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Abstract

Flex Ethernet (FlexE) technology, which is defined by Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF), is a new kind of data plane technology and can be used to provide a generic mechanism for supporting a variety of Ethernet MAC rates that may or may not correspond to any existing Ethernet PHY rate. This includes MAC rates that are greater than (through bonding) and less than (through sub-rate and channelization) the standard Ethernet PHY rates.

Based on the applications/use cases given in the Flex Ethernet Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA], this document defines a framework and control plane requirements for the application of existing GMPLS architecture and control plane protocols to the control of flexible Ethernet network. The actual extensions to the GMPLS protocols will be defined in companion documents.
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1. Introduction

Traditionally, Ethernet MAC rates were constrained to match the rates of the Ethernet PHY(s). OIF recently approved Flex Ethernet (FlexE) Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA], which can be used to provide a generic mechanism to support a variety of Ethernet MAC rates that may or may not correspond to any existing Ethernet PHY rate. In this kind of network scenario, FlexE uses more than one Ethernet PHYs as server layer and these Ethernet PHYs are bonded together as a FlexE group to carry FlexE client signal. The general capabilities supported by FlexE implementation includes:

- Bonding of Ethernet PHYs, e.g., supporting a 200G MAC over two bonded 100GBASE-R PHYs.
- Sub-rates of Ethernet PHYs, e.g., supporting a 50G MAC over a 100GBASE-R PHY.
- Channelization within a PHY or a group of bonded PHYs, e.g., support a 150G and two 25G MACs over two bonded 100GBASE-R PHYs.

Note that hybrids are also possible, for example a sub-rate of a group of bonded PHYs, for example, a 250G MAC over three bonded 100GBASE-R PHYs. For more use cases, you can refer to [draft-hussain-ccamp-flexe-usecases].

In order to operate on the Ethernet PHYs, FlexE capable nodes uses a calendar to assign slot positions on sub-calendars on each PHY of the FlexE group for each of the FlexE clients. The calendar has a granularity of 5G, and has a length of 20 slots per 100G Ethernet PHYs.

Based on the FlexE Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA], this document defines the framework for GMPLS-based control of flexible Ethernet network to depict the layer model of Flex Ethernet as well as a set of associated control-plane requirements.

Note: currently, ITU-T already include this part of work, such as, [ITU-T G.709] already include the content of mapping of FlexE Client signals into OPUflex using a new mapping method, and mapping of FlexE Aware signals into OPUflex. Also [ITU-T G.872] is going to include a description of FlexE, such as the layer model in different cases.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
2. Overview of FlexE Networks

2.1. FlexE Terminology

This section describes the definitions of the terms used in FlexE networks. More details about these terms can be found in OIF Flex Ethernet (FlexE) Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA].

FlexE group: the FlexE Group refers to a group of from 1 to n bonded Ethernet PHYs. This version of the Implementation Agreement supports FlexE groups composed of one or more bonded 100GBASE-R PHYs.

FlexE Client: a FlexE Client is an Ethernet flow based on a MAC data rate that may or may not correspond to any Ethernet PHY rate. The FlexE client MAC rates supported by this implementation agreement are 10, 40, and m * 25 Gb/s.

FlexE Shim: the FlexE Shim is the layer that maps or demaps the FlexE clients carried over a FlexE group. The FlexE mux refers to the transmit direction which maps the FlexE clients over the FlexE group. The FlexE demux refers to the receive direction which demaps the FlexE clients from the FlexE group.

2.2. Scenarios Supported by FlexE

According to the FlexE Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA], FlexE can support a variety of cases. A non-exhaustive list includes:

One case of router to transport connection is where the transport network is unaware of FlexE. This may be used with legacy transport equipment that provides PCS-codeword transparent transport of 100GbE, but provides no special support for FlexE. In this case, all PHYs of the FlexE group are carried independently, but over the same fiber route, over the transport network.

Another case of router to transport connection is where the transport network equipment terminates the FlexE group. In the FlexE terminating case, FlexE group is terminated before crossing the transport network and FlexE client is extracted from the FlexE signal and then carried over the transport network.

The final router to transport example described is one where the transport network is aware that it is carrying FlexE PHYs (as opposed to 100GbE), but the FlexE group is not terminated on the transport equipment. Transport network equipment may "crunch" the PHY of the FlexE group by allowing bits or bytes to be discarded.
from the unavailable calendar slots at the transport network ingress and these bits or bytes re-inserted with fixed values at the transport network egress. This may be used to support cases where the Ethernet PHY rate is be greater than the wavelength rate, the wavelength rate is not an integral multiple of the PHY rate, or there is a reason (for example, wavelengths terminated on different transponder line cards) that it is not possible to terminate the FlexE group in the transport equipment. This kind of equipment is a kind of special transport equipment which can support partial-rate transport.

2.3. FlexE Layer Model

Based on the cases addressed in section 2.2, FlexE has different kinds of mapping hierarchy accordingly. This section gives some description of FlexE layer model in different cases. Figure 1 depicts a FlexE layered network scenario. In this network, B and E are FlexE capable nodes, C and D are OTN ODUflex/ODU4 capable nodes. Node B, C are mainly used to encapsulate the client layer signal into the server layer, while node D, E are mainly used to extract the client layer signal from the server layer signal.

As defined in FlexE Implementation Agreement, a FlexE client may be generated internally within a system, received from an Ethernet PHY or from another FlexE shim. In this network scenario, we suppose the FlexE client is generated in router B.

Feature of cases can be found in section 3.2.

In all the following cases, we suppose FlexE client at node B has a path setup request from source B to destination E.

```
+----+                      +----+
          \                     /
          \                     /
+----+  +----+  +----+
     \      \      
     \      \      
+----+  +----+  +----+
    | C |------| D |
+----+  +----+
```

Figure 1: FlexE Layer Network

2.3.1. Layer Model in FlexE Unaware Case

In this case which is depicted in Figure 2, there exist four network layers. FlexE client layer represents an end-to-end connection, which is from the source B to destination E. When the FlexE client
signal is generated inside node B, the FlexE client signal is first mapped into the slots of FlexE, then the FlexE signal is carried by Ethernet PHYs towards the destination E. When the Ethernet PHYs arrive at node C, each PHY will be mapped into a separate ODU4 connection and then forwarded across the OTN network towards the ODU layer connection destination D.

Note: in this case, more than one FlexE clients can be carried by FlexE layer.

Four layers exist in this case, and the mapping hierarchy between node C and node D can be seen in Figure 3.

```
                      Ethernet Client Connections
                      |-----------------------------|
                      FlexE Connection
                      |-----------------------------|
                    +---+                                  +---+
                    | B |          PHY Connections         | E |
                    +---+|--------------------------------|+---+
                        /                          /
                        \                          /\+-+
                          |                          |+-+
                          \                          /+-+
                      ODU4 Connections        ODU4 Connections
                      | C |-----------------------------| D |
                      +---+                    +---+
                      Figure 2: FlexE Unaware Layer Network
```

```
                      Ethernet Client
                      |-----------------------------|
                      FlexE
                      |-----------------------------|
                    +---+                                  +---+
                    | PHY |          PHY Connections         | PHY |
                    +---+|-----------------------------|+---+
                        |                          |
                        |                          |
                      ODU4 |  ODU4 |
                      +---+                    +---+
                      Figure 3: FlexE Unaware Layer Hierarchy
```

2.3.2. Layer Model in FlexE Terminating Case

In this case, FlexE client layer represents an end-to-end connection, which is from the source B to destination E. When the FlexE client signal is generated inside node B, the Ethernet signal is first mapped into the slots of FlexE, then the FlexE signal is carried by Ethernet PHYs towards the destination C. When the FlexE signal arrives at node C, node C first extracts the FlexE client signal,
then maps the Ethernet client signal into ODU signal and forwards across the OTN network towards destination node D. Node D will first extract the FlexE client signal from the ODU signal, then map the Ethernet client signal into FlexE signal, which will then be carried by Ethernet PHYs towards destination node E.

Two segments of FlexE connection exist in this case. one is from node B to node C, and the other is from node D to node E.

Two kinds of mapping hierarchy exist. For the signal transferred on the links between B and C, D and E, the mapping hierarchy is depicted in Figure 5. For the signal transferred on the links between C and D, the mapping hierarchy is depicted in Figure 6.

```
+------------------+
| Ethernet Client  |
+------------------+
    | FlexE         |
    +---------------+
        | PHY    | PHY |
```

Figure 5: Mapping Hierarchy of FlexE

```
+------------------+
| Ethernet Client  |
+------------------+
    | ODU            |
```

Figure 6: Mapping Hierarchy on OTN Link
2.3.3. Layer Model in FlexE Aware Case

FlexE client layer represents an end-to-end connection, which is from the source B to destination E. When the FlexE client signal is generated inside node B,, the Ethernet signal is first mapped into the slots of FlexE, then the FlexE signal is carried by Ethernet PHYs towards the destination E. When the FlexE signal arrives at node C, node C will first discards unavailable slots, then transfers the remaining FlexE slots to ODU Connection. According to the description in [ITU-T G.709], these FlexE slots are carried across the OTN network via other ODUflex signals carried in other ODUcn/OTUCn/OTSiA signals.

In this scenario, Ethernet PHYs connection exist between node B and node C, node D and node E.

```
   Ethernet Client Connection
      |-----------------------------------------|
      |---------------------------------------|
      +---+                                  +---+
      | B |                                  | E |
      +---+                                  +---+
PHY Connections\                 /
  \                     /
    +----+ ODUFlex Connection +----+
    | C |------------------------| D |
    +----+                      +----+
```

Figure 7: FlexE Aware Layer Network

Two kinds of mapping hierarchy exist. For the signal transferred on the links between B and C, D and E, the mapping hierarchy can be seen in Figure 8. For the signal transferred on the links between C and D, the mapping hierarchy can be seen in Figure 9.

```
   +------------------+
   | Ethernet Client |
   +------------------+
   | FlexE |
   +------------------+
   | PHY | PHY |
   +------------------+
```

Figure 8: Mapping Hierarchy of FlexE
3. GMPLS Applicability

The goal of this section is to provide an insight into the application of GMPLS as a control mechanism in FlexE networks. Specific control-plane requirements for the support of FlexE networks are covered in Section 4. This section aims to describe the modelling of controlling the FlexE shim layer specific attributes in different network scenario based on the capability of FlexE described in OIF Flex Ethernet (FlexE) Implementation Agreement. [FlexE-IA]

3.1. General Considerations

The GMPLS control of the FlexE layer deals with the establishment of FlexE connections that are transferred in FlexE capable nodes. GMPLS labels are used to locally represent the FlexE connections and its associated slots assignment information for client.

3.2. Consideration of FlexE LSPs

The FlexE LSP is a control-plane representation of a FlexE Connection and MUST be carried by Ethernet PHYs LSP or ODU LSP in the network.

Figure 4 depicts a scenario that the FlexE LSP is carried over Ethernet PHYs LSP between node B and node C, node D and node E. When the Ethernet client signal arrives at node B, node B first check if there are enough Ethernet PHYs available for setting up FlexE LSP. If no, node B will first set up Ethernet PHYs LSP from node B to node C, and then set up the FlexE LSP over the Ethernet PHYs LSP. This process involves two signalling procedures, one is to set up Ethernet PHYs, and the other is used to set up FlexE LSP over the Ethernet PHYs. The set-up signalling of FlexE LSP includes the allocation of resource for Ethernet client.

Figure 7 depicts a scenario that the FlexE LSP is carried over ODU LSP between node C and node D. This scenario is different, and is used to support cases where the Ethernet PHY rate is be greater than the wavelength rate, the wavelength rate is not an integral multiple of the PHY rate. Node C and node D support the partial-rate ability.
When the FlexE LSP over Ethernet PHYs arrives at node C, node C first checks if there is enough resource for carrying the FlexE LSP signal across the transport network. If no, node C will check if there is enough resource for carrying FlexE LSP signal after discarding the unavailable slots. If yes, node C will first set up the ODUFlex LSP to node D, and then continue the signalling process of FlexE LSP across the transport network.

### 3.3. Control-Plane Modelling of FlexE Network Elements

FlexE is a new kind of transport technology, which has many new constraints. These constraints are listed below:

- **Unavailable slots**: This is different from "unused" slot, in that it is known, due to transport network constraints, that not all of the calendar slots generated from the FlexE mux will reach the FlexE demux and therefore no FlexE client should be assigned to those slots. As defined in the Flex Ethernet Implementation Agreement, unavailable slots are always at the end of the sub-calendar configuration for the respective PHY.

- **Unused slots**: Unused slots can be allocated to Ethernet client as available resource.

- **Partial-rate capability**: The partial-rate capability is usually supported by an OTN access equipment. If an equipment supports partial-rate, it means this equipment has the capability of discarding unavailable slots and transfers the left slots across OTN transport network.

- **Slot granularity**: Currently, only one kind of 5G slot granularity is defined in OIF Flex Ethernet (FlexE) Implementation Agreement.

### 3.4. FlexE Layer Resource Allocation Considerations

FlexE LSP transfers based on the slot information, so it SHOULD be able to expose the unused slot resource information towards the client layer. Besides the slot information, there are also some other attributes that need to be specified when allocating resource. In GMPLS-controlled system, these information should be taken into consideration as a label when forwarding.

- **FlexE group number**: A bunch of Ethernet PHYs can be bounded together and used as a whole as one FlexE LSP. FlexE LSPs between the same source and destination equipment SHOULD NOT have the same FlexE group number. Source equipment and destination equipment SHOULD be aware of the existing of different FlexE groups and which Ethernet PHYs are in which FlexE group.
PHY Number: it’s a dynamic and logical number that is assigned through control plane or management plane, which is unique within the context of (source, destination), and has a one-to-one correlation with physical port. This information will also be carried in the FlexE overhead. Source equipment and destination equipment SHOULD negotiate a value for every Ethernet PHYs within one FlexE group.

Slot Assignment information: the FlexE LSP transfers based on the slot positions, so the equipment SHOULD be able to tell which slot is assigned to which client.

Partial-rate: during the process of resource allocation, where the partial-rate would happen should be indicated.

Granularity: currently, only one kinds of 5G slot granularity is defined in OIF Flex Ethernet (FlexE) Implementation Agreement [FlexE-IA].

3.5. Neighbour Discovery and Link Property Correlation

There are potential interworking problems between different FlexE capable equipment. Devices or equipments might not be able to support the interworking of every slot due to the constraints of transport network equipment or other constraints. In this case, two directly connected FlexE capable equipments SHOULD run the neighbour discovery process and correlate the link property to make sure which slots are unavailable, which slots can be used by the client. Neighbour discovery protocol can be communicated in in-band FlexE section management channel, and also can be communicated through out-of-band management channel.

3.6. Routing and Topology Dissemination

The topology and routing information is used by the path computation entity to compute an end-to-end path. Besides the basic interconnected information, there are also some FlexE specific attributes that should be taken into consideration.

Partial-rate: partial-rate capability is a special feature which allows an equipment to discard unavailable slots and transfers the left slots across OTN transport network. Path computation entity is more likely to compute a feasible path if this capability is taken into consideration when computing path.

Unavailable slot information: this information is used to indicate certain slots SHOULD not be considered when computing an end-to-
end path. The unavailable slots can not be used to forward signal because of the transport constraints.

Unused slot information: unused slot can be allocated to the path as available resource.

3.7. Resizing of FlexE

Currently, OIF has many contributions about the FlexE at the PHY level and intends to do the resizing process through data plane negotiation scheme. This framework draft will include this requirement later when the work is stable in OIF.

4. Control-Plane Requirements

The control of FlexE networks brings some new additional requirements to the GMPLS protocols. This section summarizes those requirements for signalling, routing and Link management protocol.

4.1. Support for Signalling of FlexE

Aim of the signalling is to set up an end-to-end LSP for FlexE signal.

The signalling procedures shall be able to assign FlexE related attributes for an LSP, which include FlexE group number for a FlexE LSP. This FlexE group number is unique and can be used to indicate a group of Ethernet PHYs bonded together.

The signalling procedures shall be able to assign an unique PHY number for each bonded Ethernet PHY, and a correlation relationship SHOULd also be indicated between the assigned PHY number and real physical port number when signalling.

The signalling procedures shall be able to configure the slots information allocated for a FlexE LSP.

The Signalling procedures shall be able to indicate the place where partial-rate mapping happens.

4.2. Support for Routing of FlexE

The routing protocol extensions are mainly based on the functionality that is described in [RFC4202] and these extensions are made to fit into FlexE network.

The routing protocol SHALL distribute sufficient information to compute paths to enable the signalling procedure to establish LSPs as described in the previous sections.
The routing protocol SHALL update its advertisements of available resources and capabilities to include the partial-rate support information and unused slot information on each Ethernet PHY port.

4.3. Support for Neighbour Discovery and Link Property and Link Correlation

The control plane MAY include support for neighbour discovery such that a FlexE network can be constructed in a "plug-and-play" manner.

The control plane SHOULD allow the nodes at opposite ends of a link to correlate the properties that they will apply to the link. Such a correlation SHOULD include at least the identities of the nodes and the identities that they apply to the link. Other FlexE specific properties, such as the link characteristics of unavailable slot information, SHOULD also be correlated. Such neighbour discovery and link property correlation, if provided, MUST be able to operate in both in-band and out-of-band manner.

5. Security Considerations

TBD

6. Manageability Considerations

TBD

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[FlexE-IA]

[I-D.hussain-ccamp-flexe-usecases]

7.2. Informative References


Authors’ Addresses

Qilei Wang (editor)
ZTE
Nanjing
CN
Email: wang.qilei@zte.com.cn

Iftekhar Hussain (editor)
Infinera
Sunnyvale
USA
Email: IHussain@infinera.com

Khuzema Pithewan
Infinera
Sunnyvale
USA
Email: kpithewan@infinera.com

Radha Valiveti
Infinera
Sunnyvale
USA
Email: rvaliveti@infinera.com