Accommodating a Maximum Transit Unit/Maximum Receive Unit (MTU/MRU) Greater Than 1492 in the Point-to-Point Protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE)
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IESG Note

As of this writing, no current IEEE standard supports the use of "jumbo frames" (MTU greater than 1500). Although this document contains recommended mechanisms to detect problems in the path, interoperability and reliability of non-standard extensions cannot be assured. Both implementors and users of the protocol described here should exercise caution in its use.

Abstract

The Point-to-Point Protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE), as described in RFC 2516, mandates a maximum negotiated Maximum Receive Unit (MRU) of 1492. This document outlines a solution that relaxes this restriction and allows a maximum negotiated MRU greater than 1492 to minimize fragmentation in next-generation broadband networks.
1. Introduction

As broadband network designs are changing from PC-initiated PPPoE sessions in a combined Ethernet/Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) setup, as shown in Figure 1, to more intelligent PPPoE-capable Residential Gateway (RG) and Gigabit Ethernet/ATM broadband network designs, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, the need to increase the maximum transmit and receive unit in the PPPoE protocol is becoming more important in order to reduce fragmentation in the network.

Figure 1. Initial broadband network designs with PPPoE

In the network design shown in Figure 1, fragmentation is typically not a problem, since the subscriber session is PPPoE end to end from the PC to the BRAS. Therefore, a PPP-negotiated MRU of 1492 octets is fully acceptable, as it makes the largest PPPoE frame adhere to the standard Ethernet MTU of 1500 octets.
In the network design shown in Figure 2, fragmentation becomes a major problem, since the subscriber session is a combination of IPoE and PPPoE. The IPoE typically uses a Maximum Transit Unit (MTU) of 1500 octets. However, when the Residential Gateway and the Broadband Remote Access Server (BRAS) are the PPPoE session endpoints and therefore negotiate an MTU/MRU of 1492 octets, the result is a large number of fragmented packets in the network.

In the network design shown in Figure 3, which is studied by the DSL-Forum in the context of the migration to Ethernet for broadband aggregation networks, fragmentation is not the only problem when MRU differences exist in Point-to-Point Protocol over AAL5 (PPPoA) and PPPoE sessions.

The subscriber session is a PPP session running over a combination of PPPoA and PPPoE. The PPP/PPPoA host typically negotiates a 1500-octet MRU. Widely deployed PPP/PPPoA hosts in Customer Premises Equipment (CPE) do not support a 1492-octet MRU, which creates an issue in turn for the BRAS (PPPoE server) if strict compliance to RFC
2516 [1] is mandated. For PPP/PPPoA hosts capable of negotiating a 1492-octet MRU size, then we are back to a fragmentation issue.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [3].

ATM - Asynchronous Transfer Mode
PP - Point-to-Point Protocol
PPPoA - PPP over AAL5
PPPoE - PPP over Ethernet
MTU - Maximum Transmit Unit
MRU - Maximum Receive Unit
PC - Personal Computer
CPE - Customer Premises Equipment
RG - Residential Gateway
BRAS - Broadband Remote Access Server
DSLAM - Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer
PPPoE - client PC, RG, or CPE that initiates a PPPoE session
PPPoE - server BRAS terminating PPPoE sessions initiated by client
PADI - PPPoE Active Discovery Initiation
PADO - PPPoE Active Discovery Offer
PADR - PPPoE Active Discovery Request
PADS - PPPoE Active Discovery Session-confirmation

3. Proposed Solution

The procedure described in this document does not strictly conform to IEEE standards for Ethernet packet size but relies on a widely deployed behavior of supporting frames with Ethernet packet format, but exceeding the maximum packet lengths defined by [4].

Since next-generation broadband networks are built around Ethernet systems supporting baby-giants and jumbo frames with payload sizes larger than the normal Ethernet MTU of 1500 octets, a BRAS acting as a PPPoE server MUST support PPPoE MRU negotiations larger than 1492 octets in order to limit the amount of fragmented packets in networks similar to those described in Section 1.

By default, the Maximum-Receive-Unit (MRU) option MUST follow the rules set forward in RFC 1661 [2] but MUST NOT be negotiated to a size larger than 1492 to guarantee compatibility with Ethernet network segments limited to 1500-octet frames. In such a case, as the PPPoE header is 6 octets and the PPP Protocol ID is 2 octets, the PPP MRU MUST NOT be greater than 1492.
An optional PPPoE tag, "PPP-Max-Payload", allows a PPPoE client to override this default behavior by providing a maximum size for the PPP payload it can support in both the sending and receiving directions. When such a tag is received by the PPPoE server, the server MAY allow the negotiation of an MRU larger than 1492 and the use of an MTU larger than 1492, subject to limitations of its local configuration and according to the rules set forward in RFC 1661 [2], within the limits of the maximum payload size indicated by the PPPoE client.

4. PPPoE Discovery Stage

If a PPPoE client wants to use an MTU/MRU higher than 1492 octets, then it MUST include an optional PPP-Max-Payload Tag in the PADI and PADR packets. If the PPPoE server can support an MTU/MRU higher than 1492 octets, it MUST respond with an echo of the clients tag in the PADO and PADS packets when the PPP-Max-Payload tag is received from the client.

Tag-name: PPP-Max-Payload
Tag-value: 0x0120
Tag-length: 2 octets
Tag-value: binary encoded value (max PPP payload in octets)

Tag-description:
This TAG indicates that the client and server are capable of supporting a given maximum PPP payload greater than 1492 octets for both the sending and receiving directions. Note that this value represents the PPP payload; therefore it is directly comparable with the value used in the PPP MRU negotiation.

5. LCP Considerations

5.1. MRU Negotiations

Since Ethernet (without jumbo frames) has a maximum payload size of 1500 octets, the PPPoE header is 6 octets, and the PPP Protocol ID is 2 octets, the Maximum-Receive-Unit (MRU) option MUST NOT be negotiated to a size larger than 1492, unless both the PPPoE client and server have indicated the ability to support a larger MRU in the PPPoE Discovery Stage.

The initial MRU negotiation for the PPP/PPPoE server MUST follow a flow as shown below:
If PPPoE {
    PPP_MRU_Max = 1492
    If (PPP-Max-Payload-Tag) AND (PPP-Max-Payload-Tag > 1492) Then
        PPP_MRU_Max = min (PPP-Max-Payload-Tag, Interface MTU-8)
    }
}

"Normal" PPP_MRU_Negotiation (PPP_MRU_Max)

If the PPP-Max-Payload tag is present and greater than 1492, it MUST be considered along with the server’s interface MTU settings when the maximum value is selected for the normal RFC 1661 [2] MRU negotiation which decides the actual MRU to use.

If the PPP-Max-Payload tag isn’t present or is present but below 1492, then the existing MRU constraint of 1492 octets MUST stay applicable, thus preserving backward compatibility.

This, in summary, indicates the following behavior:

1. When a "PPP-Max-Payload" tag is received,
   a. the value in this tag will indicate the maximum MRU allowed to be accepted or suggested in an MRU negotiation; and
   b. if MRU is not negotiated, then RFC 1661 [2] will set the default MRU at 1500. This will say that the "PPP-Max-Payload" tag can have a value greater than 1500, but in this case RFC 1661 [2] sets the default MRU to 1500, and only if MRU is negotiated higher (up to maximum payload) will the "PPP-Max-Payload" tag value be used.

2. When a "PPP-Max-Payload" tag is not received by either end, then RFC 2516 [1] sets the rule.

5.2. MRU Test and Troubleshooting

If the MRU is negotiated to a value larger than 1492 octets, the sending side SHOULD have the option of sending one or more MRU-sized Echo-Request packets once the session is opened. This allows it to test that the receiving side and any intermediate Ethernet segments and equipment can handle such a packet size.

If no Echo-Responses are received, the sending side MAY choose to repeat the test with 1492 octets Echo-Request packets. If these packets receive replies, the sending side MUST not send packets bigger than 1492 octets for this session.
This capability SHOULD be enabled by default. It SHOULD be configurable and MAY be disabled on networks where there is some prior knowledge indicating that the test is not necessary.

6. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce new security issues. The security considerations pertaining to the original PPPoE protocol [1] remain relevant.

7. IANA Considerations

This document defines a new value in a space that currently has no IANA registry. There is work in progress to define a registry [5] and that document already contains the value assigned here. No IANA action is required for this document.
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