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1. Introduction

The SNMP Management Framework at the time of this writing consists of five major components:

- An overall architecture, described in STD 62, RFC 3411 [RFC3411].

- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, RFC 1155 [RFC1155], STD 16, RFC 1212 [RFC1212] and RFC 1215 [RFC1215]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].

- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [RFC1901] and STD 62, RFC 3417 [RFC3417]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in STD 62, RFC 3417 [RFC3417], RFC 3412 [RFC3412] and RFC 3414 [RFC3414].

- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in this document.

- A set of fundamental applications described in STD 62, RFC 3413 [RFC3413] and the view-based access control mechanism described in STD 62, RFC 3415 [RFC3415].

A more detailed introduction to the SNMP Management Framework at the time of this writing can be found in RFC 3410 [RFC3410].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This document, Version 2 of the Protocol Operations for the Simple Network Management Protocol, defines the operations of the protocol with respect to the sending and receiving of PDUs to be carried by the message protocol.
2. Overview

SNMP entities supporting command generator or notification receiver applications (traditionally called "managers") communicate with SNMP entities supporting command responder or notification originator applications (traditionally called "agents"). The purpose of this protocol is the transport of management information and operations.

2.1. Management Information

The term "variable" refers to an instance of a non-aggregate object type defined according to the conventions set forth in the SMI [RFC2578] or the textual conventions based on the SMI [RFC2579]. The term "variable binding" normally refers to the pairing of the name of a variable and its associated value. However, if certain kinds of exceptional conditions occur during processing of a retrieval request, a variable binding will pair a name and an indication of that exception.

A variable-binding list is a simple list of variable bindings.

The name of a variable is an OBJECT IDENTIFIER which is the concatenation of the OBJECT IDENTIFIER of the corresponding object-type together with an OBJECT IDENTIFIER fragment identifying the instance. The OBJECT IDENTIFIER of the corresponding object-type is called the OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix of the variable.

2.2. Retransmission of Requests

For all types of request in this protocol, the receiver is required under normal circumstances, to generate and transmit a response to the originator of the request. Whether or not a request should be retransmitted if no corresponding response is received in an appropriate time interval, is at the discretion of the application originating the request. This will normally depend on the urgency of the request. However, such an application needs to act responsibly in respect to the frequency and duration of re-transmissions. See BCP 41 [RFC2914] for discussion of relevant congestion control principles.

2.3. Message Sizes

The maximum size of an SNMP message is limited to the minimum of:

(1) the maximum message size which the destination SNMP entity can accept; and,
(2) the maximum message size which the source SNMP entity can generate.

The former may be known on a per-recipient basis; and in the absence of such knowledge, is indicated by transport domain used when sending the message. The latter is imposed by implementation-specific local constraints.

Each transport mapping for the SNMP indicates the minimum message size which a SNMP implementation must be able to produce or consume. Although implementations are encouraged to support larger values whenever possible, a conformant implementation must never generate messages larger than allowed by the receiving SNMP entity.

One of the aims of the GetBulkRequest-PDU, specified in this protocol, is to minimize the number of protocol exchanges required to retrieve a large amount of management information. As such, this PDU type allows an SNMP entity supporting command generator applications to request that the response be as large as possible given the constraints on message sizes. These constraints include the limits on the size of messages which the SNMP entity supporting command responder applications can generate, and the SNMP entity supporting command generator applications can receive.

However, it is possible that such maximum sized messages may be larger than the Path MTU of the path across the network traversed by the messages. In this situation, such messages are subject to fragmentation. Fragmentation is generally considered to be harmful [FRAG], since among other problems, it leads to a decrease in the reliability of the transfer of the messages. Thus, an SNMP entity which sends a GetBulkRequest-PDU must take care to set its parameters accordingly, so as to reduce the risk of fragmentation. In particular, under conditions of network stress, only small values should be used for max-repetitions.

2.4. Transport Mappings

It is important to note that the exchange of SNMP messages requires only an unreliable datagram service, with every message being entirely and independently contained in a single transport datagram. Specific transport mappings and encoding rules are specified elsewhere [RFC3417]. However, the preferred mapping is the use of the User Datagram Protocol [RFC768].
2.5. SMIv2 Data Type Mappings

The SMIv2 [RFC2578] defines 11 base types (INTEGER, OCTET STRING, OBJECT IDENTIFIER, Integer32, IpAddress, Counter32, Gauge32, Unsigned32, TimeTicks, Opaque, Counter64) and the BITS construct. The SMIv2 base types are mapped to the corresponding selection type in the SimpleSyntax and ApplicationSyntax choices of the ASN.1 SNMP protocol definition. Note that the INTEGER and Integer32 SMIv2 base types are mapped to the integer-value selection type of the SimpleSyntax choice. Similarly, the Gauge32 and Unsigned32 SMIv2 base types are mapped to the unsigned-integer-value selection type of the ApplicationSyntax choice.

The SMIv2 BITS construct is mapped to the string-value selection type of the SimpleSyntax choice. A BITS value is encoded as an OCTET STRING, in which all the named bits in (the definition of) the bitstring, commencing with the first bit and proceeding to the last bit, are placed in bits 8 (high order bit) to 1 (low order bit) of the first octet, followed by bits 8 to 1 of each subsequent octet in turn, followed by as many bits as are needed of the final subsequent octet, commencing with bit 8. Remaining bits, if any, of the final octet are set to zero on generation and ignored on receipt.

3. Definitions

The PDU syntax is defined using ASN.1 notation [ASN1].

SNMPv2-PDU DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

ObjectName ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

ObjectSyntax ::= CHOICE {
    simple           SimpleSyntax,
    application-wide ApplicationSyntax }

SimpleSyntax ::= CHOICE {
    integer-value   INTEGER (-2147483648..2147483647),
    string-value    OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..65535)),
    objectID-value  OBJECT IDENTIFIER }

ApplicationSyntax ::= CHOICE {
    ipAddress-value IpAddress,
    counter-value   Counter32,
    timeticks-value TimeTicks,
    arbitrary-value Opaque,
    big-counter-value Counter64,
    unsigned-integer-value Unsigned32 }
IpAddress ::= [APPLICATION 0] IMPLICIT OCTET STRING (SIZE (4))

Counter32 ::= [APPLICATION 1] IMPLICIT INTEGER (0..4294967295)

Unsigned32 ::= [APPLICATION 2] IMPLICIT INTEGER (0..4294967295)

Gauge32 ::= Unsigned32

TimeTicks ::= [APPLICATION 3] IMPLICIT INTEGER (0..4294967295)

Opaque ::= [APPLICATION 4] IMPLICIT OCTET STRING

Counter64 ::= [APPLICATION 6]
            IMPLICIT INTEGER (0..18446744073709551615)

-- protocol data units

PDUs ::= CHOICE {
    get-request      GetRequest-PDU,
    get-next-request GetNextRequest-PDU,
    get-bulk-request GetBulkRequest-PDU,
    response         Response-PDU,
    set-request      SetRequest-PDU,
    inform-request   InformRequest-PDU,
    snmpV2-trap      SNMPv2-Trap-PDU,
    report           Report-PDU }

-- PDUs

GetRequest-PDU ::= [0] IMPLICIT PDU

GetNextRequest-PDU ::= [1] IMPLICIT PDU

Response-PDU ::= [2] IMPLICIT PDU

SetRequest-PDU ::= [3] IMPLICIT PDU

-- [4] is obsolete

GetBulkRequest-PDU ::= [5] IMPLICIT BulkPDU

InformRequest-PDU ::= [6] IMPLICIT PDU

SNMPv2-Trap-PDU ::= [7] IMPLICIT PDU

-- Usage and precise semantics of Report-PDU are not defined
-- in this document. Any SNMP administrative framework making
-- use of this PDU must define its usage and semantics.
Report-PDU ::= [8] IMPLICIT PDU

max-bindings INTEGER ::= 2147483647

PDU ::= SEQUENCE {
    request-id INTEGER (-214783648..214783647),
    error-status INTEGER {
        noError(0),
        tooBig(1),
        noSuchName(2), -- for proxy compatibility
        badValue(3), -- for proxy compatibility
        readOnly(4), -- for proxy compatibility
        genErr(5),
        noAccess(6),
        wrongType(7),
        wrongLength(8),
        wrongEncoding(9),
        wrongValue(10),
        noCreation(11),
        inconsistentValue(12),
        resourceUnavailable(13),
        commitFailed(14),
        undoFailed(15),
        authorizationError(16),
        notWritable(17),
        inconsistentName(18)
    },
    error-index INTEGER (0..max-bindings),
    variable-bindings VarBindList
}

BulkPDU ::= -- must be identical in
            -- structure to PDU
    SEQUENCE {
        request-id INTEGER (-214783648..214783647),
        non-repeaters INTEGER (0..max-bindings),
        max-repetitions INTEGER (0..max-bindings),
        variable-bindings VarBindList
    }

    -- variable binding
VarBind ::= SEQUENCE {
    name ObjectName,

    CHOICE {
        value          ObjectSyntax,
        unSpecified    NULL,    -- in retrieval requests
        noSuchObject   [0] IMPLICIT NULL,    -- exceptions in responses
        noSuchInstance [1] IMPLICIT NULL,
        endOfMibView   [2] IMPLICIT NULL
    }
}

-- variable-binding list

VarBindList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (0..max-bindings)) OF VarBind

END

4. Protocol Specification

4.1. Common Constructs

The value of the request-id field in a Response-PDU takes the value
of the request-id field in the request PDU to which it is a response.
By use of the request-id value, an application can distinguish the
(potentially multiple) outstanding requests, and thereby correlate
incoming responses with outstanding requests. In cases where an
unreliable datagram service is used, the request-id also provides a
simple means of identifying messages duplicated by the network. Use
of the same request-id on a retransmission of a request allows the
response to either the original transmission or the retransmission to
satisfy the request. However, in order to calculate the round trip
time for transmission and processing of a request-response
transaction, the application needs to use a different request-id
value on a retransmitted request. The latter strategy is recommended
for use in the majority of situations.

A non-zero value of the error-status field in a Response-PDU is used
to indicate that an error occurred to prevent the processing of the
request. In these cases, a non-zero value of the Response-PDU’s
error-index field provides additional information by identifying
which variable binding in the list caused the error. A variable
binding is identified by its index value. The first variable binding
in a variable-binding list is index one, the second is index two,
etc.
SNMP limits OBJECT IDENTIFIER values to a maximum of 128 sub-identifiers, where each sub-identifier has a maximum value of $2^{32}-1$.

### 4.2. PDU Processing

In the elements of procedure below, any field of a PDU which is not referenced by the relevant procedure is ignored by the receiving SNMP entity. However, all components of a PDU, including those whose values are ignored by the receiving SNMP entity, must have valid ASN.1 syntax and encoding. For example, some PDUs (e.g., the GetRequest-PDU) are concerned only with the name of a variable and not its value. In this case, the value portion of the variable binding is ignored by the receiving SNMP entity. The unSpecified value is defined for use as the value portion of such bindings.

On generating a management communication, the message "wrapper" to encapsulate the PDU is generated according to the "Elements of Procedure" of the administrative framework in use. The definition of "max-bindings" imposes an upper bound on the number of variable bindings. In practice, the size of a message is also limited by constraints on the maximum message size. A compliant implementation must support as many variable bindings in a PDU or BulkPDU as fit into the overall maximum message size limit of the SNMP engine, but no more than $2^{31}-47$ variable bindings.

On receiving a management communication, the "Elements of Procedure" of the administrative framework in use is followed, and if those procedures indicate that the operation contained within the message is to be performed locally, then those procedures also indicate the MIB view which is visible to the operation.

#### 4.2.1. The GetRequest-PDU

A GetRequest-PDU is generated and transmitted at the request of an application.

Upon receipt of a GetRequest-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity processes each variable binding in the variable-binding list to produce a Response-PDU. All fields of the Response-PDU have the same values as the corresponding fields of the received request except as indicated below. Each variable binding is processed as follows:

1. If the variable binding’s name exactly matches the name of a variable accessible by this request, then the variable binding’s value field is set to the value of the named variable.
(2) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s name does not have an
OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix which exactly matches the OBJECT
IDENTIFIER prefix of any (potential) variable accessible by
this request, then its value field is set to "noSuchObject".

(3) Otherwise, the variable binding’s value field is set to
"noSuchInstance".

If the processing of any variable binding fails for a reason other
than listed above, then the Response-PDU is re-formatted with the
same values in its request-id and variable-bindings fields as the
received GetRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field set
to "genErr", and the value of its error-index field is set to the
index of the failed variable binding.

Otherwise, the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set
to "noError", and the value of its error-index field is zero.

The generated Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If
the size of the resultant message is less than or equal to both a
local constraint and the maximum message size of the originator, it
is transmitted to the originator of the GetRequest-PDU.

Otherwise, an alternate Response-PDU is generated. This alternate
Response-PDU is formatted with the same value in its request-id field
as the received GetRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status
field set to "tooBig", the value of its error-index field set to
zero, and an empty variable-bindings field. This alternate
Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If the size of the
resultant message is less than or equal to both a local constraint
and the maximum message size of the originator, it is transmitted to
the originator of the GetRequest-PDU. Otherwise, the snmpSilentDrops
[RFC3418] counter is incremented and the resultant message is
discarded.

4.2.2. The GetNextRequest-PDU

A GetNextRequest-PDU is generated and transmitted at the request of
an application.

Upon receipt of a GetNextRequest-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity
processes each variable binding in the variable-binding list to
produce a Response-PDU. All fields of the Response-PDU have the same
values as the corresponding fields of the received request except as
indicated below. Each variable binding is processed as follows:

(1) The variable is located which is in the lexicographically
ordered list of the names of all variables which are
accessible by this request and whose name is the first
lexicographic successor of the variable binding’s name in
the incoming GetNextRequest-PDU. The corresponding variable
binding’s name and value fields in the Response-PDU are set
to the name and value of the located variable.

(2) If the requested variable binding’s name does not
lexicographically precede the name of any variable
accessible by this request, i.e., there is no lexicographic
successor, then the corresponding variable binding produced
in the Response-PDU has its value field set to
"endOfMibView", and its name field set to the variable
binding’s name in the request.

If the processing of any variable binding fails for a reason other
than listed above, then the Response-PDU is re-formatted with the
same values in its request-id and variable-bindings fields as the
received GetNextRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field
set to "genErr", and the value of its error-index field is set to the
index of the failed variable binding.

Otherwise, the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set
to "noError", and the value of its error-index field is zero.

The generated Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If
the size of the resultant message is less than or equal to both a
local constraint and the maximum message size of the originator, it
is transmitted to the originator of the GetNextRequest-PDU.

Otherwise, an alternate Response-PDU is generated. This alternate
Response-PDU is formatted with the same values in its request-id
field as the received GetNextRequest-PDU, with the value of its
error-status field set to "tooBig", the value of its error-index
field set to zero, and an empty variable-bindings field. This
alternate Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If the
size of the resultant message is less than or equal to both a local
constraint and the maximum message size of the originator, it is
transmitted to the originator of the GetNextRequest-PDU. Otherwise,
the snmpSilentDrops [RFC3418] counter is incremented and the
resultant message is discarded.

4.2.2.1. Example of Table Traversal

An important use of the GetNextRequest-PDU is the traversal of
conceptual tables of information within a MIB. The semantics of this
type of request, together with the method of identifying individual
instances of objects in the MIB, provides access to related objects
in the MIB as if they enjoyed a tabular organization.
In the protocol exchange sketched below, an application retrieves the media-dependent physical address and the address-mapping type for each entry in the IP net-to-media Address Translation Table [RFC1213] of a particular network element. It also retrieves the value of sysUpTime [RFC3418], at which the mappings existed. Suppose that the command responder’s IP net-to-media table has three entries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface-Number</th>
<th>Network-Address</th>
<th>Physical-Address</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0.0.51</td>
<td>00:00:10:01:23:45</td>
<td>static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.2.3.4</td>
<td>00:00:10:54:32:10</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0.0.15</td>
<td>00:00:10:98:76:54</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SNMP entity supporting a command generator application begins by sending a GetNextRequest-PDU containing the indicated OBJECT IDENTIFIER values as the requested variable names:

```
GetNextRequest ( sysUpTime,
                ipNetToMediaPhysAddress,
                ipNetToMediaType )
```

The SNMP entity supporting a command responder application responds with a Response-PDU:

```
Response (( sysUpTime.0 = "123456" ),
            ( ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.9.2.3.4 = "000010543210" ),
            ( ipNetToMediaType.1.9.2.3.4 = "dynamic" ))
```

The SNMP entity supporting the command generator application continues with:

```
GetNextRequest ( sysUpTime,
                ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.9.2.3.4,
                ipNetToMediaType.1.9.2.3.4 )
```

The SNMP entity supporting the command responder application responds with:

```
Response (( sysUpTime.0 = "123461" ),
            ( ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.10.0.0.51 = "000010012345" ),
            ( ipNetToMediaType.1.10.0.0.51 = "static" ))
```

The SNMP entity supporting the command generator application continues with:

```
GetNextRequest ( sysUpTime,
                ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.10.0.0.51,
                ipNetToMediaType.1.10.0.0.51 )
```
The SNMP entity supporting the command responder application responds with:

Response (( sysUpTime.0 = "123466" ),
( ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.2.10.0.0.15 = "000010987654" ),
( ipNetToMediaType.2.10.0.0.15 = "dynamic" ))

The SNMP entity supporting the command generator application continues with:

GetNextRequest ( sysUpTime,
ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.2.10.0.0.15,
ipNetToMediaType.2.10.0.0.15 )

As there are no further entries in the table, the SNMP entity supporting the command responder application responds with the variables that are next in the lexicographical ordering of the accessible object names, for example:

Response (( sysUpTime.0 = "123471" ),
( ipNetToMediaNetAddress.1.9.2.3.4 = "9.2.3.4" ),
( ipRoutingDiscards.0 = "2" ))

Note how, having reached the end of the column for ipNetToMediaPhysAddress, the second variable binding from the command responder application has now "wrapped" to the first row in the next column. Furthermore, note how, having reached the end of the ipNetToMediaTable for the third variable binding, the command responder application has responded with the next available object, which is outside that table. This response signals the end of the table to the command generator application.

4.2.3. The GetBulkRequest-PDU

A GetBulkRequest-PDU is generated and transmitted at the request of an application. The purpose of the GetBulkRequest-PDU is to request the transfer of a potentially large amount of data, including, but not limited to, the efficient and rapid retrieval of large tables.

Upon receipt of a GetBulkRequest-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity processes each variable binding in the variable-binding list to produce a Response-PDU with its request-id field having the same value as in the request.

For the GetBulkRequest-PDU type, the successful processing of each variable binding in the request generates zero or more variable bindings in the Response-PDU. That is, the one-to-one mapping between the variable bindings of the GetRequest-PDU, GetNextRequest-
PDU, and SetRequest-PDU types and the resultant Response-PDUs does not apply for the mapping between the variable bindings of a GetBulkRequest-PDU and the resultant Response-PDU.

The values of the non-repeaters and max-repetitions fields in the request specify the processing requested. One variable binding in the Response-PDU is requested for the first N variable bindings in the request and M variable bindings are requested for each of the R remaining variable bindings in the request. Consequently, the total number of requested variable bindings communicated by the request is given by N + (M * R), where N is the minimum of: a) the value of the non-repeaters field in the request, and b) the number of variable bindings in the request; M is the value of the max-repetitions field in the request; and R is the maximum of: a) number of variable bindings in the request - N, and b) zero.

The receiving SNMP entity produces a Response-PDU with up to the total number of requested variable bindings communicated by the request. The request-id shall have the same value as the received GetBulkRequest-PDU.

If N is greater than zero, the first through the (N)-th variable bindings of the Response-PDU are each produced as follows:

1. The variable is located which is in the lexicographically ordered list of the names of all variables which are accessible by this request and whose name is the first lexicographic successor of the variable binding’s name in the incoming GetBulkRequest-PDU. The corresponding variable binding’s name and value fields in the Response-PDU are set to the name and value of the located variable.

2. If the requested variable binding’s name does not lexicographically precede the name of any variable accessible by this request, i.e., there is no lexicographic successor, then the corresponding variable binding produced in the Response-PDU has its value field set to "endOfMibView", and its name field set to the variable binding’s name in the request.

If M and R are non-zero, the (N + 1)-th and subsequent variable bindings of the Response-PDU are each produced in a similar manner. For each iteration i, such that i is greater than zero and less than or equal to M, and for each repeated variable, r, such that r is greater than zero and less than or equal to R, the (N + ( (i-1) * R ) + r)-th variable binding of the Response-PDU is produced as follows:
(1) The variable which is in the lexicographically ordered list of the names of all variables which are accessible by this request and whose name is the (i)-th lexicographic successor of the (N + r)-th variable binding’s name in the incoming GetBulkRequest-PDU is located and the variable binding’s name and value fields are set to the name and value of the located variable.

(2) If there is no (i)-th lexicographic successor, then the corresponding variable binding produced in the Response-PDU has its value field set to "endOfMibView", and its name field set to either the last lexicographic successor, or if there are no lexicographic successors, to the (N + r)-th variable binding’s name in the request.

While the maximum number of variable bindings in the Response-PDU is bounded by N + (M * R), the response may be generated with a lesser number of variable bindings (possibly zero) for either of three reasons.

(1) If the size of the message encapsulating the Response-PDU containing the requested number of variable bindings would be greater than either a local constraint or the maximum message size of the originator, then the response is generated with a lesser number of variable bindings. This lesser number is the ordered set of variable bindings with some of the variable bindings at the end of the set removed, such that the size of the message encapsulating the Response-PDU is approximately equal to but no greater than either a local constraint or the maximum message size of the originator. Note that the number of variable bindings removed has no relationship to the values of N, M, or R.

(2) The response may also be generated with a lesser number of variable bindings if for some value of iteration i, such that i is greater than zero and less than or equal to M, that all of the generated variable bindings have the value field set to "endOfMibView". In this case, the variable bindings may be truncated after the (N + (i * R))-th variable binding.

(3) In the event that the processing of a request with many repetitions requires a significantly greater amount of processing time than a normal request, then a command responder application may terminate the request with less than the full number of repetitions, providing at least one repetition is completed.
If the processing of any variable binding fails for a reason other
than listed above, then the Response-PDU is re-formatted with the
same values in its request-id and variable-bindings fields as the
received GetBulkRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field
set to "genErr", and the value of its error-index field is set to the
index of the variable binding in the original request which
corresponds to the failed variable binding.

Otherwise, the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set
to "noError", and the value of its error-index field to zero.

The generated Response-PDU (possibly with an empty variable-bindings
field) is then encapsulated into a message. If the size of the
resultant message is less than or equal to both a local constraint
and the maximum message size of the originator, it is transmitted to
the originator of the GetBulkRequest-PDU. Otherwise, the
snmpSilentDrops [RFC3418] counter is incremented and the resultant
message is discarded.

4.2.3.1. Another Example of Table Traversal

This example demonstrates how the GetBulkRequest-PDU can be used as
an alternative to the GetNextRequest-PDU. The same traversal of the
IP net-to-media table as shown in Section 4.2.2.1 is achieved with
fewer exchanges.

The SNMP entity supporting the command generator application begins
by sending a GetBulkRequest-PDU with the modest max-repetitions value
of 2, and containing the indicated OBJECT IDENTIFIER values as the
requested variable names:

GetBulkRequest [ non-repeaters = 1, max-repetitions = 2 ]
( sysUpTime,
  ipNetToMediaPhysAddress,
  ipNetToMediaType )

The SNMP entity supporting the command responder application responds
with a Response-PDU:

Response ( ( sysUpTime.0 = "123456" ),
  ( ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.9.2.3.4 = "000010543210" ),
  ( ipNetToMediaType.1.9.2.3.4 = "dynamic" ),
  ( ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.10.0.0.51 = "000010012345" ),
  ( ipNetToMediaType.1.10.0.0.51 = "static" ))
The SNMP entity supporting the command generator application continues with:

\[
\text{GetBulkRequest [ non-repeaters = 1, max-repetitions = 2 ]}
\quad
\begin{align*}
& ( \text{sysUpTime}, \\
& \text{ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.1.10.0.0.51}, \\
& \text{ipNetToMediaType.1.10.0.0.51} )
\end{align*}
\]

The SNMP entity supporting the command responder application responds with:

\[
\text{Response (( sysUpTime.0 = "123466" ),}
\quad
\begin{align*}
& ( \text{ipNetToMediaPhysAddress.2.10.0.0.15 = "000010987654" ),} \\
& ( \text{ipNetToMediaType.2.10.0.0.15 = "dynamic" ),} \\
& ( \text{ipNetToMediaNetAddress.1.9.2.3.4 = "9.2.3.4" ),} \\
& ( \text{ipRoutingDiscards.0 = "2" )})
\end{align*}
\]

Note how, as in the first example, the variable bindings in the response indicate that the end of the table has been reached. The fourth variable binding does so by returning information from the next available column; the fifth variable binding does so by returning information from the first available object lexicographically following the table. This response signals the end of the table to the command generator application.

**4.2.4. The Response-PDU**

The Response-PDU is generated by an SNMP entity only upon receipt of a GetRequest-PDU, GetNextRequest-PDU, GetBulkRequest-PDU, SetRequest-PDU, or InformRequest-PDU, as described elsewhere in this document.

If the error-status field of the Response-PDU is non-zero, the value fields of the variable bindings in the variable binding list are ignored.

If both the error-status field and the error-index field of the Response-PDU are non-zero, then the value of the error-index field is the index of the variable binding (in the variable-binding list of the corresponding request) for which the request failed. The first variable binding in a request’s variable-binding list is index one, the second is index two, etc.

A compliant SNMP entity supporting a command generator application must be able to properly receive and handle a Response-PDU with an error-status field equal to "noSuchName", "badValue", or "readOnly". (See sections 1.3 and 4.3 of [RFC2576].)
Upon receipt of a Response-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity presents its contents to the application which generated the request with the same request-id value. For more details, see [RFC3412].

4.2.5. The SetRequest-PDU

A SetRequest-PDU is generated and transmitted at the request of an application.

Upon receipt of a SetRequest-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity determines the size of a message encapsulating a Response-PDU having the same values in its request-id and variable-bindings fields as the received SetRequest-PDU, and the largest possible sizes of the error-status and error-index fields. If the determined message size is greater than either a local constraint or the maximum message size of the originator, then an alternate Response-PDU is generated, transmitted to the originator of the SetRequest-PDU, and processing of the SetRequest-PDU terminates immediately thereafter. This alternate Response-PDU is formatted with the same values in its request-id field as the received SetRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field set to "tooBig", the value of its error-index field set to zero, and an empty variable-bindings field. This alternate Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If the size of the resultant message is less than or equal to both a local constraint and the maximum message size of the originator, it is transmitted to the originator of the SetRequest-PDU. Otherwise, the snmpSilentDrops [RFC3418] counter is incremented and the resultant message is discarded. Regardless, processing of the SetRequest-PDU terminates.

Otherwise, the receiving SNMP entity processes each variable binding in the variable-binding list to produce a Response-PDU. All fields of the Response-PDU have the same values as the corresponding fields of the received request except as indicated below.

The variable bindings are conceptually processed as a two phase operation. In the first phase, each variable binding is validated; if all validations are successful, then each variable is altered in the second phase. Of course, implementors are at liberty to implement either the first, or second, or both, of these conceptual phases as multiple implementation phases. Indeed, such multiple implementation phases may be necessary in some cases to ensure consistency.
The following validations are performed in the first phase on each variable binding until they are all successful, or until one fails:

(1) If the variable binding’s name specifies an existing or non-existent variable to which this request is/would be denied access because it is/would not be in the appropriate MIB view, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "noAccess", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

(2) Otherwise, if there are no variables which share the same OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix as the variable binding’s name, and which are able to be created or modified no matter what new value is specified, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "notWritable", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

(3) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s value field specifies, according to the ASN.1 language, a type which is inconsistent with that required for all variables which share the same OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix as the variable binding’s name, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "wrongType", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

(4) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s value field specifies, according to the ASN.1 language, a length which is inconsistent with that required for all variables which share the same OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix as the variable binding’s name, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "wrongLength", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

(5) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s value field contains an ASN.1 encoding which is inconsistent with that field’s ASN.1 tag, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "wrongEncoding", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding. (Note that not all implementation strategies will generate this error.)

(6) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s value field specifies a value which could under no circumstances be assigned to the variable, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "wrongValue", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.
7) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s name specifies a variable which does not exist and could not ever be created (even though some variables sharing the same OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix might under some circumstances be able to be created), then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "noCreation", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

8) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s name specifies a variable which does not exist but can not be created under the present circumstances (even though it could be created under other circumstances), then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "inconsistentName", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

9) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s name specifies a variable which exists but can not be modified no matter what new value is specified, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "notWritable", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

10) Otherwise, if the variable binding’s value field specifies a value that could under other circumstances be held by the variable, but is presently inconsistent or otherwise unable to be assigned to the variable, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "inconsistentValue", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

11) When, during the above steps, the assignment of the value specified by the variable binding’s value field to the specified variable requires the allocation of a resource which is presently unavailable, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "resourceUnavailable", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

12) If the processing of the variable binding fails for a reason other than listed above, then the value of the Response-PDU’s error-status field is set to "genErr", and the value of its error-index field is set to the index of the failed variable binding.

13) Otherwise, the validation of the variable binding succeeds.
At the end of the first phase, if the validation of all variable bindings succeeded, then the value of the Response-PDU's error-status field is set to "noError" and the value of its error-index field is zero, and processing continues as follows.

For each variable binding in the request, the named variable is created if necessary, and the specified value is assigned to it. Each of these variable assignments occurs as if simultaneously with respect to all other assignments specified in the same request. However, if the same variable is named more than once in a single request, with different associated values, then the actual assignment made to that variable is implementation-specific.

If any of these assignments fail (even after all the previous validations), then all other assignments are undone, and the Response-PDU is modified to have the value of its error-status field set to "commitFailed", and the value of its error-index field set to the index of the failed variable binding.

If and only if it is not possible to undo all the assignments, then the Response-PDU is modified to have the value of its error-status field set to "undoFailed", and the value of its error-index field is set to zero. Note that implementations are strongly encouraged to take all possible measures to avoid use of either "commitFailed" or "undoFailed" - these two error-status codes are not to be taken as license to take the easy way out in an implementation.

Finally, the generated Response-PDU is encapsulated into a message, and transmitted to the originator of the SetRequest-PDU.

4.2.6. The SNMPv2-Trap-PDU

An SNMPv2-Trap-PDU is generated and transmitted by an SNMP entity on behalf of a notification originator application. The SNMPv2-Trap-PDU is often used to notify a notification receiver application at a logically remote SNMP entity that an event has occurred or that a condition is present. There is no confirmation associated with this notification delivery mechanism.

The destination(s) to which an SNMPv2-Trap-PDU is sent is determined in an implementation-dependent fashion by the SNMP entity. The first two variable bindings in the variable binding list of an SNMPv2-Trap-PDU are sysUpTime.0 [RFC3418] and snmpTrapOID.0 [RFC3418] respectively. If the OBJECTS clause is present in the invocation of the corresponding NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro, then each corresponding variable, as instantiated by this notification, is copied, in order,
to the variable-bindings field. If any additional variables are being included (at the option of the generating SNMP entity), then each is copied to the variable-bindings field.

4.2.7. The InformRequest-PDU

An InformRequest-PDU is generated and transmitted by an SNMP entity on behalf of a notification originator application. The InformRequest-PDU is often used to notify a notification receiver application that an event has occurred or that a condition is present. This is a confirmed notification delivery mechanism, although there is, of course, no guarantee of delivery.

The destination(s) to which an InformRequest-PDU is sent is specified by the notification originator application. The first two variable bindings in the variable binding list of an InformRequest-PDU are sysUpTime.0 [RFC3418] and snmpTrapOID.0 [RFC3418] respectively. If the OBJECTS clause is present in the invocation of the corresponding NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro, then each corresponding variable, as instantiated by this notification, is copied, in order, to the variable-bindings field. If any additional variables are being included (at the option of the generating SNMP entity), then each is copied to the variable-bindings field.

Upon receipt of an InformRequest-PDU, the receiving SNMP entity determines the size of a message encapsulating a Response-PDU with the same values in its request-id, error-status, error-index and variable-bindings fields as the received InformRequest-PDU. If the determined message size is greater than either a local constraint or the maximum message size of the originator, then an alternate Response-PDU is generated, transmitted to the originator of the InformRequest-PDU, and processing of the InformRequest-PDU terminates immediately thereafter. This alternate Response-PDU is formatted with the same values in its request-id field as the received InformRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field set to "tooBig", the value of its error-index field set to zero, and an empty variable-bindings field. This alternate Response-PDU is then encapsulated into a message. If the size of the resultant message is less than or equal to both a local constraint and the maximum message size of the originator, it is transmitted to the originator of the InformRequest-PDU. Otherwise, the snmpSilentDrops [RFC3418] counter is incremented and the resultant message is discarded. Regardless, processing of the InformRequest-PDU terminates.

Otherwise, the receiving SNMP entity:

(1) presents its contents to the appropriate application;
generates a Response-PDU with the same values in its request-id and variable-bindings fields as the received InformRequest-PDU, with the value of its error-status field set to "noError" and the value of its error-index field set to zero; and

transmits the generated Response-PDU to the originator of the InformRequest-PDU.
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7. Security Considerations

The protocol defined in this document by itself does not provide a secure environment. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPSec), there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed access to management information.

It is recommended that the implementors consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework. Specifically, the use of the User-based Security Model STD 62, RFC 3414 [RFC3414] and the View-based Access Control Model STD 62, RFC 3415 [RFC3415] is recommended.

It is then a customer/user responsibility to ensure that the SNMP entity is properly configured so that:

- only those principals (users) having legitimate rights can access or modify the values of any MIB objects supported by that entity;
- the occurrence of particular events on the entity will be communicated appropriately;
- the entity responds appropriately and with due credence to events and information that have been communicated to it.
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These are the changes from RFC 1905:

- Corrected spelling error in copyright statement;
- Updated copyright date;
- Updated with new editor’s name and contact information;
- Added notice on intellectual property;
- Cosmetic fixes to layout and typography;
- Added table of contents;
- Title changed;
- Updated document headers and footers;
- Deleted the old clause 2.3, entitled "Access to Management Information";
- Changed the way in which request-id was defined, though with the same ultimate syntax and semantics, to avoid coupling with SMI. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Replaced the word "exception" with the word "error" in the old clause 4.1. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Deleted the first two paragraphs of the old clause 4.2;
- Clarified the maximum number of variable bindings that an implementation must support in a PDU. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Replaced occurrences of "SNMPv2 application" with "application";
- Deleted three sentences in old clause 4.2.3 describing the handling of an impossible situation. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
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- Updated references;
- Re-wrote introduction clause;
- Replaced manager/agent/SNMPv2 entity terminology with terminology from RFC 2571. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Eliminated IMPORTS from the SMI, replaced with equivalent in-line ASN.1. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Added notes calling attention to two different manifestations of reaching the end of a table in the table walk examples;
- Added content to security considerations clause;
- Updated ASN.1 comment on use of Report-PDU. This does not affect the protocol in any way;
- Updated acknowledgments section;
- Included information on handling of BITS;
- Deleted spurious comma in ASN.1 definition of PDUs;
- Added abstract;
- Made handling of additional variable bindings in informs consistent with that for traps. This was a correction of an editorial oversight, and reflects implementation practice;
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