Abstract

[I-D.xu-spring-pce-based-sfc-arch] describes a PCE-based SFC architecture in which the PCE is used to compute service function paths in SR networks. Based on the above architecture, this document describes extensions to the Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) that allow a PCE to compute and instantiate service function paths in SR networks.
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1. Introduction

Service Function Chaining (SFC) provides a flexible way to construct services. When applying a particular service function chain to the traffic classified by the SFC classifier, the traffic needs to be steered through an ordered set of service functions in the network. This ordered set service functions in the network, referred to as a Service Function Path (SFP), is an instantiation of the service function chain in the network. For example, as shown in Figure 1, an
SFP corresponding to the SFC of \{SF1, SF3\} can be expressed as
\{Service Node 1, SF1, Service Node 2, SF3\}.

[I-D.xu-spring-pce-based-sfc-arch] describes a PCE-based SFC
architecture in which the PCE is used to compute a service function
path (i.e., instantiate a service function chain) in SR networks.
This document describes extensions to the PCEP based on that
architecture.

2. Terminology

This section contains definitions for terms used frequently
throughout this document. However, many additional definitions can
be found in [RFC5440], [I-D.sivabalanc-pce-segment-routing] and
[I-D.xu-spring-pce-based-sfc-arch].

PCC: Path Computation Client

PCE: Path Computation Element

PCEP: Path Computation Element Protocol
ERO: Explicit Route Object

SF Identifier (SF ID): A unique identifier that represents a service function within an SFC-enabled domain.

Service Function Path (SFP): The instantiation of an SFC in the network. Specifically speaking, it is an ordered list of service node locators and SF IDs.

Compact SFP: An ordered list of service node locators.

SR-specific SFP: An ordered list of node SIDs (representing service nodes) and Service SIDs.

Compact SR-specific SFP: An ordered list of node SIDs (representing service nodes).

SR: Segment Routing

SID: Segment Identifier

Service SID: A locally unique SID indicating a particular service function on a service node.

3. Overview of PCEP Extensions for SFC in SR Networks

As discussed in [I-D.xu-spring-pce-based-sfc-arch], the PCC provides an ordered list of SF IDs to the PCE and indicates to the PCE that what type of path is requested (e.g., an SFP, or a compact SFP, or an SR-specific SFP, or a compact SR-specific SFP), and then the PCE responds with a corresponding path.

4. PCEP Message Extensions for SR-based SFC

4.1. PCReq Message

This document does not specify any changes to the PCReq message format. This document requires the PATH-SETUP-TYPE TLV [I-D.sivabalan-pce-lsp-setup-type] to be carried in the RP Object in order for a PCC to request a particular type of path. Four new Path Setup Types need to be defined for SR-based SFC, or SR-SFC in short (Section 5.2). This document also requires the Include Route Object (IRO) to be carried in the PCReq message in order for a PCC to specify that the computed SFP must traverse a set of specified service functions. A new IRO sub-object type needs to be defined for SFC (Section 5.3).
4.2.  PCRep Message

This document defines the format of the PCRep message carrying an SFP. The message is sent by a PCE to a PCC in response to a previously received PCReq message, where the PCC requested an SFP. The format of the SFC-specific PCRep message is as follows:

\[
\text{<PCRep Message>::=} \langle \text{Common Header} \rangle \\
\quad \langle \text{response-list} \rangle
\]

Where:

\[
\text{<response-list>::=} \langle \text{response} \rangle[\langle \text{response-list} \rangle]
\]

\[
\text{<response>::=} \langle \text{RP} \rangle \\
\quad \langle \text{NO-PATH} \rangle \\
\quad \langle \text{path-list} \rangle
\]

Where:

\[
\text{<path-list>::=} \langle \text{SR-SFC-ERO} \rangle[\langle \text{path-list} \rangle]
\]

The RP and NO-PATH Objects are defined in [RFC5440]. The \text{< SR-SFC-ERO>} object contains the SFP and is defined in Section 5.4.

5.  Object Formats

5.1.  OPEN Object

This document defines a new optional TLV for use in the OPEN Object.

5.1.1.  SR-SFC PCE Capability TLV

The SR-SFC-PCE-CAPABILITY TLV is an optional TLV for use in the OPEN Object to negotiate SR-SFC capability on the PCEP session. The format of the SR-SFC-PCE-CAPABILITY TLV is shown in the following Figure 2:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
 |         Type=TBD              |       Length=4                |
 +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
 |          Reserved             |  Flags        |      MSD      |
 +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 2: SR-SFC-PCE-CAPABILITY TLV format
The code point for the TLV type is to be defined by IANA. The TLV length is 4 octets. The 32-bit value is formatted as follows. The "Maximum SID Depth" (1 octet) field (MSD) specifies the maximum number of SIDs that a PCC is capable of imposing on a packet. The "Flags" (1 octet) and "Reserved" (2 octets) fields are currently unused, and MUST be set to zero and ignored on receipt.

5.1.1.1. Negotiating SR-SFC Capability

The SR-SFC capability TLV is contained in the OPEN object. By including the TLV in the OPEN message to a PCE, a PCC indicates its support for SFPs. By including the TLV in the OPEN message to a PCC, a PCE indicates that it is capable of computing SFPs.

5.2. RP Object

In order to setup an SFP, the RP object MUST carry a PATH-SETUP-TYPE TLV specified in [I-D.sivabalan-pce-lsp-setup-type]. This document defines four new Path Setup Types (PST) for SR-SFC as follows:

- PST = 2: The path is an SFP.
- PST = 3: The path is a compact SFP.
- PST = 4: The path is an SR-specific SFP.
- PST = 5: The path is a compact SR-specific SFP.

5.3. Include Route Object

The IRO (Include Route Object) MUST be carried within PCReq messages to indicate a particular SFC. Furthermore, the IRO MAY be carried in PCRep messages. When carried within a PCRep message with the NO-PATH object, the IRO indicates the set of service functions that cause the PCE to fail to find a path.

This document defines a new sub-object type for the SR-SFC as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sub-object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Service Function ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4. SR-SFC-ERO Object

Generally speaking, an SR-SFC-ERO object consists of one or more ERO subobjects described in the following sub-sections to represent a particular type of service function path. In the ERO subobject, each
SID is associated with an identifier that represents either a service node or a service function. This identifier is referred to as the 'Node or Service Identifier' (NSI). As described later, an NSI can be represented in various formats (e.g., IPv4 address, IPv6 address, SF identifier, etc). Specially speaking, in the SFP case, the NSI of every ERO subobject contained in the SR-SFC-ERO object represents a service node or a service function while the SID of each ERO subobject is set to null. In the compact SFP case, the NSI of every ERO subobject contained in the SR-SFC-ERO object only represents a service node meanwhile the SID of every ERO subobject is set to null. In the SR-specific SFP, the NSI of every ERO subobject contained in the SR-SFC-ERO object represents a service node or a service function while the SID of every ERO subject MUST NOT be null. In the compact SR-specific SFP, the NSI of every ERO subobject contained in the SR-SFC-ERO object represents a service node meanwhile the SID of every ERO subobject MUST NOT be null.

5.4.1. SR-SFC-ERO Subobject

An SR-SFC-ERO subobject (as shown in Figure 3) consists of a 32-bit header followed by the SID and the NSI associated with the SID. The SID is a 32-bit or 128 bit number. The size of the NSI depends on its respective type, as described in the following sub-sections.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| L |    Type     |    Length     |  NSIT   |  Flags    |P|F|S|C|M|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
               //         SID (variable:4 or 16 octets)                       //
               //              NSI (variable)                                 //
               //+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 3: SR-SFC-ERO Subobject Format

The fields in the ERO Subobject are as follows:

‘L’ Flag: indicates whether the subobject represents a loose-hop in the explicit route [RFC3209]. If this flag is unset, a PCC MUST not overwrite the SID value present in the SR-SFC-ERO subobject. Otherwise, a PCC MAY expand or replace one or more SID value(s) in the received SR-SFC-ERO based on its local policy.

Type: is the type of the SR-SFC-ERO Subobject. This document defines the SR-SFC-ERO Subobject type. A new code point will be requested for the SR-SFC-ERO Subobject from IANA.
Length: contains the total length of the subobject in octets, including the L, Type and Length fields. Length MUST be at least 4, and MUST be a multiple of 4.

NSI Type (NSIT): indicates the type of NSI associated with the SID. The NSI-Type values are described later in this document.

Flags: is used to carry any additional information pertaining to SID. Currently, the following flag bits are defined:

M: When this bit is set, the SID value represents an MPLS label stack entry as specified in [RFC5462], where only the label value is specified by the PCE. Other fields (TC, S, and TTL) fields MUST be considered invalid, and PCC MUST set these fields according to its local policy and MPLS forwarding rules.

C: When this bit as well as the M bit are set, then the SID value represents an MPLS label stack entry as specified in [RFC5462], where all the entry’s fields (Label, TC, S, and TTL) are specified by the PCE. However, a PCC MAY choose to override TC, S, and TTL values according its local policy and MPLS forwarding rules.

S: When this bit is set, the SID value in the subobject body is null. In this case, the PCC is responsible for choosing the SID value, e.g., by looking up its Traffic Engineering Database (TED) using node/service identifier in the subobject body.

F: When this bit is set, the NSI value in the subobject body is null.

P: When this bit is set, the SID value represents an IPv6 address.

SID: is the 4-octect or 16-octect Segment Identifier

NSI: contains the NSI associated with the SID. Depending on the value of NSIT, the NSI can have different format as described in the following sub-section.

5.4.2. NSI Associated with SID

This document defines the following NSIs:

’IPv4 Node ID’: is specified as an IPv4 address. In this case, NSIT and Length are 1 and 12 respectively.
'IPv6 Node ID': is specified as an IPv6 address. In this case, NSIT and Length are 2 and 24 respectively.

'Service ID': is specified as an SF ID. In this case, NSIT and Length are TBD.

5.4.3. SR-SFC-ERO Processing

TBD.

6. IANA Considerations

6.1. PCEP Objects

IANA is requested to allocate an ERO subobject type (recommended value= 6) for the SR-SFC-ERO subobject.

6.2. PCEP-Error Object

TBD.

6.3. PCEP TLV Type Indicators

This document defines the following new PCEP TLVs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>SR-SFC-PCE-CAPABILITY</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4. New Path Setup Type

This document defines a new setup type for the PATH-SETUP-TYPE TLV as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The path is an SFP.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The path is a compact SFP.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The path is an SR-specific SFP.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The path is a compact SR-specific SFP.</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.5. New IRO Sub-object Type

This document defines a new IRO sub-object type for the SFC as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sub-object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Service Function ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Security considerations

This document does not introduce any new security considerations.
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