Introduction to version 2 of the
Internet-standard Network Management Framework

Status of this Memo

This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction ............................................. 2
2 Components of the SNMPv2 Framework .................... 3
  2.1 Structure of Management Information ............... 3
  2.2 Textual Conventions ............................... 4
  2.3 Protocol Operations ............................... 4
  2.4 Transport Mappings ............................... 4
  2.5 Protocol Instrumentation .......................... 5
  2.6 Administrative Framework .......................... 5
  2.7 Conformance Statements ............................ 5
3 Acknowledgements ....................................... 7
4 References .............................................. 11
5 Security Considerations .................................. 13
6 Authors’ Addresses ...................................... 13
1. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of version 2 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework, termed the SNMP version 2 framework (SNMPv2). This framework is derived from the original Internet-standard Network Management Framework (SNMPv1), which consists of these three documents:

RFC 1155 [1] which defines the Structure of Management Information (SMI), the mechanisms used for describing and naming objects for the purpose of management.

RFC 1212 [2] which defines a more concise description mechanism, which is wholly consistent with the SMI.

RFC 1157 [3] which defines the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), the protocol used for network access to managed objects.

For information on coexistence between SNMPv1 and SNMPv2, consult [4].
2. Components of the SNMPv2 Framework

A network management system contains: several (potentially many) nodes, each with a processing entity, termed an agent, which has access to management instrumentation; at least one management station; and, a management protocol, used to convey management information between the agents and management stations. Operations of the protocol are carried out under an administrative framework which defines both authentication and authorization policies.

Network management stations execute management applications which monitor and control network elements. Network elements are devices such as hosts, routers, terminal servers, etc., which are monitored and controlled through access to their management information.

2.1. Structure of Management Information

Management information is viewed as a collection of managed objects, residing in a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base (MIB). Collections of related objects are defined in MIB modules. These modules are written using a subset of OSI’s Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) [5]. It is the purpose of the Structure of Management Information for SNMPv2 document [6] to define that subset.

The SMI is divided into three parts: module definitions, object definitions, and, trap definitions.

(1) Module definitions are used when describing information modules. An ASN.1 macro, MODULE-IDENTITY, is used to concisely convey the semantics of an information module.

(2) Object definitions are used when describing managed objects. An ASN.1 macro, OBJECT-TYPE, is used to concisely convey the syntax and semantics of a managed object.

(3) Notification definitions are used when describing unsolicited transmissions of management information. An ASN.1 macro, NOTIFICATION-TYPE, is used to concisely convey the syntax and semantics of a notification.
2.2. Textual Conventions

When designing a MIB module, it is often useful to new define types similar to those defined in the SMI. In comparison to a type defined in the SMI, each of these new types has a different name, a similar syntax, but a more precise semantics. These newly defined types are termed textual conventions, and are used for the convenience of humans reading the MIB module. It is the purpose of the Textual Conventions for SNMPv2 document [7] to define the initial set of textual conventions available to all MIB modules.

Objects defined using a textual convention are always encoded by means of the rules that define their primitive type. However, textual conventions often have special semantics associated with them. As such, an ASN.1 macro, TEXTUAL-CONVENTION, is used to concisely convey the syntax and semantics of a textual convention.

2.3. Protocol Operations

The management protocol provides for the exchange of messages which convey management information between the agents and the management stations. The form of these messages is a message "wrapper" which encapsulates a Protocol Data Unit (PDU). The form and meaning of the "wrapper" is determined by an administrative framework which defines both authentication and authorization policies.

It is the purpose of the Protocol Operations for SNMPv2 document [8] to define the operations of the protocol with respect to the sending and receiving of the PDUs.

2.4. Transport Mappings

The management protocol, version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol, may be used over a variety of protocol suites. It is the purpose of the Transport Mappings for SNMPv2 document [9] to define how the SNMPv2 maps onto an initial set of transport domains. Other mappings may be defined in the future.
Although several mappings are defined, the mapping onto UDP is the preferred mapping. As such, to provide for the greatest level of interoperability, systems which choose to deploy other mappings should also provide for proxy service to the UDP mapping.

2.5. Protocol Instrumentation

It is the purpose of the Management Information Base for SNMPv2 document [10] to define managed objects which describe the behavior of a SNMPv2 entity. The Manager-to-Manager MIB document [11] defines an initial set of managed objects which describe the behavior of a SNMPv2 entity which acts in a manager role. It is expected that extensions to this MIB will be defined in the future.

2.6. Administrative Framework

It is the purpose of the Administrative Model for SNMPv2 document [12] to define the behavior of a SNMPv2 party - a conceptual, virtual execution context whose operation is restricted (for security or other purposes) to an administratively defined subset of all possible operations of a particular SNMPv2 entity.

Associated with each SNMPv2 party is a single authentication protocol and a single privacy protocol. It is the purpose of the Security Protocols for SNMPv2 document [13] to define those protocols.

The Party MIB for SNMPv2 document [14] defines managed objects which correspond to the properties associated with a SNMPv2 party.

2.7. Conformance Statements

It may be useful to define the acceptable lower-bounds of implementation, along with the actual level of implementation achieved. It is the purpose of the Conformance Statements for SNMPv2 document [15] to define the notation used for these purposes. There are two kinds of notations:
(1) Compliance statements are used when describing requirements for agents with respect to object definitions. An ASN.1 macro, MODULE-COMPLIANCE, is used to concisely convey such requirements.

(2) Capability statements are used when describing capabilities of agents with respect to object definitions. An ASN.1 macro, AGENT-CAPABILITIES, is used to concisely convey such capabilities.

Finally, collections of related objects are grouped together to form a unit of conformance. An ASN.1 macro, OBJECT-GROUP, is used to concisely convey the syntax and semantics of a group.
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