Support of Address Families in OSPFv3

Abstract

This document describes a mechanism for supporting multiple address families (AFs) in OSPFv3 using multiple instances. It maps an AF to an OSPFv3 instance using the Instance ID field in the OSPFv3 packet header. This approach is fairly simple and minimizes extensions to OSPFv3 for supporting multiple AFs.
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1. Introduction

OSPFv3 [OSPFV3] has been defined to support the base IPv6 unicast address family (AF). There are requirements to advertise other AFs in OSPFv3, including multicast IPv6, unicast IPv4, and multicast IPv4. This document supports these other AFs in OSPFv3 by mapping each AF to a separate Instance ID and OSPFv3 instance.

1.1. Design Considerations

This section describes the rationale for using the multiple Instance ID approach to support multiple address families in OSPFv3. As described earlier, OSPFv3 is designed to support multiple instances. Hence, mapping an instance to an address family doesn’t introduce any new mechanisms to the protocol. It minimizes the protocol extensions required, and it simplifies the implementation. The presence of a separate link state database per address family is also easier to debug and operate. Additionally, it doesn’t change the existing instance, area, and interface-based configuration model in most OSPFv3 implementations.
1.2. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-KEYWORDS].

2. Protocol Details

Currently, the entire Instance ID number space is used for IPv6 unicast. This specification assigns different Instance ID ranges to different AFs in order to support other AFs in OSPFv3. Each Instance ID implies a separate OSPFv3 instance with its own neighbor adjacencies, link state database, protocol data structures, and shortest path first (SPF) computation.

Additionally, the current Link State Advertisements (LSAs) defined to advertise IPv6 unicast prefixes can be used to advertise prefixes from other AFs without modification.

It should be noted that OSPFv3 runs on top of IPv6 and uses IPv6 link local addresses for OSPFv3 control packets. Therefore, it is required that IPv6 be enabled on an OSPFv3 link, although the link may not be participating in any IPv6 AFs.

2.1. Instance ID Values for New AFs

Instance ID zero is already defined by default for the IPv6 unicast AF. When this specification is used to support multiple AFs, we define the following ranges for different AFs. The first value of each range is the default value for the corresponding AF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instance ID</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#0 - #31</td>
<td>IPv6 unicast AF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#32 - #63</td>
<td>IPv6 multicast AF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#64 - #95</td>
<td>IPv4 unicast AF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#96 - #127</td>
<td>IPv4 multicast AF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#128 - #255</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OSPFv3 Instance IDs
2.2. OSPFv3 Options Changes

A new AF-bit is added to the OSPFv3 Options field. The V6-bit is only applicable to the IPv6 unicast AF.

```
 +-------------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+
 | 0  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 0  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  |
 +-------------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+
 | AF|*|*|DC|R|N|x|E|V6|                  +-------------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+-------+
```

The Options field

**OSPFv3 Options**

**V6-bit**

The V6-bit is used in OSPFv3 to exclude a node from IPv6 unicast route calculation but allow it in the SPF calculation for other address families. Since the Instance ID now denotes the AF explicitly, this bit is ignored in AFs other than IPv6 unicast.

**AF-bit**

When an OSPFv3 router is supporting AFs as described in this specification, it MUST set the AF-bit in the OSPFv3 Options field of Hello packets, Database Description packets, and LSAs.

2.3. Advertising Prefixes in AFs Other Than IPv6

Each prefix advertised in OSPFv3 has a prefix Length field [OSPFV3]. This facilitates advertising prefixes of different lengths in different AFs. The existing LSAs defined in OSPFv3 are used for this and there is no need to define new LSAs.

Prefixes that don’t conform to the AF of an OSPFv3 instance MUST NOT be used in the route computation for that instance.

2.4. Changes to the Hello Packet Processing

When an OSPFv3 router does not support this specification and it is configured with the corresponding Instance ID, packets could be black holed. This could happen due to misconfiguration or a router software downgrade. Black holing is possible because a router that doesn’t support this specification can still be included in the SPF calculated path as long as it establishes adjacencies using the Instance ID corresponding to the AF. Note that Router-LSAs and Network-LSAs are AF independent.
In order to avoid the above situation, Hello packet processing is changed in order to only establish adjacencies with routers that have the AF-bit set in their Options field.

Receiving Hello packets is specified in section 4.2.2.1 of [OSPFV3]. The following check is added to Hello packet reception:

- When an OSPFv3 router participates in an AF (sets the AF-bit in the Options field), it MUST discard Hello packets having the AF-bit clear in the Options field. The only exception is the Base IPv6 unicast AF, where this check MUST NOT be done (for backward compatibility).

### 2.5. Next-Hop Calculation for IPv4 Unicast and Multicast AFs

OSPFv3 runs on top of IPv6 and uses IPv6 link local addresses for OSPFv3 control packets and next-hop calculations. Although IPv6 link local addresses could be used as next hops for IPv4 address families, it is desirable to have IPv4 next-hop addresses. For example, in the IPv4 multicast AF, the Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) [PIM] neighbor address and the next-hop address should both be IPv4 addresses in order for the Reverse Path Forwarding (RPF) lookup to work correctly. Troubleshooting is also easier when the prefix address and next-hop address are in the same AF.

In order to achieve this, the link’s IPv4 address will be advertised in the "link local address" field of the IPv4 instance’s Link-LSA. This address is placed in the first 32 bits of the "link local address" field and is used for IPv4 next-hop calculations. The remaining bits MUST be set to zero.

We denote a Direct Interface Address (DIA) as an IPv4 or IPv6 address that is both directly reachable via an attached link and has an available layer 3 to layer 2 mapping. Note that there is no explicit need for the IPv4 link addresses to be on the same subnet. An implementation SHOULD resolve layer 3 to layer 2 mappings via the Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) [ARP] or Neighbor Discovery (ND) [ND] for a DIA even if the IPv4 address is not on the same subnet as the router’s interface IP address.

### 2.6. AS-External-LSA and NSSA-LSA Forwarding Address for IPv4 Unicast and IPv4 Multicast AFs

For OSPFv3, this address is an IPv6 host address (128 bits). If included, data traffic for the advertised destination will be forwarded to this address. For IPv4 unicast and IPv4 multicast AFs, the Forwarding Address in AS-external-LSAs and NSSA-LSAs MUST encode an IPv4 address. To achieve this, the IPv4 Forwarding Address is
advertised by placing it in the first 32 bits of the Forwarding Address field in AS-external-LSAs and NSSA-LSAs. The remaining bits MUST be set to zero.

2.7. Database Description Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) Specification for Non-IPv6 AFs

For address families other than IPv6, both the MTU for the instance address family and the IPv6 MTU used for OSPFv3 maximum packet determination MUST be considered. The MTU in the Database Description packet MUST always contain the MTU corresponding to the advertised address family. For example, if the instance corresponds to an IPv4 address family, the IPv4 MTU for the interface MUST be specified in the interface MTU field. As specified in Section 10.6 of [OSPFV2], the Database Description packet will be rejected if the MTU is greater than the receiving interface’s MTU for the address family corresponding to the instance. This behavior will assure that an adjacency is not formed and address family specific routes are not installed over a path with conflicting MTUs.

The value used for OSPFv3 maximum packet size determination MUST also be compatible for an adjacency to be established. Since only a single MTU field is specified, the M6-bit is defined by this specification. If the M6-bit is clear, the specified MTU SHOULD also be checked against the IPv6 MTU, and the Database Description packet SHOULD be rejected if the MTU is larger than the receiving interface’s IPv6 MTU. An OSPFv3 router SHOULD NOT set the M6-bit if its IPv6 MTU and address family specific MTU are the same.

If the IPv6 and IPv4 MTUs differ, the M6-bit MUST be set for non-IPv6 address families. If the M6-bit is set, the IPv6 MTU is dictated by the presence or absence of an IPv6 MTU TLV in the link-local signaling (LLS) [LLS] block. If this TLV is present, it carries the IPv6 MTU that SHOULD be compared with the local IPv6 MTU. If this TLV is absent, the minimum IPv6 MTU of 1280 octets SHOULD be used for the comparison (refer to [IPV6]).

If the M6-bit is set in a received Database Description packet for a non-IPv6 address family, the receiving router MUST NOT check the Interface MTU in the Database Description packet against the receiving interface’s IPv6 MTU.
The figure below graphically depicts the changed fields in octets 20–23 of the OSPFv3 Database Description packet:

```
+---------------------------+---------------------------+---------------------------+-------------------+
|                          |                          |                          |                  |
|                          |                          |                          |                  |
|                          |                          |                          |                  |
|                          |                          |                          |                  |
```

OSPFv3 Database Description Packet Changes

The changed fields in the Database Description packet are described below. The remaining fields are unchanged from [OSPFV3].

Interface MTU

The size in octets of the largest address family specific datagram that can be sent on the associated interface without fragmentation. The MTUs of common Internet link types can be found in Table 7-1 of [MTUDISC]. The Interface MTU SHOULD be set to 0 in Database Description packets sent over virtual links.

M6-bit

The IPv6 MTU bit – this bit indicates that the sender is using a different IPv6 MTU than the MTU for the AF.

An IPv6 MTU TLV can be optionally carried in an LLS block as described above. This TLV carries the IPv6 MTU for the interface. The length field of the TLV is set to 4 bytes.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| 17 | 4 |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| IPv6 MTU |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Format of IPv6 MTU TLV

Only one instance of the IPv6 MTU TLV MAY appear in the LLS block. Instances subsequent to the first are not processed, and the LLS inconsistency SHOULD be logged.
2.8. Operation over Virtual Links

OSPFv3 control packets sent over a virtual link are IPv6 packets and may traverse multiple hops. Therefore, there MUST be a global IPv6 address associated with the virtual link so that OSPFv3 control packets are forwarded correctly by the intermediate hops between virtual link endpoints. Although this requirement can be satisfied in IPv6 unicast AFs, it will not function in other AFs as there will not be a routable global IPv6 address or forwarding path. Therefore, virtual links are not supported in AFs other than IPv6 unicast.

3. Backward Compatibility

All modifications to OSPFv3 apply exclusively to the support of address families other than the IPv6 unicast AF using multiple OSPFv3 instances as described in this specification. These modifications are not applicable to IPv6 unicast topologies and do not preclude future single instance mechanisms for supporting multiple address families.

In this section, we will define a non-capable OSPFv3 router as one not supporting this specification. When multiple AFs are supported as defined herein, each new AF will have a corresponding Instance ID and can interoperate with the existing non-capable OSPFv3 routers in an IPv6 unicast topology. Furthermore, when a non-capable OSPFv3 router uses an Instance ID that is reserved for a given AF, no adjacency will be formed with this router since the AF-bit in the Options field will be clear in its OSPFv3 Hello packets. Therefore, there are no backward compatibility issues. AFs can be gradually deployed without disturbing OSPFv3 routing domains with non-capable OSPFv3 routers.

4. Security Considerations

IPsec [IPsec] can be used for OSPFv3 authentication and confidentiality as described in [OSPFV3-AUTH]. When multiple OSPFv3 instances use the same interface, they all MUST use the same Security Association (SA), since the SA selectors do not provide selection based on data in OSPFv3 Header fields (e.g., the Instance ID). This restriction is documented in Section 8 of [OSPFV3-AUTH].

Security considerations for OSPFv3 are covered in [OSPFV3].
5. IANA Considerations

The following IANA assignments were made from existing registries.

- The AF-bit was assigned from the OSPFv3 Options registry as defined in Section 2.2.
- The M6-bit was assigned from the DD Packet Flags registry as defined in Section 2.7
- The TLV type (17) for the IPv6 MTU TLV was assigned from the OSPF LLS TLVs registry.

IANA created a new registry, "OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values", for assignment of the mapping of OSPFv3 Instance IDs to address families when this specification is used to support multiple address families. Note that the Instance ID field MAY be used for applications other than the support of multiple address families. However, if it is being used for address families as described in this specification, the assignments herein SHOULD be honored.
### OSPFv3 Address Family Use of Instance IDs

- Instance IDs 0-127 are assigned by this specification.

- Instance IDs in the range 128-255 are not assigned at this time. Before any assignments can be made in this range, there MUST be a Standards Track RFC including an IANA Considerations section explicitly specifying the AF Instance IDs being assigned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value/Range</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Assignment Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Base IPv6 Unicast AF</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31</td>
<td>IPv6 Unicast AFs dependent on local policy</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Base IPv6 Multicast</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-63</td>
<td>IPv6 Multicast AFs dependent on local policy</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Base IPv4 Unicast AF</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-95</td>
<td>IPv4 Unicast AFs dependent on local policy</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Base IPv4 Multicast</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-127</td>
<td>IPv4 Multicast AFs dependent on local policy</td>
<td>Already assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-255</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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