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Abstract

This document describes how to generate a stateless IPv6 host
interface identifier based on host geographic location information.
This method can guarantee the uniqueness and stability of the address
generated within a certain geographical range. The method is similar
to mechanism introduced in RFC 7217, but remains stable within an
adjustable geographical area.
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1. Introduction

[ RFC4862 ] specifies Stateless Address Autoconfiguration (SLAAC) for IPv6 [ RFC8200 ] , "stable" addresses would not change in the same network defined by a network prefix advertised by a local router. There are various IPv6 Interface Identifier (IID) generating schemes like EUI-64 [ RFC4291 ] , Cryptographically Generated Addresses (CGAs) [ RFC3972 ] and Semantically Opaque Interface Identifiers [ RFC7217 ]. [ RFC8064 ] recommends using the mechanism specified in RFC 7217 to generate stable IPv6 Interface Identifier.

In some situations, it is desirable to generate stable IID in a specific geographical area, which is usually larger than a network defined by one network prefix. For example, multihomed site may configure the same IID with different prefixes to simplify network management. An organization may also hope clients have the same IID within a specific geographical area even if network prefix is different.

This document specifies a method to generate Interface Identifiers that are stable for each network interface within a specific geographical area, while still remain semantically opaque: like scheme proposed in RFC 7217 , it is infeasible to extract geographical information from IIDs generated by this scheme.

2. Algorithm Specification

The generation scheme is similar to that proposed in RFC 7217 , the random (but stable) identifier is generated with the expression: RID = F ( Geo_Prefix, Net_Iface, Network_ID, DAD_Counter, secret_key ).

Geo_Prefix: Geo_Prefix is the geographic identifier of the specific area, it can be formed from several possible approaches:

1) Latitude, longitude encoded prefix string. The basic mechanism is to interleave the WGS-84 latitude and longitude. Interleaving is a common technique to encode a geographic location. The number of bits is configured by the administrator to represent different area.

For longitude, the first bit is the sign bit. And the left side of the decimal point is directly converted to 8-bit binary code. The right side of the decimal point is generated according to the ANSI/IEEE Std 754-1985 standard. Then the binary corresponding to integer part and the decimal part are joined from upper bit to lower bit. The sign bit, the binary representation of the integer bit, and the binary representation of the decimal place are joined to form the
longitude code.

For latitude, the first bit is the sign bit. The left side of the decimal point is directly converted to a seven-bit binary code. And the right side of the decimal point is generated with the same step. Then the binary corresponding to integer part and the decimal part are joined from upper bit to lower bit. The sign bit, the binary representation of the integer bit, and the binary representation of the decimal place are joined to form the latitude code.

Latitude and longitude binary codes are interleaved to form the final 2n-bit position code. The longitude code is placed in odd digits and the latitude code is placed in even digits. Or the longitude code is placed in even digits and the latitude code is placed in odd digits. n is an adjustable parameter. Different n represents different accuracy to cover different geographic ranges.

In addition to longitude and latitude, it is also feasible to add height information together for encoding. For height, the encoding method is the same as the latitude and longitude encoding method. In the end, the binary codes of latitude, longitude and altitude are interleaved to form the final position code.

2) Geographical area specific text. The geographical area specific text identifies the geographical area in which the organization network is located. This name is consistent across this geographic area, and the organization guarantees that different geographic regions have different names. A geographical area specific text may be a lexical name of this geographical area, or just an alias. The geographical area specific text can be configured by the organization administrator.

The other processes of this scenario are essentially the same as those defined in RFC 7217. In this way, it is possible to implement the same interface identifiers on hosts with multiple interfaces or in an organization, even if the prefixes are different.
3. Security Considerations

This document specifies an algorithm for generating Interface Identifiers to be used with IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration (SLAAC). The scheme is similar to scheme defined in RFC 7217. While there may be concerns about geographic location tracking among multiple network, there is nothing inherent in this address format that would raise any more security considerations than any other global addressing format. If geographic location privacy were an issue, it would be wise to avoid this mechanism in favor of geographic location independent mechanisms.

4. IANA Considerations

This document does not include an IANA request.
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