Abstract
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1. Introduction

Segment Routing (SR) is an architecture based on the source routing paradigm that seeks the right balance between distributed intelligence and centralized programmability. SR can be used with an MPLS or an IPv6 data plane to steer packets through an ordered list of instructions, called segments. These segments may encode simple routing instructions for forwarding packets along a specific network path, but also steer them through VNFs or physical service appliances available in the network.

In an SR network, each of these services, running either on a physical appliance or in a virtual environment, are associated with a segment identifier (SID). These service SIDs are then leveraged as part of a SID-list to steer packets through the corresponding services. Service SIDs may be combined together in a SID-list to achieve service programming, but also with other types of segments as defined in [RFC8402]. SR thus provides a fully integrated solution for overlay, underlay and service programming. Furthermore, the IPv6 instantiation of SR (SRv6) supports metadata transportation in the Segment Routing header [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header], either natively in the tag field or with extensions such as TLVs.

This document describes how a service can be associated with a SID, including legacy services with no SR capabilities, and how these service SIDs are integrated within an SR policy. The definition of an SR Policy and the traffic steering mechanisms are covered in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy] and hence outside the scope of this document.

The definition of control plane components, such as service segment discovery, is outside the scope of this data plane document. For reference, the option of using BGP extensions to support SR service programming is proposed in [I-D.dawra-idr-bgp-sr-service-chaining].
2. Terminology

This document leverages the terminology proposed in [RFC8402] and [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy]. It also introduces the following new terms.

Service segment: A segment associated with a service. The service may either run on a physical appliance or in a virtual environment such as a virtual machine or container.

SR-aware service: A service that is fully capable of processing SR traffic. An SR-aware service can be directly associated with a service segment.

SR-unaware service: A service that is unable to process SR traffic or may behave incorrectly due to presence of SR information in the packet headers. An SR-unaware service can be associated with a service segment through an SR proxy function.

3. Classification and steering

Classification and steering mechanisms are defined in section 8 of [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy] and are independent from the purpose of the SR policy. From the perspective of a headend node classifying and steering traffic into an SR policy, there is no difference whether this policy contains IGP, BGP, peering, VPN or service segments, or any combination of these.

As documented in the above reference, traffic is classified when entering an SR domain. The SR policy headend may, depending on its capabilities, classify the packets on a per-destination basis, via simple FIB entries, or apply more complex policy routing rules requiring to look deeper into the packet. These rules are expected to support basic policy routing such as 5-tuple matching. In addition, the IPv6 SRH tag field defined in [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] can be used to identify and classify packets sharing the same set of properties. Classified traffic is then steered into the appropriate SR policy and forwarded as per the SID-list(s) of the active candidate path.

SR traffic can be re-classified by an SR endpoint along the original SR policy (e.g., DPI service) or a transit node intercepting the traffic. This node is the head-end of a new SR policy that is imposed onto the packet, either as a stack of MPLS labels or as an IPv6 SRH.
4. Service segments

In the context of this document, the term service refers to a physical appliance running on dedicated hardware, a virtualized service inside an isolated environment such as a VM, container or namespace, or any process running on a computer element. A service may also comprise multiple sub-components running in different processes or containers. Unless otherwise stated, this document does not make any assumption on the type or execution environment of a service.

The execution of a service can be integrated as part of an SR policy by assigning a segment identifier, or SID, to the service and including this service SID in the SR policy SID-list. Such a service SID may be of local or global significance. In the former case, other segments, such as prefix or adjacency segments, can be used to steer the traffic up to the node where the service segment is instantiated. In the latter case, the service is directly reachable from anywhere in the routing domain. This is realized with SR-MPLS by assigning a SID from the global label block ([I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]), or with SRv6 by advertising the SID locator in the routing protocol ([I-D.filips-spring-srv6-network-programming]). It is up to the network operator to define the scope and reachability of each service SID. This decision can be based on various considerations such as infrastructure dynamicity, available control plane or orchestration system capabilities.

This document categorizes services in two types, depending on whether they are able to behave properly in the presence of SR information or not. These are respectively named SR-aware and SR-unaware services.

4.1. SR-aware services

An SR-aware service can process the SR information in the packets it receives. This means being able to identify the active segment as a local instruction and move forward in the segment list, but also that the service’s own behavior is not hindered due to the presence of SR information. For example, an SR-aware firewall filtering SRv6 traffic based on its final destination must retrieve that information from the last entry in the SRH rather than the Destination Address field of the IPv6 header.

An SR-aware service is associated with a locally instantiated service segment, which is used to steer traffic through it.

If the service is configured to intercept all the packets passing through the appliance, the underlying routing system only has to
implement a default SR endpoint behavior (SR-MPLS node segment or SRv6 End function), and the corresponding SID will be used to steer traffic through the service.

If the service requires the packets to be directed to a specific virtual interface, networking queue or process, a dedicated SR behavior may be required to steer the packets to the appropriate location. The definition of such service-specific functions is out of the scope of this document.

SR-aware services also enable advanced network programming functionalities such as conditional branching and jumping to arbitrary SIDs in the segment list. In addition, SRv6 provides several ways of passing and exchanging information between services (e.g., SID arguments, tag field and TLVs). An example scenario involving these features is described in [IFIP18], which discusses the implementation of an SR-aware Intrusion Detection System.

Examples of SR-aware services are provided in section Section 8.1.

4.2. SR-unaware services

Any service that does not meet the above criteria for SR-awareness is considered as SR-unaware.

An SR-unaware service is not able to process the SR information in the traffic that it receives. It may either drop the traffic or take erroneous decisions due to the unrecognized routing information. In order to include such services in an SR policy, it is thus required to remove the SR information as well as any other encapsulation header before the service receives the packet, or to alter it in such a way that the service can correctly process the packet.

In this document, we define the concept of an SR proxy as an entity, separate from the service, that performs these modifications and handle the SR processing on behalf of a service. The SR proxy can run as a separate process on the service appliance, on a virtual switch or router on the compute node or on a different host.

An SR-unaware service is associated with a service segment instantiated on the SR proxy, which is used to steer traffic through the service. Section 6 describes several SR proxy behaviors to handle the encapsulation headers and SR information under various circumstances.
5. SR service policies

An SR service policy is an SR policy, as defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy], that includes at least one service. This service is represented in the SID-list by its associated service SID. In case the policy should include several services, the service traversal order is indicated by the relative position of each service SID in the SID-list. Using the mechanisms described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy], it is possible to load balance the traffic over several services, or instances of the same service, by associating with the SR service policy a weighted set of SID-lists, each containing a possible sequence of service SIDs to be traversed. Similarly, several candidate paths can be specified for the SR service policy, each with its own set of SID-lists, for resiliency purposes.

Furthermore, binding SIDs (BSIDs) can be leveraged in the context of service policies to reduce the number of SIDs imposed by the headend, provide opacity between domains and improve scalability, as described in [I-D.filsfils-spring-sr-policy-considerations]. For example, a network operator may want a policy in its core domain to include services that are running in one of its datacenters. One option is to define an SR policy at ingress edge of the core domain that explicitly includes all the SIDs needed to steer the traffic through the core and in the DC, but that may result in a long SID-list and requires to update the ingress edge configuration every time the DC part of the policy is modified. Alternatively, a separate policy can be defined at the ingress edge of the datacenter with only the SIDs that needs to be executed there and its BSID included in the core domain policy. That BSID remains stable when the DC policy is modified and can even be shared among several core domain policies that would require the same type of processing in the DC.

This section describes how services can be integrated within an SR-MPLS or SRv6 service policy.

```
+------------------------------------------+
|               SR network                 |
+----+----+          +---------+           +----+-----+
|    H    +----------+    S    +-----------+    E     |
| (headend) | (service) |          | (endpoint) |
+----+----+          +---------+           +----+-----+
|  ******************************************** |
|      P1(H,E,C)                           |
+------------------------------------------+
```

Figure 1: SR service policy
Figure 1 illustrates a basic SR service policy instantiated on a headend node H towards an endpoint E and traversing a service S. The SR policy may also include additional requirements, such as traffic engineering or VPN. On the head-end H, the SR policy P1 is created with a color C and endpoint E and associated with an SR path that can either be explicitly configured, dynamically computed on H or provisioned by a network controller.

In its most basic form, the SR policy P1 would be resolved into the SID-list < SID(S), SID(E) >. This is assuming that SID(S) and SID(E) are directly reachable from H and S, respectively, and that the forwarding path meets the policy requirement. However, depending on the dataplane and the segments available in the network, additional SIDs may be required to enforce the SR policy.

This model applies regardless of the SR-awareness of the service. If it is SR-unaware, then S simply represents the proxy that takes care of transmitting the packet to the actual service.

Traffic can then be steered into this policy using any of the mechanisms described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-policy].

The following subsections describe the specificities of each SR dataplane.

5.1. SR-MPLS data plane
In an SR-MPLS network, the SR policy SID-list is encoded as a stack of MPLS labels [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls] and pushed on top of the packet.

In the example shown on Figure 2, the SR policy should steer the traffic from the head-end H to the endpoint E via a service S. This translates into an MPLS label stack that includes at least a label L(S) associated to service S and a label L(E) associated to the endpoint E. The label stack may also include additional intermediate segments if these are required for traffic engineering (e.g., to encode a low latency path between H and S and / or between S and E) or simply for reachability purposes. Indeed, the service SID L(S) may be taken from the global or local SID block of node S and, in the latter case, one or more SIDs might be needed before L(S) in order for the packet to reach node S (e.g., a prefix-SID of S), where L(S) can be interpreted. The same applies for the segment L(E) at the SR policy endpoint.

Special consideration must be taken into account when using Local SIDs for service identification due to increased label stack depth and the associated impacts.

When the packet arrives at S, this node determines the MPLS payload type and the appropriate behavior for processing the packet based on the semantic locally associated to the top label L(S). If S is an...
SR-aware service, the SID L(S) may provide additional context or indication on how to process the packet (e.g., a firewall SID may indicate which rule set should be applied onto the packet). If S is a proxy in front of an SR-unaware service, L(S) indicates how and to which service attached to this proxy the packet should be transmitted. At some point in the process, L(S) is also popped from the label stack in order to expose the next SID, which may be L(E) or another intermediate segment.

5.2. SRv6 data plane

In an SRv6 network, the SR Policy is encoded into the packet as an IPv6 header possibly followed by a Segment Routing header (SRH) [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header].

In the example shown on Figure 3, the SR policy should steer the traffic from the head-end H to the endpoint E via a service S. This translates into an SRH that includes at least a segment SID(S) to the service, or service proxy, S and a segment SID(E) to the endpoint E. The SRH may also include additional intermediate segments if these are required for traffic engineering (e.g., the encode a low latency path between H and S and / or between S and E) or simply for reachability purposes. Indeed, the service segment locator may or may not be advertised in the routing protocol and, in the latter case, one or more SIDs might be needed before SID(S) in order to bring the packet up to node S, where SID(S) can be interpreted. The same applies for the segment SID(E) at the SR policy endpoint.

Figure 3: Packet walk in an SRv6 network
When the packet arrives at S, this node determines how to process the packet based on the semantic locally associated to the active segment SID(S). If S is an SR-aware service, then SID(S) may provide additional context or indication on how to process the packet (e.g., a firewall SID may indicate which rule set should be applied onto the packet). If S is a proxy in front of an SR-unaware service, SID(S) indicates how and to which service attached to this proxy the packet should be transmitted. At some point in the process, the SRv6 End function is also applied in order to make the next SID, which may be SID(E) or another intermediate segment, active.

The "Inner pkt" on Figure 3 represents the SRv6 payload, which may be an encapsulated IP packet, an Ethernet frame or a transport-layer payload, for example.

6. SR proxy behaviors

This section describes several SR proxy behaviors designed to enable SR service programming through SR-unaware services. A system implementing one of these functions may handle the SR processing on behalf of an SR-unaware service and allows the service to properly process the traffic that is steered through it.

A service may be located at any hop in an SR policy, including the last segment. However, the SR proxy behaviors defined in this section are dedicated to supporting SR-unaware services at intermediate hops in the segment list. In case an SR-unaware service is at the last segment, it is sufficient to ensure that the SR information is ignored (IPv6 routing extension header with Segments Left equal to 0) or removed before the packet reaches the service (MPLS PHP, SRv6 End.D or PSP).

As illustrated on Figure 4, the generic behavior of an SR proxy has two parts. The first part is in charge of passing traffic from the network to the service. It intercepts the SR traffic destined for the service via a locally instantiated service segment, modifies it in such a way that it appears as non-SR traffic to the service, then sends it out on a given interface, IFACE-OUT, connected to the service. The second part receives the traffic coming back from the service on IFACE-IN, restores the SR information and forwards it according to the next segment in the list. IFACE-OUT and IFACE-IN are respectively the proxy interface used for sending traffic to the service and the proxy interface that receives the traffic coming back from the service. These can be physical interfaces or sub-interfaces (VLANs) and, unless otherwise stated, IFACE-OUT and IFACE-IN can represent the same interface.
In the next subsections, the following SR proxy mechanisms are defined:

- Static proxy
- Dynamic proxy
- Shared-memory proxy
- Masquerading proxy

Each mechanism has its own characteristics and constraints, which are summarized in the below table. It is up to the operator to select the best one based on the proxy node capabilities, the service behavior and the traffic type. It is also possible to use different proxy mechanisms within the same service policy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SR-MPLS</th>
<th>SRv6 insertion</th>
<th>SRv6 encapsulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SR flavors</strong></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chain agnostic configuration</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transparent to chain changes</strong></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA modification</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payload modification</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service traffic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported traffic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supported traffic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: SR proxy summary

Note: The use of a shared memory proxy requires both the service (VNF) and the proxy to be running on the same node.
6.1. Static SR proxy

The static proxy is an SR endpoint behavior for processing SR-MPLS or SRv6 encapsulated traffic on behalf of an SR-unaware service. This proxy thus receives SR traffic that is formed of an MPLS label stack or an IPv6 header on top of an inner packet, which can be Ethernet, IPv4 or IPv6.

A static SR proxy segment is associated with the following mandatory parameters:

- INNER-TYPE: Inner packet type
- NH-ADDR: Next hop Ethernet address (only for inner type IPv4 and IPv6)
- IFACE-OUT: Local interface for sending traffic towards the service
- IFACE-IN: Local interface receiving the traffic coming back from the service
- CACHE: SR information to be attached on the traffic coming back from the service, including at least
  - CACHE.SA: IPv6 source address (SRv6 only)
  - CACHE.LIST: Segment list expressed as MPLS labels or IPv6 address

A static SR proxy segment is thus defined for a specific service, inner packet type and cached SR information. It is also bound to a pair of directed interfaces on the proxy. These may be both directions of a single interface, or opposite directions of two different interfaces. The latter is recommended in case the service is to be used as part of a bi-directional SR SC policy. If the proxy and the service both support 802.1Q, IFACE-OUT and IFACE-IN can also represent sub-interfaces.

The first part of this behavior is triggered when the proxy node receives a packet whose active segment matches a segment associated with the static proxy behavior. It removes the SR information from the packet then sends it on a specific interface towards the associated service. This SR information corresponds to the full label stack for SR-MPLS or to the encapsulation IPv6 header with any attached extension header in the case of SRv6.

The second part is an inbound policy attached to the proxy interface receiving the traffic returning from the service, IFACE-IN. This
policy attaches to the incoming traffic the cached SR information associated with the SR proxy segment. If the proxy segment uses the SR-MPLS data plane, CACHE contains a stack of labels to be pushed on top of the packets. With the SRv6 data plane, CACHE is defined as a source address, an active segment and an optional SRH (tag, segments left, segment list and metadata). The proxy encapsulates the packets with an IPv6 header that has the source address, the active segment as destination address and the SRH as a routing extension header. After the SR information has been attached, the packets are forwarded according to the active segment, which is represented by the top MPLS label or the IPv6 Destination Address. An MPLS TTL or IPv6 Hop Limit value may also be configured in CACHE. If it is not, the proxy should set these values according to the node’s default setting for MPLS or IPv6 encapsulation.

In this scenario, there are no restrictions on the operations that can be performed by the service on the stream of packets. It may operate at all protocol layers, terminate transport layer connections, generate new packets and initiate transport layer connections. This behavior may also be used to integrate an IPv4-only service into an SRv6 policy. However, a static SR proxy segment can be used in only one service policy at a time. As opposed to most other segment types, a static SR proxy segment is bound to a unique list of segments, which represents a directed SR SC policy. This is due to the cached SR information being defined in the segment configuration. This limitation only prevents multiple segment lists from using the same static SR proxy segment at the same time, but a single segment list can be shared by any number of traffic flows. Besides, since the returning traffic from the service is reclassified based on the incoming interface, an interface can be used as receiving interface (IFACE-IN) only for a single SR proxy segment at a time. In the case of a bi-directional SR SC policy, a different SR proxy segment and receiving interface are required for the return direction.

The static proxy behavior may also be used for sending traffic through "bump in the wire" services that are transparent to the IP and Ethernet layers. This type of processing is assumed when the inner traffic type is Ethernet, since the original destination address of the Ethernet frame is preserved when the packet is steered into the SR Policy and likely associated with a node downstream of the policy tail-end. In case the inner type is IP (IPv4 or IPv6), the NH-ADDR parameter may be set to a dummy or broadcast Ethernet address, or simply to the address of the proxy receiving interface (IFACE-IN).
6.1.1. SR-MPLS pseudocode

6.1.1.1. Static proxy for inner type Ethernet

Upon receiving an MPLS packet with top label L, where L is an MPLS L2 static proxy segment, a node N does:

1. Pop all labels
2. IF payload type is Ethernet THEN
3. Forward the exposed frame on IFACE-OUT
4. ELSE
5. Drop the packet

Upon receiving on IFACE-IN an Ethernet frame with a destination address different than the interface address, a node N does:

1. Push labels in CACHE on top of the frame Ethernet header
2. Lookup the top label and proceed accordingly

The receiving interface must be configured in promiscuous mode in order to accept those Ethernet frames.

6.1.1.2. Static proxy for inner type IPv4

Upon receiving an MPLS packet with top label L, where L is an MPLS IPv4 static proxy segment, a node N does:

1. Pop all labels
2. IF payload type is IPv4 THEN
3. Forward the exposed packet on IFACE-OUT towards NH-ADDR
4. ELSE
5. Drop the packet

Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv4 packet on IFACE-IN, a node N does:

1. Decrement TTL and update checksum
2. Push labels in CACHE on top of the packet IPv4 header
3. Lookup the top label and proceed accordingly

6.1.1.3. Static proxy for inner type IPv6

Upon receiving an MPLS packet with top label L, where L is an MPLS IPv6 static proxy segment, a node N does:
Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv6 packet on IFACE-IN, a node N does:

1. Decrement Hop Limit
2. Push labels in CACHE on top of the packet IPv6 header
3. Lookup the top label and proceed accordingly

6.1.2. SRv6 pseudocode

6.1.2.1. Static proxy for inner type Ethernet

Upon receiving an IPv6 packet destined for S, where S is an IPv6 static proxy segment for Ethernet traffic, a node N does:

1. IF ENH == 59 THEN ;; Ref1
2. Remove the (outer) IPv6 header and its extension headers
3. Forward the exposed frame on IFACE-OUT
4. ELSE
5. Drop the packet

Ref1: 59 refers to "no next header" as defined by IANA allocation for Internet Protocol Numbers.

Upon receiving on IFACE-IN an Ethernet frame with a destination address different than the interface address, a node N does:

1. Retrieve CACHE entry matching IFACE-IN and traffic type
2. Push SRH with CACHE.LIST on top of the Ethernet header ;; Ref2
3. Push IPv6 header with
   SA = CACHE.SA
   DA = CACHE.LIST[0] ;; Ref3
   Next Header = 43 ;; Ref4
4. Set outer payload length and flow label
5. Lookup outer DA in appropriate table and proceed accordingly

Ref2: Unless otherwise specified, the segments in CACHE.LIST should be encoded in reversed order, Segment Left and Last Entry values should be set of the length of CACHE.LIST minus 1, and Next Header should be set to 59.

Ref3: CACHE.LIST[0] represents the first IPv6 SID in CACHE.LIST.
Ref4: If CACHE.LIST contains a single entry, the SRH can be omitted and the Next Header value must be set to 59.

The receiving interface must be configured in promiscuous mode in order to accept those Ethernet frames.

6.1.2.2. Static proxy for inner type IPv4

Upon receiving an IPv6 packet destined for S, where S is an IPv6 static proxy segment for IPv4 traffic, a node N does:

1. IF ENH == 4 THEN ;; Ref1
2. Remove the (outer) IPv6 header and its extension headers
3. Forward the exposed packet on IFACE-OUT towards NH-ADDR
4. ELSE
5. Drop the packet

Ref1: 4 refers to IPv4 encapsulation as defined by IANA allocation for Internet Protocol Numbers.

Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv4 packet on IFACE-IN, a node N does:

1. Decrement TTL and update checksum
2. IF CACHE.SRH THEN ;; Ref2
3. Push CACHE.SRH on top of the existing IPv4 header
4. Set NH value of the pushed SRH to 4
5. Push outer IPv6 header with SA, DA and traffic class from CACHE
6. Set outer payload length and flow label
7. Set NH value to 43 if an SRH was added, or 4 otherwise
8. Lookup outer DA in appropriate table and proceed accordingly

Ref2: CACHE.SRH represents the SRH defined in CACHE, if any, for the static SR proxy segment associated with IFACE-IN.

6.1.2.3. Static proxy for inner type IPv6

Upon receiving an IPv6 packet destined for S, where S is an IPv6 static proxy segment for IPv6 traffic, a node N does:

1. IF ENH == 41 THEN ;; Ref1
2. Remove the (outer) IPv6 header and its extension headers
3. Forward the exposed packet on IFACE-OUT towards NH-ADDR
4. ELSE
5. Drop the packet

Ref1: 41 refers to IPv6 encapsulation as defined by IANA allocation for Internet Protocol Numbers.
Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv6 packet on IFACE-IN, a node N does:

1. Decrement Hop Limit
2. IF CACHE.SRH THEN ;; Ref2
3. Push CACHE.SRH on top of the existing IPv6 header
4. Set NH value of the pushed SRH to 41
5. Push outer IPv6 header with SA, DA and traffic class from CACHE
6. Set outer payload length and flow label
7. Set NH value to 43 if an SRH was added, or 41 otherwise
8. Lookup outer DA in appropriate table and proceed accordingly

Ref2: CACHE.SRH represents the SRH defined in CACHE, if any, for the static SR proxy segment associated with IFACE-IN.

6.2. Dynamic SR proxy

The dynamic proxy is an improvement over the static proxy that dynamically learns the SR information before removing it from the incoming traffic. The same information can then be re-attached to the traffic returning from the service. As opposed to the static SR proxy, no CACHE information needs to be configured. Instead, the dynamic SR proxy relies on a local caching mechanism on the node instantiating this segment.

Upon receiving a packet whose active segment matches a dynamic SR proxy function, the proxy node pops the top MPLS label or applies the SRv6 End behavior, then compares the updated SR information with the cache entry for the current segment. If the cache is empty or different, it is updated with the new SR information. The SR information is then removed and the inner packet is sent towards the service.

The cache entry is not mapped to any particular packet, but instead to an SR SC policy identified by the receiving interface (IFACE-IN). Any non-link-local IP packet or non-local Ethernet frame received on that interface will be re-encapsulated with the cached headers as described in Section 6.1. The service may thus drop, modify or generate new packets without affecting the proxy.

6.2.1. SR-MPLS pseudocode

The dynamic proxy SR-MPLS pseudocode is obtained by inserting the following instructions at the beginning of the static SR-MPLS pseudocode (Section 6.1.1).
1. IF top label S bit is 0 THEN ;; Ref1
2. Pop top label
3. IF C(IFACE-IN) different from remaining labels THEN ;; Ref2
4. Copy all remaining labels into C(IFACE-IN) ;; Ref3
5. ELSE
6. Drop the packet

Ref1: As mentioned at the beginning of Section 6, an SR proxy is not needed to include an SR-unaware service at the end of an SR policy.

Ref2: A TTL margin can be configured for the top label stack entry to prevent constant cache updates when multiple equal-cost paths with different hop counts are used towards the SR proxy node. In that case, a TTL difference smaller than the configured margin should not trigger a cache update (provided that the labels are the same).

Ref3: C(IFACE-IN) represents the cache entry associated to the dynamic SR proxy segment. It is identified with IFACE-IN in order to efficiently retrieve the right SR information when a packet arrives on this interface.

In addition, the inbound policy should check that C(IFACE-IN) has been defined before attempting to restore the MPLS label stack and drop the packet otherwise.

6.2.2. SRv6 pseudocode

The dynamic proxy SRv6 pseudocode is obtained by inserting the following instructions between lines 1 and 2 of the static proxy SRv6 pseudocode.

1. IF NH=SRH & SL > 0 THEN ;; Ref1
2. Decrement SL and update the IPv6 DA with SRH[SL]
3. IF C(IFACE-IN) different from IPv6 encaps THEN ;; Ref2
4. Copy the IPv6 encaps into C(IFACE-IN) ;; Ref3
5. ELSE
6. Drop the packet

Ref1: As mentioned at the beginning of Section 6, an SR proxy is not needed to include an SR-unaware service at the end of an SR policy.

Ref2: "IPv6 encaps" represents the IPv6 header and any attached extension header.

Ref3: C(IFACE-IN) represents the cache entry associated to the dynamic SR proxy segment. It is identified with IFACE-IN in order to efficiently retrieve the right SR information when a packet arrives on this interface.
In addition, the inbound policy should check that C(IFACE-IN) has been defined before attempting to restore the IPv6 encapsulation and drop the packet otherwise.

6.3. Shared memory SR proxy

The shared memory proxy is an SR endpoint behavior for processing SR-MPLS or SRv6 encapsulated traffic on behalf of an SR-unaware service. This proxy behavior leverages a shared-memory interface with a virtualized service (VNF) in order to hide the SR information from an SR-unaware service while keeping it attached to the packet. We assume in this case that the proxy and the VNF are running on the same compute node. A typical scenario is an SR-capable vrouter running on a container host and forwarding traffic to VNFs isolated within their respective container.

More details will be added in a future revision of this document.

6.4. Masquerading SR proxy

The masquerading proxy is an SR endpoint behavior for processing SRv6 traffic on behalf of an SR-unaware service. This proxy thus receives SR traffic that is formed of an IPv6 header and an SRH on top of an inner payload. The masquerading behavior is independent from the inner payload type. Hence, the inner payload can be of any type but it is usually expected to be a transport layer packet, such as TCP or UDP.

A masquerading SR proxy segment is associated with the following mandatory parameters:

- S-ADDR: Ethernet or IPv6 address of the service
- IFACE-OUT: Local interface for sending traffic towards the service
- IFACE-IN: Local interface receiving the traffic coming back from the service

A masquerading SR proxy segment is thus defined for a specific service and bound to a pair of directed interfaces or sub-interfaces on the proxy. As opposed to the static and dynamic SR proxies, a masquerading segment can be present at the same time in any number of SR SC policies and the same interfaces can be bound to multiple masquerading proxy segments. The only restriction is that a masquerading proxy segment cannot be the last segment in an SR SC policy.
The first part of the masquerading behavior is triggered when the proxy node receives an IPv6 packet whose Destination Address matches a masquerading proxy segment. The proxy inspects the IPv6 extension headers and substitutes the Destination Address with the last segment in the SRH attached to the IPv6 header, which represents the final destination of the IPv6 packet. The packet is then sent out towards the service.

The service receives an IPv6 packet whose source and destination addresses are respectively the original source and final destination. It does not attempt to inspect the SRH, as RFC8200 specifies that routing extension headers are not examined or processed by transit nodes. Instead, the service simply forwards the packet based on its current Destination Address. In this scenario, we assume that the service can only inspect, drop or perform limited changes to the packets. For example, Intrusion Detection Systems, Deep Packet Inspectors and non-NAT Firewalls are among the services that can be supported by a masquerading SR proxy. Variants of the masquerading behavior are defined in Section 6.4.2 and Section 6.4.3 to support a wider range of services.

The second part of the masquerading behavior, also called de-masquerading, is an inbound policy attached to the proxy interface receiving the traffic returning from the service, IFACE-IN. This policy inspects the incoming traffic and triggers a regular SRv6 endpoint processing (End) on any IPv6 packet that contains an SRH. This processing occurs before any lookup on the packet Destination Address is performed and it is sufficient to restore the right active segment as the Destination Address of the IPv6 packet.

6.4.1. SRv6 masquerading proxy pseudocode

Masquerading: Upon receiving a packet destined for S, where S is an IPv6 masquerading proxy segment, a node N processes it as follows.

1. IF NH=SRH & SL > 0 THEN
2.    Update the IPv6 DA with SRH[0]
3.    Forward the packet on IFACE-OUT
4. ELSE
5.    Drop the packet

De-masquerading: Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv6 packet on IFACE-IN, a node N processes it as follows.

1. IF NH=SRH & SL > 0 THEN
2.    Decrement SL
3.    Update the IPv6 DA with SRH[SL] ;; Ref1
4.    Lookup DA in appropriate table and proceed accordingly
Ref1: This pseudocode can be augmented to support the Penultimate Segment Popping (PSP) endpoint flavor. The exact pseudocode modification are provided in [I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming].

6.4.2. Variant 1: Destination NAT

Services modifying the destination address in the packets they process, such as NATs, can be supported by a masquerading proxy with the following modification to the de-masquerading pseudocode.

De-masquerading - NAT: Upon receiving a non-link-local IPv6 packet on INTERFACE-IN, a node N processes it as follows.

1. IF NH=SRH & SL > 0 THEN
2.   Update SRH[0] with the IPv6 DA
3.   Decrement SL
4.   Update the IPv6 DA with SRH[SL]
5.   Lookup DA in appropriate table and proceed accordingly

6.4.3. Variant 2: Caching

Services generating packets or acting as endpoints for transport connections can be supported by adding a dynamic caching mechanism similar to the one described in Section 6.2.

More details will be added in a future revision of this document.

7. Metadata

7.1. MPLS data plane

Metadata can be carried for SR-MPLS traffic in a Segment Routing header inserted between the last MPLS label and the MPLS payload. When used solely as a metadata container, the SRH does not carry any segment but only the mandatory header fields, including the tag and flags, and any TLVs that is required for transporting the metadata.

Since the MPLS encapsulation has no explicit protocol identifier field to indicate the protocol type of the MPLS payload, how to indicate the presence of metadata in an MPLS packet is a potential issue to be addressed. One possible solution is to add the indication about the presence of metadata in the semantic of the SIDs. Note that only the SIDs whose behavior involves looking at the metadata or the MPLS payload would need to include such semantic (e.g., service segments). Other segments, such as traffic engineering segments, are not affected by the presence of metadata. Another, more generic, solution is to introduce a protocol identifier.
field within the MPLS packet as described in
[I-D.xu-mpls-payload-protocol-identifier].

7.2. IPv6 data plane

7.2.1. SRH TLV objects

The IPv6 SRH TLV objects are designed to carry all sorts of metadata. In
particular, the NSH carrier TLV is defined as a container for NSH metadata.

TLV objects can be imposed by the ingress edge router that steers the
traffic into the SR SC policy.

An SR-aware service may impose, modify or remove any TLV object
attached to the first SRH, either by directly modifying the packet
headers or via a control channel between the service and its
forwarding plane.

An SR-aware service that re-classifies the traffic and steers it into
a new SR SC policy (e.g. DPI) may attach any TLV object to the new
SRH.

Metadata imposition and handling will be further discussed in a
future version of this document.

7.2.1.1. Opaque Metadata TLV

This document defines an SRv6 TLV called Opaque Metadata TLV. This
is a fixed-length container to carry any type of Service Metadata.
No assumption is made by this document on the structure or the
content of the carried metadata. The Opaque Metadata TLV has the
following format:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|      Type     |     Length    |                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+                               |
|                                                               |
|                       Service Metadata                        |
|                                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

where:

- Type: to be assigned by IANA.
This document defines an SRv6 TLV called NSH Carrier TLV. It is a container to carry Service Metadata in the form of Variable-Length Metadata as defined in [RFC8300] for NSH MD Type 2. The NSH Carrier TLV has the following format:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|      Type     |     Length    |     Flags     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
//            Service Metadata                                 //
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
where:

- **Type**: to be assigned by IANA.
- **Length**: the total length of the TLV.
- **Flags**: 8 bits. No flags are defined in this document. SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on receipt.
- **Service Metadata**: a list of Service Metadata TLV as defined in [RFC8300] for NSH MD Type 2.

7.2.2. SRH tag

The SRH tag identifies a packet as part of a group or class of packets [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header].

In the context of service programming, this field can be used to encode basic metadata in the SRH. An example use case would be to leverage the SRH tag to encode a policy ID which could be leveraged in an SR-aware function to determine which processing policy to apply rather than having doing local classification or leverage alternate encapsulations.

8. Implementation status

This section is to be removed prior to publishing as an RFC.
8.1. SR-aware services

Specific SRv6 support has been implemented for the below open-source services:

- Iptables (1.6.2 and later)
- Nftables (0.8.4 and later)
- Snort

In addition, any service relying on the Linux kernel, version 4.10 and later, or FD.io VPP for packet forwarding can be considered as SR-aware.

8.2. Proxy behaviors

The static SR proxy is available for SR-MPLS and SRv6 on various Cisco hardware and software platforms. Furthermore, the following proxies are available on open-source software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VPP</th>
<th>Linux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Static proxy</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Dynamic proxy</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Shared memory proxy</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Static proxy</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Dynamic proxy</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6</td>
<td>Shared memory proxy</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masquerading proxy</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Open-source implementation status table

9. Related works

The Segment Routing solution addresses a wide problem that covers both topological and service policies. The topological and service instructions can be either deployed in isolation or in combination. SR has thus a wider applicability than the architecture defined in [RFC7665]. Furthermore, the inherent property of SR is a stateless
network fabric. In SR, there is no state within the fabric to
recognize a flow and associate it with a policy. State is only
present at the ingress edge of the SR domain, where the policy is
encoded into the packets. This is completely different from other
proposals such as [RFC8300] and the MPLS label swapping mechanism
described in [I-D.ietf-mpls-sfc], which rely on state configured at
every hop of the service chain.

10. IANA Considerations

10.1. SRv6 Endpoint Behaviors

This I-D requests the IANA to allocate, within the "SRv6 Endpoint
Behaviors" sub-registry belonging to the top-level "Segment-routing
with IPv6 dataplane (SRv6) Parameters" registry, the following
allocations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBA1</td>
<td>End.AN - SR-aware function (native)</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA2</td>
<td>End.AS - Static proxy</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA3</td>
<td>End.AD - Dynamic proxy</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA4</td>
<td>End.AM - Masquerading proxy</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2. Segment Routing Header TLVs

This I-D requests the IANA to allocate, within the "Segment Routing
Header TLVs" registry, the following allocations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBA1</td>
<td>Opaque Metadata TLV</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA2</td>
<td>NSH Carrier TLV</td>
<td>[This.ID]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Security Considerations

The security requirements and mechanisms described in [RFC8402],
[I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] and
[I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming] also apply to this
document.

This document does not introduce any new security vulnerabilities.
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