packetization process is to be specified similarly as what will be finally included in [I-D.draft-ietf-avt-svc].

9. Payload Format Parameters

This section specifies the parameters that MAY be used to select optional features of the payload format and certain features of the bitstream. The parameters are specified here as part of the media type registration for the MVC codec. A mapping of the parameters into the Session Description Protocol (SDP) [RFC4566] is also provided for applications that use SDP. Equivalent parameters could be defined elsewhere for use with control protocols that do not use SDP.

9.1. Media Type Registration

The media subtype for the MVC codec is allocated from the IETF tree.

The receiver MUST ignore any unspecified parameter.

Informative note: Requiring ignoring unspecified parameter allows for backward compatibility of future extensions. For example, if a future specification that is backward compatible to this specification specifies some new parameters, then a receiver according to this specification is capable of receiving data per the new payload but ignoring those parameters newly specified in the new payload specification. This sentence is also present in RFC 3984.

Media Type name: video

Media subtype name: H264-MVC
The media subtype "H264" MUST be used for RTP streams using RFC 3984, i.e. not using any of the new features introduced by this specification compared to RFC 3984. For RTP streams using any of the new features introduced by this specification compared to RFC 3984, the media subtype "H264-MVC" SHOULD be used, and the media subtype "H264" MAY be used. Use of the media subtype "H264" for RTP streams using the new features allows for RFC 3984 receivers to negotiate and receive H.264/AVC or MVC streams packetized according to this specification, but to ignore media parameters and NAL unit types it does not recognize.

Required parameters: none

OPTIONAL parameters: to be specified.

Encoding considerations:

This type is only defined for transfer via RTP (RFC 3550).

Security considerations:

See section 10 of RFC XXXX.

Public specification:

Please refer to RFC XXXX and its section 14.

Additional information: none

File extensions: none

Macintosh file type code: none

Object identifier or OID: none

Person & email address to contact for further information:

Intended usage: COMMON

Author: NN

Change controller:

IETF Audio/Video Transport working group delegated from the IESG.
9.2. SDP Parameters

9.2.1. Mapping of Payload Type Parameters to SDP

The media type video/H264-MVC string is mapped to fields in the Session Description Protocol (SDP) as follows:

- The media name in the "m=" line of SDP MUST be video.
- The encoding name in the "a=rtpmap" line of SDP MUST be H264-MVC (the media subtype).
- The clock rate in the "a=rtpmap" line MUST be 90000.
- The OPTIONAL parameters, when present, MUST be included in the "a=fmtp" line of SDP. These parameters are expressed as a media type string, in the form of a semicolon separated list of parameter=value pairs.

9.2.2. Usage with the SDP Offer/Answer Model

TBD.

9.2.3. Usage with multi-session transmission

If multi-session transmission is used, the rules on signaling media decoding dependency in SDP as defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-mmusic-decoding-dependency] apply.

9.2.4. Usage in Declarative Session Descriptions

TBD.

9.3. Examples

TBD.

9.4. Parameter Set Considerations

Please see section 10 of [RFC3984].

10. Security Considerations

Please see section 11 of [RFC3984].
11. Congestion Control

TBD.

12. IANA Considerations

Request for media type registration to be added.
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15. Open issues:

- The use of CL-DON for session reordering allows also for
  interleaved transmission with non-interleaved packetization mode.
  There should be a clear separation between both tools. This issue
  should be handled the same way as for the SVC payload draft.

- Since SVC session multiplexing (multi source transmission(MST)) is
  cleared, it would be great to just reference the MST sections in
  [I-D.ietf-avt-rtp-svc]. Since the text in sections 6 and 7
  of [I-D.ietf-avt-rtp-svc] is currently very SVC specific,
  the authors would have to try to rewrite these sections in a more
generic way. If this is not possible, we need to copy text from
[I-D.ietf-avt-rtp-svc] with respect to MVC.
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