Definitions of Textual Conventions (TCs) for Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) Management

Abstract

This document defines two Management Information Base (MIB) modules that contain Textual Conventions to represent commonly used Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) management information. The intent is that these TEXTUAL CONVENTIONS (TCs) will be imported and used in BFD-related MIB modules that would otherwise define their own representations.
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1. Introduction

This document defines two MIB modules that contain Textual Conventions for Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) protocols. These Textual Conventions should be imported by MIB modules that manage BFD protocols.

Note that names of Textual Conventions defined in this document are prefixed with either "Bfd" or "IANA" to make it obvious to readers that some are specific to BFD modules, whereas others are IANA maintained.

For an introduction to the concepts of BFD, see [RFC5880], [RFC5881], [RFC5883], [RFC6428], and [RFC7130].

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. The Internet-Standard Management Framework

For a detailed overview of the documents that describe the current Internet-Standard Management Framework, please refer to section 7 of RFC 3410 [RFC3410].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. MIB objects are generally accessed through the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP). Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the Structure of Management Information (SMI). This memo specifies a MIB
module that is compliant to the SMIv2, which is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].

3. BFD Textual Conventions MIB Definitions

This MIB module makes references to the following documents: [RFC2578], [RFC2579], [RFC5880], [RFC5881], [RFC5883], [RFC6428], and [RFC7130].

BFD-TC-STD-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
    MODULE-IDENTITY, mib-2, Unsigned32
FROM SNMPv2-SMI                               -- RFC 2578

    TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
FROM SNMPv2-TC;                               -- RFC 2579

bfdTCStdMib MODULE-IDENTITY
LAST-UPDATED "201408120000Z" -- 12 August 2014 00:00:00 GMT

ORGANIZATION "IETF Bidirectional Forwarding Detection
    Working Group"

CONTACT-INFO
"Thomas D. Nadeau
    Brocade
    Email: tnadeau@lucidvision.com
Zafar Ali
    Cisco Systems, Inc.
    Email: zali@cisco.com
Nobo Akiya
    Cisco Systems, Inc.
    Email: nobo@cisco.com

Comments about this document should be emailed directly
to the BFD working group mailing list at rtg-bfd@ietf.org"

DESCRIPTION
"Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
    authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
    without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
::= { mib-2 223 }

BfdSessIndexTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "d"
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "An index used to uniquely identify BFD sessions."
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1..4294967295)

BfdIntervalTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "d"
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "The BFD interval in microseconds."
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0..4294967295)

BfdMultiplierTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "d"
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "The BFD failure detection multiplier."
SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1..255)

BfdCrtlDestPortNumberTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "d"
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "UDP destination port number of BFD control packets.  
3784 represents single-hop BFD session.  
4784 represents multi-hop BFD session.  
6784 represents BFD on Link Aggregation Group (LAG) session.  
However, syntax is left open to wider range of values  
purposely for two reasons:  
1. Implementation uses non-compliant port number for  
   valid proprietary reason.  
2. Potential future extension documents."
The value of 0 is a special, reserved value used to indicate special conditions and should not be considered a valid port number.

REFERENCE
"Use of port 3784 from Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop), RFC 5881, June 2010.


Use of port 6784 from Bhatia, M., Chen, M., Boutros, S., Binderberger, M., and J. Haas, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) on Link Aggregation Group (LAG) Interfaces, RFC 7130, February 2014."

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0..65535)

BfdCtrlSourcePortNumberTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT              "d"
STATUS                    current
DESCRIPTION
"UDP source port number of BFD control packets. However, syntax is left open to wider range of values purposely for two reasons: 1. Implementation uses non-compliant port number for valid proprietary reason. 2. Potential future extension documents. The value of 0 is a special, reserved value used to indicate special conditions and should not be considered a valid port number."

REFERENCE
"Port 49152..65535 from RFC5881"

SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0..65535)

END

IANA-BFD-TC-STD-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
MODULE-IDENTITY, mib-2
FROM SNMPv2-SMI                               -- RFC 2578
TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
FROM SNMPv2-TC;                               -- RFC 2579
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ianaBfdTCStdMib MODULE-IDENTITY

LAST-UPDATED
"201408120000Z" -- 12 August 2014 00:00:00 GMT

ORGANIZATION
"IANA"

CONTACT-INFO
"Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
Postal: 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA  90094-2536
Tel:    +1 310 301 5800
EMail:  iana@iana.org"

DESCRIPTION
"Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info)."

REVISION
"201408120000Z" -- 12 August 2014 00:00:00 GMT

DESCRIPTION
"Initial version. Published as RFC 7330."

::= { mib-2 224 }

IANAbfdDiagTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS       current

DESCRIPTION
"A common BFD diagnostic code."

REFERENCE

Allan, D., Swallow, G., and Drake, J., Proactive Connectivity Verification, Continuity Check, and Remote Defect Indication for the MPLS Transport Profile, RFC 6428, November 2011."

SYNTAX INTEGER {
    noDiagnostic(0),
    controlDetectionTimeExpired(1),
    echoFunctionFailed(2),
IANA bfdSessTypeTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "BFD session type"
REFERENCE
Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for Multihop Paths, RFC 5883, June 2010."
SYNTAX INTEGER {
  singleHop(1),
  multiHopTotallyArbitraryPaths(2),
  multiHopOutOfBandSignaling(3),
  multiHopUnidirectionalLinks(4)
}

IANA bfdSessOperModeTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION "BFD session operating mode"
REFERENCE
"Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD), RFC 5880, June 2010."
SYNTAX INTEGER {
  asyncModeWEchoFunction(1),
  asyncModeWOEchoFunction(2),
  demandModeWEchoFunction(3),
  demandModeWOEchoFunction(4)
}

IANA bfdSessStateTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION

neighorSignaledSessionDown(3),
forwardingPlaneReset(4),
pathDown(5),
concatenatedPathDown(6),
administrativelyDown(7),
reverseConcatenatedPathDown(8),
misConnectivityDefect(9)
"BFD session state. State failing(5) is only applicable if corresponding session is running in BFD version 0."

REFERENCE
"Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD), RFC 5880, June 2010."

SYNTAX INTEGER {
    adminDown(1),
    down(2),
    init(3),
    up(4),
    failing(5)
}

IANAbfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS                      current
DESCRIPTION
"BFD authentication type"
REFERENCE
"Sections 4.2 - 4.4 from Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD), RFC 5880, June 2010."

SYNTAX INTEGER {
    noAuthentication(-1),
    reserved(0),
    simplePassword(1),
    keyedMD5(2),
    meticulousKeyedMD5(3),
    keyedSHA1(4),
    meticulousKeyedSHA1(5)
}

IANAbfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT                  "1x 
STATUS                        current
DESCRIPTION
"BFD authentication key type.

An IANAbfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC is always interpreted within the context of an IANAbfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC value. Every usage of the IANAbfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC textual convention is required to specify the IANAbfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC object that provides the context. It is suggested that the IANAbfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC object be logically registered before the object(s) that use the IANAbfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC textual convention, if they appear in the same logical row.
The value of an IANAabfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC must always be consistent with the value of the associated IANAabfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC object. Attempts to set an IANAabfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC object to a value inconsistent with the associated IANAabfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC must fail with an inconsistentValue error.

The following size constraints for an IANAabfdSessAuthenticationKeyTC object are defined for the associated IANAabfdSessAuthenticationTypeTC values shown below:

- noAuthentication(-1): SIZE(0)
- reserved(0): SIZE(0)
- simplePassword(1): SIZE(1..16)
- keyedMD5(2): SIZE(16)
- meticulousKeyedMD5(3): SIZE(16)
- keyedSHA1(4): SIZE(20)
- meticulousKeyedSHA1(5): SIZE(20)

When this textual convention is used as the syntax of an index object, there may be issues with the limit of 128 sub-identifiers specified in SMIv2, STD 58. In this case, the object definition MUST include a ‘SIZE’ clause to limit the number of potential instance sub-identifiers; otherwise, the applicable constraints MUST be stated in the appropriate conceptual row DESCRIPTION clauses, or in the surrounding documentation if there is no single DESCRIPTION clause that is appropriate.”

REFERENCE
"Sections 4.2 - 4.4 from Katz, D. and D. Ward, Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD), RFC 5880, June 2010."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING(SIZE(0..252))
5. IANA Considerations

This document provides the base definition of the IANA-BFD-TC-STD-MIB module. This MIB module is under the direct control of IANA. See Section 3 for the initial contents. See the most updated version of this MIB at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/ianabhdfstd-mib>. Assignments of IANA-BFD-TC-STD-MIB are via IETF Review [RFC5226].

This MIB makes reference to the following documents: [RFC2578], [RFC2579], [RFC5880], [RFC5881] and [RFC5883], [RFC6428], and [RFC7130].

IANA assigned an OID to the BFD-TC-STD-MIB module specified in this document as { mib-2 223 }.

IANA assigned an OID to the IANA-BFD-TC-STD-MIB module specified in this document as { mib-2 224 }.
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