Adding Acronyms to Simplify Conversations about
DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE)

Abstract

Experience has shown that people get confused when discussing the
three numeric fields of the TLSA record. This document specifies
descriptive acronyms for the three numeric fields in TLSA records.
This document updates the format of the IANA registry created by RFC 6698.
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1. Introduction

During discussions on how to add DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) [RFC6698] technology to new protocols and services, people were repeatedly confused as to what the numeric values stood for and even the order of the fields of a TLSA record (note that TLSA is not an acronym but a name). This document updates the IANA registry definition for the TLSA record to add a column containing an acronym for each specified field, in order to reduce confusion. This document does not change the DANE protocol in any way.

It is expected that DANE parsers in applications and DNS software can adopt parsing the acronyms for each field.

2. IANA Considerations

This document applies to the "DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) Parameters" registry located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/dane-parameters>. IANA has added a column with an acronym to each of the sub-registries.

[RFC6698] and this document are the referenced documents for the three sub-registries.

As these acronyms are offered for human consumption, case does not matter; it is expected that software that parses TLSA records will handle upper-, mixed-, or lower-case characters as input.
2.1. TLSA Certificate Usages Registry

The reference for this registry has been updated to include both [RFC6698] and this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>PKIX-TA</td>
<td>CA constraint</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PKIX-EE</td>
<td>Service certificate constraint</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DANE-TA</td>
<td>Trust anchor assertion</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DANE-EE</td>
<td>Domain-issued certificate</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-254</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>PrivCert</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: TLSA Certificate Usages

2.2. TLSA Selectors

The reference for this registry has been updated to include both [RFC6698] and this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cert</td>
<td>Full certificate</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPKI</td>
<td>SubjectPublicKeyInfo</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-254</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>PrivSel</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>[RFC6698]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: TLSA Selectors
2.3. TLSA Matching Types

The reference for this registry has been updated to include both [RFC6698] and this document.

+-----------------+-----------------+-----------------+------------------+
| Value | Acronym   | Short Description | Reference   |
|-----------------+-----------------+-----------------+------------------|
| 0   | Full      | No hash used     | [RFC6698]     |
| 1   | SHA2-256  | 256 bit hash by SHA2 | [RFC6234]     |
| 2   | SHA2-512  | 512 bit hash by SHA2 | [RFC6234]     |
| 255  | PrivMatch | Reserved for Private Use | [RFC6698]     |
|-----------------+-----------------+-----------------+------------------|

Table 3: TLSA Matching Types

3. Examples of Usage

Two examples are described below.

3.1. TLSA Records Using/Displaying the Acronyms

_666._tcp.first.example.  TLSA PKIX-TA CERT SHA2-512 {blob}
_666._tcp.second.example.  TLSA DANE-TA SPKI SHA2-256 {blob}

3.2. Acronym Use in a Specification Example

Protocol FOO only allows TLSA records using PKIX-EE and DANE-EE, with selector SPKI, and using SHA2-512.

4. Security Considerations

This document only changes registry fields and does not change the behavior of any protocol. The hope is to reduce confusion, which would lead to better specification and operations.
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