Applicability Statement for the Implementation of
Classless Inter-Domain Routing (CIDR)

Status of this Memo

This RFC specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the
Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for
improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet
Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status
of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

1. Introduction

As the Internet has evolved and grown in recent years, it has become
clear that it will soon face several serious scaling problems. These
include:

- Exhaustion of the class-B network address space. One
  fundamental cause of this problem is the lack of a network
class of a size that is appropriate for a mid-sized
organization. Class-C, with a maximum of 254 host addresses, is
too small, while class-B, which allows up to 65534 addresses,
is too large to be densely populated. The result is inefficient
utilization of class-B network numbers.

- Routing information overload. The size and rate of growth of the
  routing tables in Internet routers is beyond the ability of
current software (and people) to effectively manage.

- Eventual exhaustion of IP network numbers.

It has become clear that the first two of these problems are likely
to become critical in the near term. Classless Inter-Domain Routing
(CIDR) attempts to deal with these problems by defining a mechanism to
slow the growth of routing tables and reduce the need to allocate new
IP network numbers. It does not attempt to solve the third problem,
which is of a more long-term nature, but instead endeavors to ease
enough of the short to mid-term difficulties to allow the Internet to
continue to function efficiently while progress is made on a longer-
term solution.

The IESG, after a thorough discussion in the IETF, in June 1992
selected CIDR as the solution for the short term routing table
explosion problem [1].

2. Components of the Architecture

The CIDR architecture is described in the following documents:

- "An Architecture for IP Address Allocation with CIDR" [2]
- "Classless Inter-Domain Routing (CIDR): An Address Assignment and Aggregation Strategy" [3]

The first of these documents presents the overall architecture of CIDR; the second describes the specific address allocation scheme to be used.


In addition to the documents mentioned above, CIDR requires that inter-domain routing protocols be capable of handling reachability information that is expressed solely in terms of IP address prefixes. While several inter-domain routing protocols are capable of supporting such functionality, this Applicability Statement does not mandate the use of a particular one.

Although Internet routing domains are not required to use routing protocols capable of propagating CIDR routes, the topology such routing domains can support will be somewhat limited. In particular, the non-CIDR-capable parts of the Internet will need to default towards the CIDR-capable parts of the Internet for routes which have been aggregated to non-network boundaries.

3. Applicability of CIDR

The CIDR architecture is applicable to any group of connected domains that supports IP version 4 [6] [7]. CIDR does not require all of the domains in the Internet to be converted to use CIDR. It assumes that some of the existing domains in the Internet will never be able to convert. Despite this, CIDR will still provide connectivity to such places, although the optimality of routes to these places may be impacted.

This Applicability Statement requires Internet domains providing backbone and/or transit service to fully implement CIDR in order to
ensure that the growth of the resources required by routers to provide Internet-wide connectivity will be significantly slower than the growth of the number of assigned networks.

This Applicability Statement strongly recommends that all non-backbone/transit Internet domains also implement CIDR because it will reduce the amount of routing information inside of these domains.

Individual domains are free to choose whatever inter-domain and intra-domain routing architectures best meet their requirements. Specifically, this Applicability Statement does not prevent a domain or a group of domains from using addressing schemes which do not conform to CIDR. Subject to the available resources in routers, CIDR should be able to co-exist with other addressing schemes without adversely impacting overall connectivity.

4. References


5. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.
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